

JS-6

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CECILIA MARTINEZ, individually,
and on behalf of all others similarly
situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

MEAD JOHNSON & COMPANY,
LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 5:22-cv-00213-JWH-SHK

JUDGMENT

1 Pursuant to the “Order on Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss [ECF
2 No. 38]” and “Order Granting Plaintiff’s Motion to Dismiss Count Eight [ECF
3 No. 43],” and in accordance with Rule 58 of the Federal Rules of Civil
4 Procedure, it is hereby **ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED** as follows:

5 1. This Court possesses subject matter jurisdiction over the above-
6 captioned action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 5 U.S.C. §§ 701, *et seq.*


7 2. The operative pleading is the complaint (the “Complaint”) [ECF
8 No. 1] of Plaintiff Cecilia Martinez, individually, and on behalf of all others
9 similarly situated.

10 3. Defendant Mead Johnson & Company, LLC shall have
11 **JUDGMENT** in its **FAVOR**, and **AGAINST** Plaintiff Martinez. Plaintiff
12 Martinez shall take nothing by way of her Complaint. This action is
13 **DISMISSED.**

14 4. Other than potential post-judgment remedies (including those
15 provided in Rule 54(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure), to the extent
16 that any party requests any other form of relief, such request is **DENIED.**

17 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18
19 Dated: January 17, 2023



John W. Holcomb
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28