UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL MINUTES— GENERAL

Case No. 5:24-cv-01383-SSS-SPx		Date	January 6, 2025
Title Isaac Delgado v. Oldcastle Infrastructure, Inc., et al.			
Present: The Honorable SUNSHINE S. SYKES, UNITED ST		D STATES	S DISTRICT JUDGE
⁷ azquez	<u> </u>	Not	Reported
⁷ azquez y Clerk			Reported t Reporter
			1
y Clerk		Cour	1
	lo v. Old	lo v. Oldcastle Infrastructure, Inc., et al.	lo v. Oldcastle Infrastructure, Inc., et al.

Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) Order to Show Cause Why Sanctions Should Not Be Imposed Against Plaintiff's and Defendant's Counsel for Failure to File a Rule 26(f) Report

On November 18, 2024, the Court issued an order setting the Scheduling Conference for January 17, 2025 [Dkt. 13]. Pursuant to Rule 26(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the parties are to file their Joint Rule 26(f) Report not later than 14 days before the Scheduling Conference. As of today's date, the parties have not filed a Joint Rule 26(f) Report.

Accordingly, the Court hereby **ORDERS** counsel for Plaintiff, Emil Davtyan; David Arakelyan; David Keledjian; and David Yeremian, and counsel for Defendant, Karin Morgan Cogbill and Diana Han, to show cause why they should not each be sanctioned in the amount of \$250 for their failure to file a timely Joint Rule 26(f) Report. Counsel are **DIRECTED** to respond in writing to this Order to Show Cause by Monday, **January 13, 2025**, at 12:00 noon. Counsel's failure to respond—or counsel's filing of an inadequate response—may result in the imposition of additional sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.