1

2

3

8

9

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, EASTERN DIVISION

1112

10

 $\mathbf{12} \parallel \mathbf{R.R.}$  III, etc., et al.,

13

14 | vs.

15 | CITY OF BANNING, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

16 Defendants.

CASE NO. 14-CV-01430-CAS (FFMx)

## [PROPOSED]

ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE PURSUANT TO FRCP 41(a)

Trial Date: None Set

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

17

## GOOD CAUSE APPEARING AND THE PARTIES HAVING STIPULATED THERETO, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

- 1. The Complaint, in its entirety and as to each and every claim for relief and any and all defendants, is dismissed with prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a).
- 2. The settlement is further memorialized in a written settlement agreement.
- 3. The parties shall bear their own costs, expenses and attorneys' fees arising out of and/or connected with this matter, including any such fees or expenses potentially recoverable under 42 U.S.C. Sec. 1988, except for those specifically

4844-0835-7951.1

1	negotiated for and previously agreed in writing prior to the execution of this
2	dismissal.
3	4. This Order is the result of a compromise of disputed claim. It is not to
4	be considered as an admission of liability and/or responsibility with regard to the
5	incident, occurrence, casualty or event referenced in the pleadings herein.
6	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.
7	
8	DATED: January 3, 2017
9	By: Rhristine a. Snyde
10	Hon. Christina A. Synder
11	United States Magistrate Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20 21	
21   22	
23	
24	
25 <b>25</b>	
26	
27	
28	

4844-0835-7951.1