

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**United States District Court
Central District of California**

In Re:
CITY OF SAN BERNARDINO,
CALIFORNIA,
Debtor,

Case No. 5:14-cv-02505-ODW

**ORDER DENYING MOTION TO
CONSOLIDATE CASES AS MOOT
[8]**

SAN BERNARDINO CITY
PROFESSIONAL FIREFIGHTERS
LOCAL 891

Appellant,

v.

CITY OF SAN BERNARDINO,
CALIFORNIA,
Appellee.

On January 9, 2015, Debtor and Appellee City of San Bernardino, California (“the City”) filed a “Motion to Consolidate Related Cases Before This Court.” (ECF No. 8.) While labeled as a motion to “Consolidate,” the City’s motion is actually a motion to transfer to this Court a matter pending before a different judge. The requested transferee case, Case No. 5:15-cv-00025-AB, is the second of two cases

1 created from a single Notice of Appeal. This was an admitted error by the Clerk's
2 Office and the second case has since been closed. The first case created from the
3 Notice of Appeal, Case No. 5:15-cv-00014-ODW, was properly assigned to this
4 Court.

5 The admission of error by the Clerk's Office and the closing of Case No. 5:15-
6 cv-00025-AB occurred on the same day as the City filed this pending motion. All
7 four appeals arising from Bankruptcy Case No. 6:12-bk-28006-MJ are properly before
8 this Court, albeit as separate cases. As a result, the Court **DENIES** the City's Motion
9 to Consolidate as **MOOT**.

10 The Court strongly encourages counsel for both sides to meet and confer as to
11 whether consolidating three of the cases—Case Nos. 5:14-cv-02505-ODW, 5:15-cv-
12 00042-ODW, and 5:15-cv-00014-ODW—under one case number pursuant to Local
13 Bankruptcy Rule 8018-2.2 is practicable and appropriate.

14 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

15
16 January 20, 2015



17
18 **OTIS D. WRIGHT, II**
19 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28