Harshod Mehta et al	v. City of Upland et al	Dpc. 6
1		
2	FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT	
3	SEP 01, 2016	
4		CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY: BH DEPUTY
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11	HARSHAD MEHTA, an individual; and KAUSHIKA MEHTA, an) Case No.: CV15-01164VAP (DTBx)
12	individual,	() () [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT
13	Plaintiffs,))
14	VS.	
15	ERIC WIDEN, an individual; LAVELL BROWN, an individual; JAMES	
16	HAROLD BELL III, an individual; and CITY OF UPLAND, a public entity; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	
17		
18	Defendants.	
19		
20		
21	The Motion for Summary Judgment filed by Defendants City of Upland and	
22	Officer Lavell Brown came on regularly for hearing on August 22, 2016 at 2:00	
23	p.m. in Courtroom 780 of the United States District Court for the Central District	
24	of California, the Honorable Virginia A. Phillips, Judge Presiding.	
25	After reviewing the moving and opposing papers, as well as the evidence	
26	presented in support of and in opposition to the motion, the matter have been duly	
27	considered, the issues having been duly heard, and a decision having been duly	
28	rendered for Defendants City of Upland and Officer Lavell Brown,	
	- 1 - [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT	
	[FROFOSED	Dockets.Justia.cor

1	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment is entered in		
2	favor of Defendants City of Upland and Officer Lavell Brown and against		
3	Plaintiffs Harshad Mehta and Kaushika Mehta. Defendants City of Upland and		
4	Officer Lavell Brown shall recover their costs.		
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
6	76		
7	DATED: September 01, 2016 hypnic 4. Thillip		
8	HON VIRGINIA A. PHILLIPS Chief U.S. District Judge		
9	Chief C.S. District vaage		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			