

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	ED CV 14-2238 DSF (JCG)	Date	October 15, 2015
Title	<i>Jesse Valdez v. Riverside County, et al.</i>		

Present: The Honorable	<b>Jay C. Gandhi, United States Magistrate Judge</b>		
Kristee Hopkins	None Appearing	N/A	
Deputy Clerk	Court Reporter / Recorder	Tape No.	
Attorneys Present for Plaintiff:	Attorneys Present for Defendants:		
None Appearing	None Appearing		

**Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED**

On October 21, 2014, Jesse Valdez (“Plaintiff”), a California prisoner proceeding *pro se*, lodged a civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (“Complaint”). [Dkt. No. 1.] On February 11, 2015, Defendants filed a motion to dismiss the Complaint on the basis of several deficiencies. [Dkt. No. 11.] On July 13, 2015, Magistrate Judge Alicia Rosenberg recommended that Defendants’ motion be granted, but that Plaintiff be granted leave to amend the Complaint. [Dkt. No. 24.] On August 21, 2015, District Judge Christina Snyder adopted Judge Rosenberg’s recommendation. [Dkt. No. 25.] On September 9, 2015, this Court informed Plaintiff that any proposed First Amended Complaint was due no later than September 30, 2015. [Dkt. No. 27.] As of today’s date – more than two weeks past that deadline – Plaintiff has yet to file a First Amended Complaint.

Accordingly, within **fourteen days** of the date of this Order, Plaintiff is **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE**, in writing, why this action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and/or comply with a court order. If Plaintiff files his First Amended Complaint within **fourteen days** of the date of this Order, he need not separately respond to this Order to Show Cause.

**Plaintiff is cautioned that his failure to timely file a response will be deemed by the Court as consent to the dismissal of this action without prejudice.**

cc: Parties of Record

\_\_\_\_\_  
 00 : 00  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Initials of Clerk kh