

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
CIVIL MINUTES—GENERAL

Case No. **EDCV 17-867-JGB (KKx)**

Date: November 1, 2017

Title: ***Belen Torrez v. Freedom Mortgage Corporation, et al.***

Present: The Honorable **KENLY KIYA KATO, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

DEB TAYLOR

Deputy Clerk

Not Reported

Court Reporter

Attorney(s) Present for Plaintiff(s):

None Present

Attorney(s) Present for Defendant(s):

None Present

Proceedings: Order re: Stipulated Protective Order [Dkt. 34]

The parties' proposed Stipulation and Protective Order has been referred by the District Judge to the Magistrate Judge for consideration. The parties are advised that the Court declines to issue the proposed protective order to which they have stipulated for the following reasons:

1. While the Court is willing to enter a protective order in accordance with the parties' stipulation in order to facilitate the conduct of discovery, the Court is unwilling to include in the protective order any provisions relating to evidence presented at trial or other court hearings or proceedings. **Any use of Protected Material at trial or other court hearings or proceedings shall be governed by the orders of the trial judge.** The stipulation should, thus, include language to make this explicit.

2. The parties shall include a statement of good cause, as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c). Such showing should be made separate from the parties' stipulation regarding the terms of the proposed protective order.

3. Proposed ¶ 6.1 must be revised to make clear that any motion for protective order may be made at any stage of these proceedings *that is consistent with the Court's Scheduling Order.*

4. Proposed ¶ 7.2 must be revised to make clear that the terms of the Protective Order do not apply to the Court and court personnel, *including the Court's court reporters*, who are

subject only to the Court's internal procedures regarding the handling of material filed or lodged, including material filed or lodged under seal.

5. The stipulation needs to be revised to make clear a Party that seeks to file under seal any Protected Material must comply with Civil Local Rule 79-5. The stipulation only cites Local Rule 79-5.1 which merely defines the terms "under seal" and "sealed," whereas 79-5.2 and 79-5.3 set forth the procedures for filing documents under seal. Protected Material may only be filed under seal pursuant to a court order authorizing the sealing of the specific Protected Material at issue. If a Party's request to file Protected Material under seal is denied by the court, then the Receiving Party may file the information in the public record unless otherwise instructed by the court.

6. The signature block for the judge in the proposed Order needs to be changed to the signature block for the Magistrate Judge.

The parties are further directed to the Court's sample stipulated protective order located on the Court's website for a sample of the format of an approved stipulated protective order. The parties are strongly encouraged to use the language contained in the approved stipulated protective order.

cc: United States District Judge Jesus G. Bernal