

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

JS-6

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STEWART MANAGO,
Plaintiff,
v.
CITY OF BARSTOW, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 5:21-cv-2032-MCS-KES

JUDGMENT


Pursuant to the Court’s Order Accepting Report and Recommendation of
U.S. Magistrate Judge, IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED that:

- (1) the FAC (Dkt 20) is dismissed in its entirety;
- (2) the following § 1983 claims are dismissed with prejudice:
 - (a) the excessive force claims based on the extraction incident
against all Defendants;
 - (b) the denial of medical care claims against all Defendants;
 - (c) the supervisory liability claims based on the extraction incident
against Defendants Cahow, Lansdown, and Faylor;
- (3) the following § 1983 claims are dismissed without prejudice but
without leave to amend in this action:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- (a) the excessive force claims based on the food port incident against Defendants Cahow and Schirmbeck;
- (b) failure to protect/supervisory liability claims based on the food port incident against Defendants Cahow, Lansdown, and Faylor;
- (c) the concealment or spoliation of evidence claims against Defendants Faylor, Ramirez, Jewsbury, and Vanderkallen;
- (d) the denial of access to the courts claims against all Defendants;
- and
- (e) the retaliation claims against all Defendants.

DATED: September 14, 2022



Mark C. Scarsi
United States Magistrate Judge