	JS-6
	S DISTRICT COURT ICT OF CALIFORNIA
D. D. W.	CASE NUMBER
Beau Burnette,	5:24-cv-00372-FMO-RAO
Plaintiff(s)/Petitioner(s	s),
Kevin Hixon,	ORDER OF DISMISSAL OR REMAND
Defendant(s)/Respondent(s	s).
The Court previously received from ⊠ Plaintiff(s)/	Petitioner(s) Defendant(s)/Respondent(s):
an IFP Request with no accompanying Cor	nplaint/Petition/Notice of Removal.
□ a Complaint/Petition/Notice of Removal w or payment of the filing fees.	ithout an accompanying IFP Request
a Complaint/Petition/Notice of Removal w less than the full fee.	ith a partial filing fee payment for an amount
The Court sent a warning letter to X Plaintiff(s)/Peti-	· () □ D (1 ·() / D 1 ·() 1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
failure to correct this deficiency within THIRTY DAY dismissal or remand of this case. More than THIRTY	tioner(s) Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) advising that 'S from the date of the warning letter would result in DAYS have now passed, and the deficiency has not been
failure to correct this deficiency within THIRTY DAY dismissal or remand of this case. More than THIRTY corrected.	S from the date of the warning letter would result in
failure to correct this deficiency within THIRTY DAY dismissal or remand of this case. More than THIRTY corrected.	S from the date of the warning letter would result in
failure to correct this deficiency within THIRTY DAY dismissal or remand of this case. More than THIRTY corrected. Accordingly, this case is HEREBY ORDERED	S from the date of the warning letter would result in DAYS have now passed, and the deficiency has not been
failure to correct this deficiency within THIRTY DAY dismissal or remand of this case. More than THIRTY corrected. Accordingly, this case is HEREBY ORDERED DISMISSED without prejudice.	S from the date of the warning letter would result in DAYS have now passed, and the deficiency has not been
failure to correct this deficiency within THIRTY DAY dismissal or remand of this case. More than THIRTY corrected. Accordingly, this case is HEREBY ORDERED DISMISSED without prejudice.	S from the date of the warning letter would result in DAYS have now passed, and the deficiency has not been
failure to correct this deficiency within THIRTY DAY dismissal or remand of this case. More than THIRTY corrected. Accordingly, this case is HEREBY ORDERED DISMISSED without prejudice.	S from the date of the warning letter would result in DAYS have now passed, and the deficiency has not been
failure to correct this deficiency within THIRTY DAY dismissal or remand of this case. More than THIRTY corrected. Accordingly, this case is HEREBY ORDERED DISMISSED without prejudice.	S from the date of the warning letter would result in DAYS have now passed, and the deficiency has not been
failure to correct this deficiency within THIRTY DAY dismissal or remand of this case. More than THIRTY corrected. Accordingly, this case is HEREBY ORDERED DISMISSED without prejudice.	S from the date of the warning letter would result in DAYS have now passed, and the deficiency has not been