

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL**

Case No.	5:20-cv-02179-AB (GJS)	Date	June 3, 2021
----------	------------------------	------	--------------

Title	LaSean Jackson v. County of San Bernardino, <i>et al.</i>
-------	---

Present:	Hon. Gail J. Standish, United States Magistrate Judge
----------	---

E. Carson

N/A

Deputy Clerk

Court Reporter / Recorder

Attorneys Present for Plaintiff:

Attorneys Present for Defendant:

None present

None present

**Proceedings:** (IN CHAMBERS) Order To Show Cause Re: Failure To Provide Court With Valid Address And Possible Dismissal

On May 14, 2021, the Court issued an “Order Requiring Action” advising Plaintiff of his various options in pursuing his civil rights case in this Court. The copy of the May 14 Order served upon Plaintiff at his docket address of record (the West Valley Detention Center) was returned by the U.S. Postal Service to the Clerk’s Office on May 24, 2021, as undeliverable, with notations “Return to Sender” and “No Longer in Custody.” [Dkt. 11].

Local Rule 41-6 provides that:

A party proceeding *pro se* shall keep the Court and opposing parties apprised of such party’s current address and telephone number, if any, and e-mail address, if any. If mail directed by the Clerk to a *pro se* plaintiff’s address of record is returned undelivered by the Postal Service, and if, within fifteen (15) days of the service date, such plaintiff fails to notify, in writing, the Court and opposing parties of said plaintiff’s current address, the Court may dismiss the action with or without prejudice for want of prosecution.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL**

Case No.	5:20-cv-02179-AB (GJS)	Date	June 3, 2021
Title	LaSean Jackson v. County of San Bernardino, <i>et al.</i>		

More than 15 days have passed since the May 14 Order was served on Plaintiff at his docket address of record, and Plaintiff has not filed a notice of his new address, or otherwise contacted the Court to provide a current address. Plaintiff has thus failed to comply with the Local Rule 41-6 requirement that he submit a Notice of Change of Address for filing when his address changed. Given Plaintiff's failure to provide the Court with a current address or other means of contacting him, the Court has no means of communicating with him, given his failure to provide the Court with a telephone number or email address.

Accordingly, Plaintiff is ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE why the Court should not dismiss this action for failure to comply with Local Rule 41-6. **By no later than June 17, 2021**, Plaintiff shall file a response to this Order To Show Cause explaining why he has failed to comply with Local Rule 41-6. Alternatively, Plaintiff may discharge his obligation under this Order To Show Cause by simply filing the required Local Rule 41-6 Notice of Change of Address by the June 17, 2021 deadline.

**Plaintiff is cautioned that the failure to comply with this Order To Show Cause will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and/or Local Rule 41-6 for want of prosecution.**

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**