United States of America et al v. City of Riverside et al Doc. 13

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	EDCV 17-0379-AG(ASx)	Date	March 22, 2018
Title	U.S.A., ET AL v CITY OF RIVERSIDE, ET AL		

Present: The Honorable	**ANDREW J. GUILFORD, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE**	
Lisa Bredahl	Not Present	
Deputy Clerk	Court Reporter / Recorder	Tape No.
Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:	Attorneys Present for Defendants:	
Not Present	Not Present	

Proceedings: **[IN CHAMBERS] ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION**

The Court on its own motion, hereby ORDERS Plaintiff(s) to show cause in writing no later than **April 6, 2018,** why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. Alternatively, it will be a sufficient response to this OSC to file on or before that date one of the following:

- A proof of proper service of the summons and complaint on Defendant(s);
- Papers properly seeking entry of default or a default judgment; or
- Answers or other filings by Defendant(s).

"If a defendant is not served within 90 days after the complaint is filed, the court—on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff—must dismiss the action . . . ." Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). And, if the action has not been diligently prosecuted, the Court may dismiss the action before the expiration of such time.

Plaintiff(s) have the responsibility to respond promptly to orders and to prosecute the action diligently, including filing proofs of service and stipulations extending time. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 1. Stipulations affecting the progress of the case must be approved by the Court. L.R. 7-1.

No oral argument of this matter will be heard unless ordered by the Court. The Order will stand submitted upon the filing of a responsive pleading or motion on or before the date plaintiff(s)' response is due. Plaintiff shall move this case forward or it will be dismissed.

: 0

Initials of Preparer lmb

Dockets.Justia.com