## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL MINUTES—GENERAL

Case No.		EDCV 17-1799-JGB (KKx)		Date:	April 18, 2018	
Title:	Title: Aiden Stockman, et al. v. Donald J. Trump, et al.					
Present: The Honorable KENLY KIYA KATO, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE						
		DEB TAYLOR	Not Reported			
Deputy Clerk			Court Reporter			
Attorney(s) Present for Plaintiff(s):			Attorney(s) Present for Defendant(s):			
None Present			None Present			

Proceedings: Order re: Stipulated Protective Order and Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d) Order [Dkts. 90, 91]

The parties' proposed Stipulated Protective Order and Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d) Order have been referred by the District Judge to the Magistrate Judge for consideration. The parties are advised that the Court declines to issue the proposed orders to which they have stipulated for the following reasons:

- 1. While the Court is willing to enter a protective order in accordance with the parties' stipulation in order to facilitate the conduct of discovery, the Court is unwilling to include in the protective order any provisions relating to evidence presented at trial or other court hearings or proceedings. Any use of Protected Material at trial or other court hearings or proceedings shall be governed by the orders of the trial judge. The stipulation should, thus, include language to make this explicit.
- 2. Proposed ¶¶ 8, 11, and 17 of the Protective Order need to be revised to make clear that any motion challenging a party's designation of material as Confidential Information or seeking to modify or amend the proposed Protective Order must be brought in strict compliance with Local Rules 37-1 and 37-2 (including the Joint Stipulation requirement).
- 3. Proposed ¶ 14 of the Protective Order needs to be revised to make clear a Party that seeks to file under seal any Protected Material must comply with Civil Local Rule 79-5. Protected Material may only be filed under seal pursuant to a court order authorizing the sealing

Page 1 of 2 CIVIL MINUTES—GENERAL

Initials of Deputy Clerk

of the specific Protected Material at issue. If a Party's request to file Protected Material under seal is denied by the court, then the Receiving Party may file the information in the public record unless otherwise instructed by the court.

- 4. The Protective Order shall unequivocally state that nothing in the protective order shall be construed as authorizing a party to disobey a lawful subpoena or court order issued in another action.
- 5. Proposed ¶ 5 of the Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d) Order needs to be revised to make clear that any motions disputing privilege assertions must be brought in strict compliance with Local Rules 37-1 and 37-2 (including the Joint Stipulation requirement) and Local Rule 79-5, and may only be filed under seal pursuant to a separate court order authorizing the sealing of the specific Protected Material at issue.

The parties are further directed to the Court's sample stipulated protective order located on the Court's website for a sample of the format of an approved stipulated protective order. The parties are strongly encouraged to use the language contained in the approved stipulated protective order.

cc: United States District Judge Jesus G. Bernal