UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	ED CV 16-0735 FMO (DTBx)			Date	March 17, 2017			
Title	Title John Castaneda v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, et al.							
Present: The Honorable		Fernando M. Olguin, United States District Judge						
Vanessa Figueroa		None			None			
Deputy Clerk		Court Reporter / Recorder		Tape No.				
Attor	ney Present fo	or Plaintiff(s):	intiff(s): Attorney Present for Defendant(s):					
	None Pres	sent	t None Present					
Proceedings: (In Chambers) Order to Show Cause re Consolidation of Actions								
On September 16, 2016, plaintiff in <u>Castaneda v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, et al.</u> , 16-0735 (C.D. Cal.) (" <u>Ocwen</u> "), filed his First Amended Complaint. (Dkt. 31, " <u>Ocwen Complaint</u> "). The <u>Ocwen Complaint alleges that Ocwen violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692, <u>et seq.</u>, and the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("RFDCPA"), Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1788, <u>et seq.</u>, (<u>see id.</u> at ¶¶ 62-77), by wrongly attempting to collect a \$170,817.41 debt that is no longer owing and discharged in bankruptcy. (<u>See id.</u> at ¶¶ 39-40).</u>								
On March 13, 2017, the same plaintiff in <u>Castaneda v. Nationwide Credit, Inc., et al.,</u> 17-0468 (C.D. Cal.), filed his Complaint. (Dkt. 1, " <u>Nationwide Complaint</u> "). The <u>Nationwide Complaint alleges that Nationwide</u> , acting on behalf of Ocwen, violated the FDCPA and the RFDCPA, (<u>see id.</u> at $\P\P$ 16 & 29-43), by wrongly attempting to collect the same \$170,817.41 debt that is no longer owing and discharged in bankruptcy. (<u>See id.</u> at $\P\P$ 22-23).								
"If actions before the court involve a common question of law or fact, the court may consolidate the actions[.]" Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a)(2). The court "weighs the saving of time and effort consolidation would produce against any inconvenience, delay, or expense that it would cause." <u>Huene v. United States</u> , 743 F.2d 703, 704 (9th Cir. 1984). "A district court generally has 'broad' discretion to consolidate actions[.]" <u>Pierce v. Cnty. of Orange</u> , 526 F.3d 1190, 1203 (9th Cir.), <u>cert. denied</u> , 555 U.S. 1031 (2008). Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that by no later than March 27, 2017 , the parties shall file either a stipulation or response, not to exceed five pages, to show cause why the <u>Ocwen</u> and <u>Nationwide</u> actions should not be consolidated.								
					00	:	00	
			Initials of Pre	parer		vdr		