Buzzballz, LLC.	. Buzzbox Beverages, Inc. et al	С	Doc. 139
1			
1			
2		JS-6	
3			
4			
5			
6			
0			
7		DIGEDICE COLUDE	
8		DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA	
		N DIVISION	
9	BUZZBALLZ, L.L.C., a Texas Limited) Case No.: 5:14-cv-01725	
10	Liability Company,) Assigned to Hon. William D. Keller	
1.1	District CC/Community Description)	
11	Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant,)	
12	vs.	,)	
13	BUZZBOX BEVERAGES, INC.,) JUDGMENT ON THE VERDICT	
13	a Nevada Corporation; and)	
14	BENDISTILLERY, INC., an Oregon Corporation, d/b/a BUZZBOX, d/b/a		
15	BUZZBOX COCKTAILS, and d/b/a)	
1.6	BUZZBOX BEVERAGES,)	
16	Defendants/Counterclaim Plaintiffs.) Trial Date: June 7-10, 2016) Courtroom: 9	
17		,	
18	A trial before a jury was held on June 7, 2016 through June 10, 2016 in the		ie
10	above-captioned matter. Plaintiff BUZZBALLZ, L.L.C. ("BUZZBALLZ") and		d
19	Defendants DIJ77DOV DEVEDACES INC ("DIJ77DOV") and DENIDISTILLEDV		7
20	Defendants BUZZBOX BEVERAGES, INC. ("BUZZBOX") and BENDISTILLERY,		- ,
2.1	INC. ("BENDISTILLERY") were each represented by counsel. On June 10, 2016, the		e
21			
	JUDGMENT ON THE VERDICT	PAGE	1
	SODGMENT ON THE VERDICI	Dockets.Jus	

1	jury returned a verdict, finding (1) that BUZZBOX's use of its word mark for the term		
2	"BuzzBox" (U.S. Reg. 4,618,174) (the "BuzzBox Word Mark") has not caused a		
3	likelihood of confusion with BuzzBallz' marks for the term "BuzzBallz" (U.S. Reg.		
4	3,865,524 & 4,498,235) (the "BuzzBallz Marks"); (2) that BUZZBOX's use of its		
5	graphic mark for the term "BuzzBox" (U.S. Reg. 4,646,160) (the "BuzzBox Graphic		
6	Mark") has caused a likelihood of confusion with the BuzzBallz Marks; and (3) that		
7	BENDISTILLERY had not used either the BuzzBox Graphic Mark or the BuzzBox		
8	Word Mark in a way that has caused a likelihood of confusion with the BuzzBallz		
9	Marks. The jury awarded no actual damages to BUZZBALLZ.		
10	Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that		
11	Plaintiff BUZZBALLZ, INC. recover nothing from Defendants BUZZBOX		
12	BEVERAGES, INC. and BENDISTILLERY, INC.		
13	IT IS SO ORDERED		
14	Dated: June 27, 2016		
15	HON. WILLIAM D. KELLER		
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
17	Approved as to form and content.		
18	/s/John T. Wilson		
19	Attorney for Plaintiff		
20	/s/Shaun M. Murphy		
21	Attorney for Defendants		
	<u> </u>		

JUDGMENT ON THE VERDICT

PAGE 2