1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COUDT	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	HOIST FITNESS SYSTEMS, INC.,	Case No. EDCV 17-1388-AB (KKx)
11	Plaintiff(s),	
12	V.	ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS
13	TUFFSTUFF FITNESS	AND RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE
14	INTERNATIONAL, INC.,	JUDGE
15	Defendant(s).	
16		
17		
18	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed Plaintiff's Motion	
19	seeking to strike portions of the rebuttal expert reports served by Defendant, the	
20	relevant records on file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States	
21	Magistrate Judge. The Court has engaged in <u>de novo</u> review of those portions of	
22	the Report to which Plaintiff has objected. The Court overrules the objections and	
23	accepts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge.	
24	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion is (1) GRANTED	
25	IN PART and Defendant (a) shall produce Mr. Smith's computer models in native	
26	file format, <sup>1</sup> and (b) permit Plaintiff to depose Mr. Smith for up to one hour	
27		
28	<sup>1</sup> The Court understands based on Plaintiff's objections that Mr. Smith's computer models have already been produced in native file format.	

1	regarding the computer models <sup>2</sup> ; and (2) DENIED IN PART to the extent	
2	Plaintiff's Motion seeks to strike portions of the expert rebuttal reports of Mr.	
3	Smith and Dr. Sternlicht.	
4		
5	Dated: July 15, 2019	
6	HONORABLE ANDRÉ BIROTTE, JR. United States District Judge	
7	Officed States District Judge	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	<sup>2</sup> After conferring with Defendant, Plaintiff may request recovery of its costs associated with this deposition, with any determination regarding whether Defendant should be required to cover all	
26	costs of the deposition deferred. Plaintiff may similarly request the opportunity to serve a narrowly-tailored supplemental expert report from Mr. Lenz regarding Mr. Smith's computer	
27	models, with any determination regarding whether Plaintiff should be permitted to serve a supplemental expert report for Mr. Lenz deferred. Any opposed requests for this additional relief	
28	shall not exceed five pages total and shall not include or be met with arguments rearguing the merits of Plaintiff's Motion to Strike.	
	2	