

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL**

Case No. ED CV 16-2135 R (JCG) Date February 28, 2017

Title Robert Bentley v. Lorenza Hilliard, et al.

Present: The Honorable **Jay C. Gandhi, United States Magistrate Judge**

Kristee Hopkins

None Appearing

Deputy Clerk

Court Reporter / Recorder

Tape No.

Attorneys Present for Plaintiff:

Attorneys Present for Defendants:

None Appearing

None Appearing

**Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED**

On October 7, 2016, plaintiff Robert Bentley (“Plaintiff”), who is proceeding *pro se*, lodged a civil rights complaint (“Complaint”) pursuant to *Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of the Federal Bureau of Narcotics*, 403 U.S. 388 (1971). [Dkt. No. 1.] On January 25, 2017, the Court screened the Complaint, and found it wanting in several respects. [See Dkt. No. 6.] Accordingly, the Court dismissed the Complaint but granted Plaintiff leave to amend within thirty days. [*Id.* at 3.] As of today’s date, Plaintiff has yet to file a First Amended Complaint.

Accordingly, within **fourteen (14) days** of the date of this Order, Plaintiff is **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE**, in writing, why this action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and/or comply with a court order. If Plaintiff files his First Amended Complaint within **fourteen (14) days** of the date of this Order, he need not separately respond to this Order to Show Cause.

**Plaintiff is expressly warned that his failure to timely file a response will be deemed by the Court as consent to the dismissal of this action without prejudice.**

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

cc: Parties of Record

Initials of Clerk

00 : 00  
kh