Randy Conan, et a	v. City of Fontana	Doc.	195	
1				
2				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT		
10	CENTRAL DISTRICT COURT OF O	CENTRAL DISTRICT COURT OF CALIFORNIA – EASTERN DIVISION		
11				
12	RANDY CONAN; XYLINA CONAN,	CASE NO. 5:16-cv-01261 - KK		
13	Plaintiffs,	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT		
14	Trainting,	Trial: October 24, 2017		
15	VS.	Complaint filed: June 10, 2016		
	JOSHUA PATTY, et al.,	Complaint med. June 10, 2010		
17	Defendants.			
	Defendants.			
18	O I 20 2017 PL: ::00 P 1			
19	On January 30, 2017, Plaintiffs Randy Conan and Xylina Conan filed the operative Third Amended Complaint against Defendants City of Fontana, Joshua Patty, Kurtis Schlotterbeck, Adam Clabaugh, Matthew Roth, Steven Bechtold, and Bradley Guith. Dkt. No. 40.			
20				
21				
22	/			
23	/ / /			
24	/ / /			
25	/			
26	/ / /]	
27	///			
28	/ / /			
20	PROPOSED] JUDGMENT			
	_	Dockets.Justia.d	om	

On September 5, 2017, and by way of stipulation of the parties, Plaintiff Randy Conan voluntarily dismissed his Second Claim for Relief ("Unreasonable Search and Seizure—Denial of Medical Care (42 U.S.C. § 1983)"). Dkt. No. 114.

On October 3, 2017, former Defendants Kurtis Schlotterbeck, Adam Clabaugh, Matthew Roth, Steven Bechtold, and Bradley Guith were ordered dismissed from this action. Dkt. No. 156.

On October 6, 2017, the Court ordered bifurcation of trial such that Plaintiff Randy Conan's Third, Fourth, and Fifth Claims for Relief for "Municipal Liability" against the City of Fontana, Plaintiff Randy Conan's compensatory damages, amount of punitive damages, and Plaintiff Xylina Conan's Eighth Claim for Relief for "Loss of Consortium" would be tried only if Plaintiff Randy Conan achieved a unanimous verdict in his favor on his First Claim for Relief for "Excessive Force," Sixth Claim for Relief for "Battery," and/or Seventh Claim for Relief for "Negligence." Dkt. No. 158.

Phase I of this bifurcated action came on regularly for trial on October 24, 2017, in Courtroom 4 of the United States District Court, Central District of California, Eastern Division, located at 3470 Twelfth Street, Riverside, California, the Hon. Kenly Kiya Kato, presiding. Plaintiff Randy Conan appeared by attorneys Marjorie Barrios and Alfred R. Hernandez. Defendants Joshua Patty and the City of Fontana appeared by attorneys S. Frank Harrell and Jesse K. Cox. A jury of eight persons was regularly impaneled and sworn. Pursuant to this Court's order of October 6, 2017, Dkt. No. 158, the case proceeded with Phase I of trial limited to Plaintiff Randy Conan's First Claim for Relief for "Excessive Force" against Joshua Patty, Plaintiff Randy Conan's Sixth Claim for Relief for "Battery" against Joshua Patty, and Plaintiff Randy Conan's Seventh Claim for Relief for "Negligence" against Joshua Patty and the City of Fontana.

After hearing all of the evidence, the Court duly instructed the jury, and the cause was submitted to the jury. On October 30, 2017, the jury returned into Court

1	with a unanimous Special Verdict in favor of Defendants Joshua Patty and the City			
2	of Fontana as follows:			
3				
4	QUESTION 1:			
5	Did Defendant Patty use excessive or unreasonable force against Plaintiff			
6	Randy Conan?			
7	YES X NO			
8	If you answered "Yes" to Question 1, please answer Question 2.			
9	If you answer "No" to Question 1, please proceed to Question 4.			
10				
11	QUESTION 2:			
12	Was the use of excessive or unreasonable force by Defendant Patty a cause of			
13	Plaintiff Randy Conan's harm?			
14	YES NO			
15	If you answered "Yes" to Question 2, please answer Question 3.			
16	If you answer "No" to Question 2, please proceed to Question 4.			
17				
18	QUESTION 3:			
19	Did Defendant Patty act with malice, oppression, or in reckless disregard of			
20	Plaintiff Randy Conan's Fourth Amendment rights?			
21	YES NO			
22	Please proceed to Question 4.			
23	///			
24	///			
25	///			
26	///			
27	///			
28	///			
	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT			

1	QUESTION 4:				
2	Was Defendant Patty negligent in his conduct towards Plaintiff Randy				
3	Conan?				
4	YESXNO				
5	If you answered "Yes" to Question 4, please answer Question 5.				
6	If you answered "No" to Question 4, please sign, date, and return the verdict form.				
7					
8	QUESTION 5:				
9	Was the negligence of Defendant Patty a cause of Plaintiff Randy Conan's				
10	harm?				
11	YES NO				
12	If you answered "Yes" to Question 5, please answer Question 6.				
13	If you answered "No" to Question 5, please sign, date, and return the verdict form.				
14					
15	QUESTION 6:				
16	Was Plaintiff Randy Conan negligent?				
17	YES NO				
18	If you answered "Yes" to Question 6, please answer Question 7.				
19	If you answered "No" to Question 6, please sign, date, and return the verdict form.				
20					
21	QUESTION 7:				
22	Was Plaintiff Randy Conan's negligence a cause of his harm?				
23	YES NO				
24	If you answered "Yes" to Question 7, please answer Question 8.				
25	If you answered "No" to Question 7, please sign, date, and return the verdict form.				
26	///				
27	///				
28	///				
	А				
	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT				

1	QUESTION 8:				
2	Assuming that 100% represents the total negligence of all individuals who				
3	caused Plaintiff Randy Conan's harm, wh	caused Plaintiff Randy Conan's harm, what percentage of negligence do you assign			
4	to the following?				
5	Defendant Patty	%			
6	Plaintiff Randy Conan	%			
7	7 Total 100)%			
8	3				
9	Please sign and date the verdict form and	return it to the Court.			
10					
11	Date: October 30, 2017				
12	2	Jury Foreperson			
13	3				
14	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORD	ERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as			
15	follows:				
16	That Defendants Joshua Patty, the City of Fontana, Kurtis Schlotterbeck,				
17	Adam Clabaugh, Matthew Roth, Steven Bechtold, and Bradley Guith have				
18	Judgment entered in their favor, and that	Judgment entered in their favor, and that Plaintiffs Randy Conan and Xylina Conan			
19	take nothing by way of their operative Co	mplaint against these Defendants;			
20) / / /				
21	I ///				
22	2 ///				
23	3 ///				
24	1 ///				
25	5 ///				
26	5 ///				
28	3 ///				
	5				
	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT				

That this matter be, and hereby is, dismissed as to Defendants Joshua Patty, the City of Fontana, Kurtis Schlotterbeck, Adam Clabaugh, Matthew Roth, Steven Bechtold, and Bradley Guith with prejudice; and That Defendants recover their costs of suit from Plaintiffs in accordance with applicable law. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: November 20, 2017 Kentyin HON. KENLY KIYA KATO United States Magistrate Judge 4834-5793-6979, v. 1