

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CIVIL MINUTES--GENERAL

Case No. **EDCV 14-01787-JGB (KKx)**

Date: **February 24, 2015**

Title: **Chris Fisher v. Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide, Inc., et al.**

DOCKET ENTRY

PRESENT:

HON. KENLY KIYA KATO, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

D. Taylor
Deputy Clerk

n/a
Court Reporter

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR PLAINTIFFS:

None present

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR DEFENDANTS:

None present

PROCEEDINGS: (IN CHAMBERS)

The parties' proposed Stipulation and Protective Order has been referred by the District Judge to the Magistrate Judge for consideration. The parties are advised that the Court declines to issue the proposed protective order to which they have stipulated for the following reasons:

1. While the Court is willing to enter a protective order in accordance with the parties' stipulation in order to facilitate the conduct of discovery, the Court is unwilling to include in the protective order any provisions relating to evidence presented at trial or other court hearings or proceedings. That is a matter the parties will need to take up with the judicial officer conducting the proceeding at the appropriate time. The stipulation should, thus, include language to make this explicit.
2. Proposed ¶ 2 needs to be revised to make clear that the terms of the Protective Order do not apply to the Court and court personnel, who are subject only to the Court's internal procedures regarding the handling of material filed or lodged, including material filed or lodged under seal.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES--GENERAL

Case No.: EDCV 14-01787-JGB (KKx)

February 24, 2015

Chris Fisher v. Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide, Inc., et al

Page 2

-
3. Proposed ¶¶ 9, 20 and 21 need to be revised to make clear that any motion challenging a designation of material as Confidential or requesting a modification of the Protective Order will need to be made in strict compliance with Local Rules 37-1 and 37-2 (including the Joint Stipulation requirement).
 4. The following provision needs to be added: “Nothing in this Order is intended or should be construed as authorizing a party to disobey a lawful subpoena issued in another action.”
 5. An “IT IS SO ORDERED” signature block for the Magistrate Judge needs to be added following counsel’s signatures on the last page.

The parties are further directed to the Court’s sample stipulated protective order located on the Court’s website for a sample of the format of an approved stipulated protective order.