

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL**

Case No.: EDCV 16-00781 GW (RAO) Date: January 11, 2017  
Title: Antonio Candelario Michel v. San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department, et al.

Present: The Honorable **ROZELLA A. OLIVER, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

Gay Roberson  
Deputy Clerk

N/A  
Court Reporter / Recorder

Attorneys Present for Plaintiff(s):

Attorneys Present for Defendant(s):

N/A

N/A

**Proceedings:** (In Chambers) **ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE REGARDING  
PLAINTIFF'S FAILURE TO UPDATE HIS ADDRESS**

The Court previously issued a Report and Recommendation recommending that this action be dismissed without prejudice due to the apparent failure to prosecute and obey court orders on the part of Plaintiff Antonio Candelario Michel ("Plaintiff"). (Dkt. No. 20.) However, following receipt of a letter from Plaintiff, indicating his desire to continue pursuing the present action, and a letter from a facility at which Plaintiff currently is staying (Dkt. Nos. 21, 22), the Court withdrew its Report and Recommendation on November 21, 2016 (Dkt. No. 23).

In its order withdrawing the Report and Recommendation, the Court ordered Plaintiff to notify the Court, in writing, of his current address on or before December 12, 2016. (Dkt. No. 23 at 1.) The Court also modified previously set case deadlines, now requiring Plaintiff, on or before December 21, 2016, to file with the Court a Notice of Submission indicating that he has provided to the United States Marshals Service the necessary documents to complete service. (*Id.* at 2.) To date, the Court has not received any filing from Plaintiff in response to the November 21 order.

The Court's November 21 order expressly reminded Plaintiff of his obligation, pursuant to Local Rule 41-6, to keep the Court apprised of his current address and warned him that his failure to do so would permit the Court to dismiss the case for want of prosecution. (Dkt. No. 23 at 1.) Plaintiff received the same warning in the Court's September 13, 2016, Order re Civil Rights Case. (Dkt. No. 9 at 3.) Similarly, the Court's September 13, 2016, Order Directing Service of Process by the United States Marshal warned Plaintiff that his failure to timely file a

