## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

#### **CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL**

| No.   | ED CV 16-1382-R-AS                  | Date | September 26, 2017 |
|-------|-------------------------------------|------|--------------------|
| Title | Antonio Calles v. Dr. Johannes Haar |      |                    |

| Present: The Honorable Alka Sagar, United States Magistrate Judge |   |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Alma Felix  | Not reported                                |  |  |  |
| Deputy Clerk  | Court Reporter / Recorder                   |  |  |  |
| Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:                                 | Attorneys Present for Defendants:           |  |  |  |
| Not present   | Not present                                 |  |  |  |
| Proceedings (In Chambers):  | Order to Show Cause Re: Lack of Prosecution |  |  |  |

On May 11, 2017, the Court issued an ordered granting-in-part and denying-in-part Defendant's motion for judgment on the pleadings, dismissing Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint with leave to amend. (Docket Entry No. 31). Plaintiff directed to "file a Second Amended Complaint no later than 30 days from the date of this Order." <u>Id.</u> at 18. Plaintiff was "explicitly cautioned that failure to timely file a Second Amended Complaint, or failure to correct the deficiencies described . . . , may result in a recommendation that this action, or portions thereof, be dismissed with prejudice for failure to prosecute and/or failure to comply with court orders." (<u>Id.</u> at 14).

To date, Plaintiff has failed to file a Second Amended Complaint or request a further extension of time to do so. Accordingly, Plaintiff is ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE, in writing, no later than **October 20, 2017**, why this action should not be dismissed with prejudice for failure to prosecute. This Order will be discharged upon the filing of a Second Amended Complaint that cures the deficiencies in the last pleading or upon the filing of a declaration under penalty of perjury stating why Plaintiff is unable to file a Second Amended Complaint. <u>A copy of the Court's May 11, 2017, Order is attached for Plaintiff's convenience.</u>

If Plaintiff no longer wishes to pursue this action, he may request a voluntary dismissal pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a). <u>A notice of dismissal form is attached for Plaintiff's convenience</u>. Plaintiff is warned that a failure to timely respond to

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

#### **CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL**

| No.   | ED CV 16-1382-R-AS                  | Date | September 26, 2017 |
|-------|-------------------------------------|------|--------------------|
| Title | Antonio Calles v. Dr. Johannes Haar |      |                    |

# this Order will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed with prejudice under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) for failure to prosecute and obey court orders.

cc: Manuel L. Real United States District Judge

 $\begin{array}{c} 0 & : & 00 \\ \hline \text{Initials of Preparer} & AF \\ \end{array}$