1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	UNITED STATES DIS CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFO REPET, INC., a California corporation, Plaintiff, v. SHUBIN "VINCENT" ZHAO, an individual; and DOES 1-10, inclusive, Defendants. SHUBIN "VINCENT" ZHAO, an individual, Counterclaimant, vs. REPET, INC., a California Corporation, and ROES 1-10,	
ł	vs.	
	REPET, INC., a California Corporation, and ROES 1-10,	
25	Counterdefendants.	
26		
27	This action came on regularly for trial	between June 12, 2018, and June 20.
27	2018, in Courtroom 8A of this United States District Court, the Honorable Virginia	
20	2010, in Courtooni ori or uns onice states	

A. Phillips presiding. The Plaintiff and Counterdefendant, Repet, Inc. ("Repet"),
 appeared by attorneys Anthony Dain, Brian Kennedy, and S. Michael Lee of
 Procopio, Cory, Hargreaves & Savitch LLP. Defendant and Counterclaimant, Shubin
 "Vincent" Zhao ("Zhao"), appeared by Brian Neach and Bilal Essayli of Pacheco &
 Neach P.C.

A jury of eight persons was regularly empaneled and sworn. Witnesses were
sworn and testified, and documentary evidence was introduced and received into
evidence. After hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel, the jury was duly
instructed by the Court and the case was submitted to the jury. The jury deliberated
and thereafter returned the following special verdict:

11 12

## JURY VERDICT

We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions
and return them under the instructions of this court as our verdict in this case:

15 I. FINDINGS ON REPET INC.'S CLAIMS

16 <u>Question No. 1</u>: Did Repet, Inc. ("Repet") prove by a preponderance of the
17 evidence that Shubin "Vincent" Zhao ("Zhao") is liable to Repet for **fraud**?

18

19 <u>Question No. 2</u>: Did Zhao prove by a preponderance of the evidence that
20 Repet's claim of **fraud** is barred by the applicable statute of limitations?

21 \_\_\_\_\_Yes \_\_\_\_ No

22 <u>Question No. 3</u>: Did Repet prove by a preponderance of the evidence that
23 Zhao is liable to Repet for intentional interference with contractual relations?

24

\_\_\_\_Yes <u>X</u> No

Yes X No.

25 Question No. 4: Did Zhao prove by a preponderance of the evidence that
26 Repet's claim of intentional interference with contractual relations is barred by
27 the applicable statute of limitations?

28 \_\_\_\_\_Yes \_\_\_\_ No

1	Question No. 5: Did Repet prove by a preponderance of the evidence that		
2	Zhao is liable to Repet for breach of fiduciary duty?		
3	<u> </u>		
4			
5	Question No. 6: Did Zhao prove by a preponderance of the evidence that		
6	Repet's claim of breach of fiduciary duty is barred by the applicable statute of		
7	limitations??		
8	Yes <u>X</u> No		
9	Question No. 7: Did Repet prove by a preponderance of the evidence that		
10	Zhao is liable to Repet for conversion?		
11	<u> </u>		
12	Question No. 8: Did Zhao prove by a preponderance of the evidence that		
13	Repet's claim of <b>conversion</b> is barred by the applicable statute of limitations?		
14	YesXNo		
15	Question No. 9: What is the total amount of Repet's damages caused by		
16	Zhao?		
17	MONETARY: \$		
18	SHARES OF REPET'S COMMON STOCK:0		
19	OTHER: <u>Toyota Camry Title</u>		
20	Question No. 10: Did Repet prove with clear and convincing evidence that		
21	Zhao engaged in malice, oppression, or fraud?		
22	Yes <u>X</u> No		
23	Question No. 11: What are the punitive damages, if any, that you assess		
24	against Zhao?		
25	TOTAL: \$		
26			
27			
28			

1	II. FINDINGS ON ZHAO'S COUNTERCLAIM		
2	<b>Question No. 12</b> : Did Zhao prove by a preponderance of the evidence that		
3	Repet is liable to Zhao for breach of an oral agreement for severance?		
4	YesXNo		
5	Question No. 13: What is the total amount of Zhao's damages. caused by		
6	Repet?		
7	TOTAL: \$		
8	You have now reached the end of the verdict form and should review it to		
9	ensure it accurately reflects your uninmous determinations. The Presidng Juror		
10	should then sign and date the verdict form in the spaces below and notify the bailiff		
11	that you have reached a verdict. The Presiding Juror should retain possession of the		
12	verdict form and bring it when the jury is brought back into the courtroom.		
13	Dated: 6/20/2018 By: /s/ [name redacted]		
14	Presiding Juror		
15	On February 9, 2018, the Court granted summary judgment in favor of		
16	Counterdefendant Repet, Inc. on Counterclaimant Shubin "Vincent" Zhao's claims		
17	of Breach of Contract and Money Had and Received.		
18			
19	On June 11, 2018, the Court dismissed Plaintiff Repet, Inc.'s claim of Unjust		
20	Enrichment and Counterclaimant Shubin "Vincent" Zhao's claim of Indemnification.		
21			
22 23	By reason of the verdict and Orders described above, NOW THEREFORE,		
23	IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:		
24	1. Plaintiff Repet, Inc.'s claim of Unjust Enrichment is hereby dismissed with		
26	prejudice;		
27	2. Counterclaimant Shubin "Vincent" Zhao's claim of Indemnification is		
28	hereby dismissed with prejudice;		

 Judgment is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiff Repet, Inc. against Defendant Shubin "Vincent" Zhao on the Complaint as to its claims of Breach of Fiduciary Duty and Conversion;

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Judgment is hereby entered in favor of Defendant Shubin "Vincent" Zhao on Plaintiff Repet, Inc.'s claims of Fraud and Deceit and Intentional Interference with Contractual Relations;
- Judgment is hereby entered in favor of Counterdefendant Repet, Inc. on Counterclaimant Shubin "Vincent" Zhao's claims of Breach of Oral Contract, Breach of Contract, and Money Had and Received;
- 6. Judgment is entered in favor of Repet, Inc. and against Defendant Shubin "Vincent" Zhao on the Complaint in the amount of \$1,634,327, along with the title to the Toyota Camry. Defendant Shubin "Vincent" Zhao is hereby ordered to deliver title the tile of the Toyota Camry to Repet, Inc., without any liens, claims, or other encumbrances, within 14 days of the date of this judgment.
- Plaintiff Repet, Inc. is entitled to an award of pre-judgment interest on its judgment against Defendant Shubin "Vincent" Zhao pursuant to California Civil Code 3288 in the amount of \$437,238.43;
- - 9. Plaintiff Repet, Inc. is also entitled to an award of post-judgment interest on the total amount of the judgment entered against Defendant Shubin "Vincent" Zhao, including pre-judgment interest, which shall accrue pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961(a), from the date on which final judgment, with amendments, is entered until paid in full, together with costs as provided by law.

1	IT IS SO ORDERED AN	D ENTERED. JUDGMENT IS DEEMED	
2	ENTERED AS OF THE DATE SET FORTH BELOW.		
3		Vignic le Mini hos	
4	Dated: <u>August 20, 2018</u>		
5		Hon. Virginia A. Phillips Chief United States District Court Judg	
6			
7			
8			
9			
10 11			
11			
12			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24 25			
23 26			
27			
28			