|  | JS-6  |
|--|---|
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
| 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |   |
| 8 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |   |
|  | C N 5 17 00556 IAW WEG  |
| FRANK A. RUST,   | Case No. 5:17-cv-00556-JAK-KES  |
| Plaintiff,   | JUDGMENT  |
| V.   |   |
| CHINO PRISON HEALTHCARE  |   |
| PROVIDERS, et al.,   |   |
| Defendants.  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
| Pursuant to the Court's Order Accepting the Report and Recommendation of   |   |
|  |   |
| IT IS ADJUDGED that (a) Defendants' motion for summary judgment (Dkt. 66) is granted as to Plaintiff's (i) Eighth Amendment claims against Drs. Vu and |   |
| Farooq arising out of their alleged failure to protect him from LVN Warfield,  |   |
| (ii) Eighth Amendment claim against Dr. Vu arising out of the failure to provide   |   |
| Plaintiff with a cervical pillow, and (iii) First Amendment claim against Dr. Vu   |   |
| 25 arising out of his alleged retaliation against Plaintiff failing to provide him with a  |   |
|  |   |
| 27 IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED that all of Plaintiff's other federal claims are   |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  | FRANK A. RUST,  Plaintiff,  v.  CHINO PRISON HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS, et al.,  Defendants.  Pursuant to the Court's Order Acc United States Magistrate Judge,  IT IS ADJUDGED that (a) Defendante  66) is granted as to Plaintiff's (i) Eighth Farooq arising out of their alleged failur (ii) Eighth Amendment claim against Dr Plaintiff with a cervical pillow, and (iii) arising out of his alleged retaliation again cervical pillow; |

dismissed without prejudice for failure to exhaust administrative remedies; and IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED that Plaintiff's claims sounding in state law (if any) are dismissed without prejudice to Plaintiff pursuing those claims in state court.

DATED: May 29, 2019

JOHN A. KRONSTADT UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE