

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No. EDCV 17-0694-DOC (JEM)

Date Oct. 13, 2017

Title Arthur Nicholas Gilreath v. Corten Bach, et al.

Present: The
Honorable

S. Anthony

Deputy Clerk

Court Reporter / Recorder

Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:

Attorneys Present for Defendants:

Proceedings: ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS CASE SHOULD NOT BE
DISMISSED AGAINST DEFENDANT CORTEN BACH FOR LACK OF
PROSECUTION

Plaintiff is ordered to show cause in writing no later than **November 3, 2017**, why this action should not be dismissed against Defendant Corten Bach for lack of prosecution.

The Court will consider the filing of one of the following to be an appropriate response to this Order, on or before the above date:

- Proof of service of the summons and complaint on Corten Bach establishing proper service, as explained in the Court’s June 14, 2017 order.¹ (Form POS-010.) **OR**
- Notice of voluntary dismissal of Corten Bach. (Form CV-09.)

In accordance with Rule 78 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 7-15, no oral argument of this matter will be heard unless ordered by the Court. This Order will stand submitted upon the filing of a response by Plaintiff. Failure to respond may result in the dismissal of the action.

The Clerk is directed to provide Plaintiff with a copy of Form POS-010 and Form CV-09.

cc: Parties

Initials of Preparer _____ : _____
sa

¹ In the June 14, 2017 minute order, the Court mistakenly referenced the California Highway Patrol (“CHP”) as a defendant. However, the CHP is not a named defendant in this action.