

1 Eugene P. Ramirez (State Bar No. 134865)  
 2 Kayleigh A. McGuinness (State Bar No. 306442) JS-6  
 3 Martin D. Holly (State Bar No. 201421)  
 MANNING & KASS  
 4 ELLROD, RAMIREZ, TRESTER LLP  
 801 S. Figueroa St, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 4 Los Angeles, California 90017-3012  
 Telephone: (213) 624-6900  
 5 Facsimile: (213) 624-6999  
 epr@manningllp.com; amp@manningllp.com; kam@manningllp.com

6 Attorneys for Defendants, COUNTY OF  
 7 SAN BERNARDINO, CITY OF  
 8 VICTORVILLE, DETECTIVE BRAD  
 9 BONNET; DEPUTY PAUL CASAS,  
 10 DEPUTY JASON FORTIER, DEPUTY  
 11 DAVID PAGE, SERGEANT JOHN  
 WALKER, COMMANDER  
 LIEUTENANT HECTOR GOMEZ,  
 CAPTAIN GREGG HERBERT,  
 SHERIFF JOHN MCMAHON

12 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 13 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, EASTERN DIVISION**

15 REANNA HERMOSILLO, as guardian  
 16 ad litem to C.E.L.R., a minor,  
 17 individually and as successor-in-  
 18 interest; B.I.R., a minor, individually  
 19 and as successor-in-interest; D.R.R., a  
 20 minor, individually and as successor-in-  
 21 interest; and J.I.H., a minor,  
 22 individually and as successor-in-interest  
 23 to decedent ENRIQUE CARLOS  
 24 RODARTE,

25 Plaintiffs,

26 v.

27 THE COUNTY OF SAN  
 28 BERNARDINO, THE CITY  
 OF VICTORVILLE, and as DOE 1-  
 DETECTIVE BRAD BONNET,  
 individually and in his capacity as a  
 detective in the San Bernardino County  
 Sheriff's Department; DOE 2-DEPUTY  
 PAUL CASAS, individually and in his  
 capacity as a deputy in the San  
 Bernardino County Sheriff's  
 Department; DOE 3- DEPUTY JASON  
 FORTIER, individually and in his

Case No. 5:15-EDCV-00033-DTB  
 [Assigned to Hon. David T. Bristow]  
**[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT ON  
 SPECIAL VERDICT**

MANNING & KASS  
 ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
 801 S. FIGUEROA ST., 15TH FLOOR  
 LOS ANGELES, CA 90017  
 TEL: (213) 624-6900  
 FAX: (213) 624-6999  
 WWW.MANNINGLLP.COM

Manning & Kass  
Ellrod, Ramirez, Tester, LLP

1 capacity as a deputy in the San  
2 Bernardino County Sheriff's  
3 Department; DOE 4-DEPUTY  
4 DAVID PAGE, individually and in his  
5 capacity as a deputy in the San  
6 Bernardino County Sheriff's  
7 Department; DOE 5- SERGEANT  
8 JOHN WALKER, individually and in  
9 his capacity as a Sergeant in the San  
10 Bernardino County Sheriff's  
11 Department; DOE 6 - COMMANDER  
12 LIEUTENANT HECTOR GOMEZ,  
13 individually and in his capacity as a  
14 Lieutenant and Commander of the  
15 S.E.D. in the San Bernardino County  
16 Sheriff's Department; DOE 7 -  
17 CAPTAIN GREGG HERBERT,  
18 individually and in his capacity as a  
19 Captain in the San Bernardino County  
20 Sheriff's Department; DOE 8-  
21 SHERIFF JOHN MCMAHON,  
22 individually and in his capacity as  
23 Sheriff of the County of San Bernardino  
24 and DOES 9-10, inclusive, individually.

Defendants.

15 This action came on regularly for trial on March 13, 2017, in Courtroom 3 of  
16 the United States District Court, before the Honorable David T. Bristow, United States  
17 Magistrate Judge. Plaintiffs were represented by the Law Offices of Mark R.  
18 Pachowicz, by Mark R. Pachowicz and Jennie Hendrickson. Defendants were  
19 represented By Manning & Kass Ellrod, Ramirez, Tester, LLP, by Eugene P.  
20 Ramirez, Martin D. Holly, and Kayleigh A. McGuinness.

21 A jury of 8 persons was impaneled and sworn. Trial proceedings took place on  
22 March 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, and 27. On March 27, 2017, the jury  
23 returned with its special verdict consisting of questions submitted to the jury and the  
24 answers given thereto by the jury. The jury found in favor of all Defendants on all  
25 claims as follows:

26 "We the jury now reach our unanimous verdict on the following questions  
27 submitted to us.  
28

1 Answer the questions below unless the directions advise you not to answer a  
2 specific question.

3 We, the jury in the above-entitled case, find as follows:

4 Please answer separately as to EACH defendant.

5 **EXCESSIVE OR UNREASONABLE FORCE**

6 Question 1: Did plaintiffs prove any of the following defendants, while acting under  
7 color of law, used excessive or unreasonable force against decedent Enrique Carlos  
8 Rodarte?

9	Bonnet	YES	NO X
10	Casas	YES	NO X
11	Fortier	YES	NO X
12	Page	YES	NO X
13	Walker	YES	NO X

14 If you answered "yes" as to any of the defendants in Question 1, please proceed to  
15 Question 2. Otherwise, please proceed to Question 3.

17 Please answer separately as to EACH defendant.

18 Question 2: Was the use of excessive or unreasonable force by any defendant, the  
19 moving cause of injury, damage, loss, or harm to Enrique Carlos Rodarte?

20	Bonnet	YES	NO X
21	Casas	YES	NO X
22	Fortier	YES	NO X
23	Page	YES	NO X
24	Walker	YES	NO X

25 Please proceed to Question 3.

27 **SUBSTANTIVE DUE PROCESS**

28 Question 3: Did plaintiffs prove that the conduct of any of the individual deputies

1 denied due process to plaintiffs?

2 Bonnet YES NO X

3 Casas YES NO X

4 Fortier YES NO X

5 Page YES NO X

6 Walker YES NO X

7 Please proceed to Question 4.

8

9 NEGLIGENCE

10 Question 4: Did plaintiffs prove the following defendant(s) negligently caused the  
11 death of Enrique Carlos Rodarte? Please answer separately as to each defendant.

12 Bonnet YES NO X

13 Casas YES NO X

14 Fortier YES NO X

15 Page YES NO X

16 Walker YES NO X

17 Gomez YES NO X

18

19 If you answered "yes" as to any defendant in Question 4, please proceed to Question  
20 5. If you answered "no" as to all defendants in Question 4, please proceed to Question  
21 7.

22

23 Question 5: As to any defendant to which you responded "yes" in Question 4, did  
24 plaintiffs prove that the following defendant(s)'conduct was a substantial factor in  
25 negligently causing the death of Enrique Carlos Rodarte?

26 Bonnet YES NO

27 Casas YES NO

28 Fortier YES NO

1           Page                   YES           NO  
2           Walker                   YES           NO  
3           Gomez                     YES           NO

4

5 Please proceed to Question 6.

6

7 Question 6: As to any defendant (s) to which you answered "yes" in Questions 4 and  
8 5, what is the percentage of each person's negligence? Only place a percentage amount  
9 by the names of any defendant found to be negligent in Question 5. If you find that  
10 Enrique Carlos Rodarte contributed to his death, what was his percentage  
11 of responsibility? The final total must equal 100%.

12           Bonnet           \_\_\_\_\_ %  
13           Casas                \_\_\_\_\_ %  
14           Fortier             \_\_\_\_\_ %  
15           Page                 \_\_\_\_\_ %  
16           Walker             \_\_\_\_\_ %  
17           Gomez                \_\_\_\_\_ %  
18           Rodarte             \_\_\_\_\_ %  
19           Total:             100%

20 Please proceed to Question 7.

21 Question 7: If you answered "yes" to any defendant in response to Questions 2 or 3,  
22 what is the amount of decedent's damages for the loss of enjoyment of life  
23 experienced, the mental, physical, and emotional pain and suffering experienced prior  
24 to death, and the nature and extent of his injuries; and plaintiffs' damages for the loss  
25 of the decedent's love, companionship, comfort, care, assistance, protection,  
26 affection, society, and moral support?

27           \$   0  

28 Please proceed to Question 8.



1	Bonnet	YES	NO X
2	Casas	YES	NO X
3	Fortier	YES	NO X
4	Page	YES	NO X
5	Walker	YES	NO X
6	Gomez	YES	NO X

7  
8 Please date, sign and return this form.


9 Dated: 3/27/17

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_  
Jury Foreperson"

10  
11  
12 WHEREFORE, and by virtue of the law, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,  
13 ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that Judgment is entered in favor of Defendants  
14 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO, CITY OF VICTORVILLE, DETECTIVE  
15 BRAD BONNET; DEPUTY PAUL CASAS, DEPUTY JASON FORTIER,  
16 DEPUTY DAVID PAGE, SERGEANT JOHN WALKER, and COMMANDER  
17 LIEUTENANT HECTOR GOMEZ and as against Plaintiffs REANNA  
18 HERMOSILLO, as guardian ad litem to C.E.L.R., a minor, individually and as  
19 successor-in-interest; B.I.R., a minor, individually and as successor-in-interest;  
20 D.R.R., a minor, individually and as successor-in-interest; and J.I.H., a minor,  
21 individually and as successor-in-interest to decedent ENRIQUE CARLOS  
22 RODARTE, and plaintiffs shall recover nothing.

23 Defendants are entitled to costs pursuant to a Cost Memorandum to be filed by  
24 Defendants.

25  
26 DATED: April 19, 2017

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
David T. Bristow  
United States Magistrate Judge