2 3 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 1 11 1 12 Petitioner, 13 V. 14 V. 15 Respondent. 16 JUDGMENT 17 In accordance with the Order Accepting Findings and Recommendations of United States Magistrate Judge filed concurrently herewith, 17 IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED that the action is dismissed with prejudice. 19 OTIS D. WRIGHT, II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 12 OTIS D. WRIGHT, II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 13 Interch 21, 2017 14 Interch 21, 2017 15 Interch 21, 2017 16 Interch 21, 2017 17 Interch 21, 2017 18 Interch 21, 2017 19 Interch 21, 2017 11 Interch 21, 2017 12 Interch 21, 2017 13 Interch 21, 2017 14 Interch 21, 2017	1			
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 111 112 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 111 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 1	2			
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 18 19 10 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 18 19 10 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 18 19 10 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 18 19 10 <t< td=""><td>3</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	3			
6 7 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 RICHARD MAX WYATT, Case No. EDCV 16-0983-ODW (JEM) 12 Petitioner, J U D G M E N T 14 K. SEIBEL, Warden, J U D G M E N T 15 Respondent. J 16 Naccordance with the Order Accepting Findings and Recommendations of United States Magistrate Judge filed concurrently herewith, 17 In accordance with the Order Accepting Findings and Recommendations of United States Magistrate Judge filed concurrently herewith, 17 IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED that the action is dismissed with prejudice. 17 DATED: March 21, 2017 17 OTIS D. WRIGHT, II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	4			
7 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 1 12 RICHARD MAX WYATT, 13 Petitioner, 14 J U D G M E N T 15 Respondent. 16 N 17 In accordance with the Order Accepting Findings and Recommendations of United States Magistrate Judge filed concurrently herewith, 17 IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED that the action is dismissed with prejudice. 17 DATED:March 21, 2017	5			
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 RICHARD MAX WYATT, Case No. EDCV 16-0983-ODW (JEM) 12 Petitioner, J U D G M E N T 13 V. J U D G M E N T 14 K. SEIBEL, Warden, J 15 Respondent. J 16 In accordance with the Order Accepting Findings and Recommendations of United States Magistrate Judge filed concurrently herewith, IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED that the action is dismissed with prejudice. 17 DATED:March 21, 2017	6			
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 RICHARD MAX WYATT, Case No. EDCV 16-0983-ODW (JEM) 13 Petitioner, J U D G M E N T 14 V. K. SEIBEL, Warden, 15 Respondent. In accordance with the Order Accepting Findings and Recommendations of United 18 States Magistrate Judge filed concurrently herewith, IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED that the action is dismissed with prejudice. 20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 21 OTIS D. WRIGHT, II 22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	7			
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 RICHARD MAX WYATT, 12 Petitioner, 13 Petitioner, 14 V. 15 K. SEIBEL, Warden, 16 Respondent. 17 In accordance with the Order Accepting Findings and Recommendations of United 18 States Magistrate Judge filed concurrently herewith, 17 IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED that the action is dismissed with prejudice. 10 OTIS D. WRIGHT, II 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10 Image: Second state sta	9			
12 RICHARD MAX WYATT, Case No. EDCV 16-0983-ODW (JEM) 13 Petitioner, J U D G M E N T 14 V. K. SEIBEL, Warden, 15 Respondent. In accordance with the Order Accepting Findings and Recommendations of United 18 States Magistrate Judge filed concurrently herewith, IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED that the action is dismissed with prejudice. 20 DATED: March 21, 2017 March 21, 2017 OTIS D. WRIGHT, II 22 V. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	10			
12 Petitioner, J U D G M E N T 13 v. J 14 V. K. SEIBEL, Warden, 15 Respondent. J 16 In accordance with the Order Accepting Findings and Recommendations of United 18 States Magistrate Judge filed concurrently herewith, 19 IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED that the action is dismissed with prejudice. 20 Imarch 21, 2017 21 OTIS D. WRIGHT, II 22 OTIS D. WRIGHT, II 23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	11) RICHARD MAX WYATT.	Case No. EDCV 16-0983-ODW (JEM)	
13 v. 14 v. 15 K. SEIBEL, Warden, 16 Respondent. 17 In accordance with the Order Accepting Findings and Recommendations of United 18 States Magistrate Judge filed concurrently herewith, 19 IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED that the action is dismissed with prejudice. 20 March 21, 2017 21 OTIS D. WRIGHT, II 22 OTIS D. WRIGHT, II 23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	12			
 K. SEIBEL, Warden, Respondent. In accordance with the Order Accepting Findings and Recommendations of United States Magistrate Judge filed concurrently herewith, IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED that the action is dismissed with prejudice. DATED: March 21, 2017 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE)	JUDGMENT	
 Respondent. In accordance with the Order Accepting Findings and Recommendations of United In accordance with the Order Accepting Findings and Recommendations of United States Magistrate Judge filed concurrently herewith, IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED that the action is dismissed with prejudice. DATED: March 21, 2017 DATED: March 21, 2017 OTIS D. WRIGHT, II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 		K. SEIBEL, Warden,		
 In accordance with the Order Accepting Findings and Recommendations of United States Magistrate Judge filed concurrently herewith, IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED that the action is dismissed with prejudice. DATED: March 21, 2017 DATED: March 21, 2017 OTIS D. WRIGHT, II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE) Respondent.)		
 In accordance with the Order Accepting Findings and Recommendations of United States Magistrate Judge filed concurrently herewith, IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED that the action is dismissed with prejudice. DATED: March 21, 2017 March 21, 2017 OTIS D. WRIGHT, II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE)		
 States Magistrate Judge filed concurrently herewith, IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED that the action is dismissed with prejudice. DATED: March 21, 2017 March 21, 2017 OTIS D. WRIGHT, II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 		In accordance with the Order Accepting Findings and Recommendations of United		
 IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED that the action is dismissed with prejudice. DATED: March 21, 2017 March 21, 2017 OTIS D. WRIGHT, II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE Image: Additional states of the state sta		States Magistrate Judge filed concurrently herewith,		
21 DATED: March 21, 2017 March 21, 2017 22 OTIS D. WRIGHT, II 23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 24 Value		IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED that the action is dismissed with prejudice.		
DATED: <u>March 21, 2017</u> DATED: <u>March 21, 2017</u> OTIS D. WRIGHT, II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 24			Citize as Wing he	
23 24		DATED: <u>March 21, 2017</u>	*/	
24			UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
26				
27				
28				