

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

DEAN RABBITT,

Plaintiff,

v.

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. ED CV 17-0280 FMO (KKx)

**ORDER DISMISSING ACTION WITHOUT
PREJUDICE**

On February 15, 2017, plaintiff Dean Rabbit (“plaintiff”) filed a complaint against several defendants asserting state-law claims arising from the foreclosure of his property. (See Dkt. 1, Complaint). Subject matter jurisdiction was predicated on diversity of citizenship pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332. (See id. at p. 2). On March 31, 2017, the court issued an ordering requiring plaintiff to show cause no later than March 23, 2017, why this matter should not be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. (See Dkt. 9, Court’s Order of March 13, 2017 (“OSC”)). Plaintiff was cautioned that “[f]ailure to respond to this order to show cause by the deadline set forth above shall be deemed as consent to the dismissal of the action without prejudice for failure to prosecute and/or failure to comply with a court order. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); Link v. Wabash R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 629-30, 82 S.Ct. 1386, 1388 (1962).” As of the filing date of this Order, no response to the OSC has been filed. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that the above-captioned case is

