1	PETER M. HART (SBN. 198691) hartpeter@msn.com PETER CHOI (SBN 249482)		
2	Pchoi.loph@gmail.com LAW OFFICES OF PETER M. HAR	E	
4	12121 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 205 Los Angeles, California 90025		
5	Telephone: (310) 207-0109 Facsimile: (509) 561-6441	-	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff CLAUDIA BARR	JS-6	
7		S DISTRICT COURT	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	CLAUDIA BARRAZA, as an individual	Case No. 5:16-CV-00134-JFW (SPx)	
10	and on behalf of others similarly situated,	ORDER ON JOINT STIPULATION	
11	Plaintiff,	REQUESTING DISMISSAL OF ENTIRE ACTION WITHOUT	
12 13	V.	PREJUDICE	
13	THE RITZ-CARLTON HOTEL COMPANY,		
15	LLC, a Delaware corporation;, and DOES 1 THROUGH 100, inclusive,		
16	Defendants.		
17			
18	JOINTS	STIPULATION	
19	Plaintiff Claudia Barraza ("Plaintif	ff") on the one hand, and Defendant Ritz-	
20	Carlton Hotel Company, LLC ("Defenda	nt") on the other hand, (collectively, "the	
21	Parties"), by through their attorneys of re	cord in this case and pursuant to Fed R	
22			
23 24	Civ. P. 23 and 41, stipulate as follows:		
24 25	Whereas: On December 21, 201	5, Plaintiff filed this putative wage and hour	
26	class action in the Superior Court of Cali	fornia County of Los Angeles, which was	
27	removed to the Central District of Califor	rnia (Eastern Division) on January 22, 2016,	
28	and subsequently re-assigned to this Court;		
	1 Case No. 5:16-CV-00134-JFW (SPx) ORDER ON JOINT STIPULATION REQUESTING DISMISSAL OF ENTIRE ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE		
		Dockets.Justia.com	

1	Whereas: No notice of this Action has been sent to any potential class		
2	member, such as by way of a privacy opt-out notice or any other notice;		
3 4	Whereas: This Action also has not been certified by any Court, and no		
5	settlement of the Action has been reached;		
6	Whereas: No consideration, direct or indirect, has been received as a part of		
7	the Parties' Joint Stipulation Requesting Dismissal of the Action in its Entirety		
8 9	without prejudice by either counsel for Plaintiff or by Plaintiff;		
10	Whereas: Each Party believes that it will not be prejudiced should the Court		
11			
12	grant dismissal of this Action without prejudice;		
13	THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED and respectfully requested		
14	pursuant to FRCP 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) and Paragraph 7 of this Court's Standing Order		
15	[Doc. No. 9] dated January 27, 2016 that the Court dismiss this Action in its entirety		
16 17	without prejudice, and that each Party shall bear their own costs and attorneys' fees		
18	in this matter.		
19	Having reviewed the declaration of Peter M. Hart in support of the Parties' request		
20 21	for dismissal of the entire Action without prejudice and the Parties' Joint Stipulation		
22	Requesting Dismissal of Entire Action Without Prejudice, and having found good		
23	cause therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: that the above entitled Action shall be		
24	dismissed without prejudice, and that each Party shall bear their own costs and		
25	attorneys' fees in this matter.		
26			
27	DATED: March 18, 2016		
28			
	(SPx) ORDER ON JOINT STIPULATION REQUESTING DISMISSAL OF ENTIRE ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE		