

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

TROY ALEXANDER SANDERS,
Petitioner,
v.
G. MARSHALL,
Respondent.

Case No. EDCV 20-00885-JVS (PD)

**ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT
AND RECOMMENDATION OF
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE
JUDGE AND DENYING A
CERTIFICATE OF
APPEALABILITY**

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, the records on file, the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge (“Report”), and Petitioner’s Objections to that Report. The Court has engaged in a *de novo* review of those portions of the Report to which Petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the Report and adopts it as its own findings and conclusions.

Further, for the reasons stated in the Report and Recommendation, the Court finds that Petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right or that it erred in its procedural ruling and, therefore,

1 a certificate of appealability is denied. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); Fed. R. App.
2 P. 22(b); *Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336 (2003); *Slack v. McDaniel*,
3 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000). Accordingly, the Petition is dismissed without
4 prejudice.

5
6 DATED: January 07, 2021



7
8 JAMES V. SELNA
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28