UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL MINUTES— GENERAL

Case No.		5:24-cv-02508-SSS-SHKx		Date	January 27, 2025	
Title	Brar	ndi Siebert v. Relish Labs, LLC, et al.				
Present: The Honorable SUNSHINE S. SYKES, UN					TATES	DISTRICT JUDGE
Irene Vazquez				Not Reported		
Deputy Clerk				Court Reporter		
Attorney(s) Present for Plaintiff(s):				Attorney(s) Present for Defendant(s):		
None Present				None Present		
Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) Order to Show Cause Why Sanctions						

Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) Order to Show Cause why Sanctions Should Not Be Imposed Against Plaintiff's and Defendants' Counsel for Failure to File a Rule 26(f) Report

On December 5, 2024, the Court issued an order setting the Scheduling Conference for February 7, 2025 [Dkt. 8]. Pursuant to Rule 26(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the parties are to file their Joint Rule 26(f) Report not later than 14 days before the Scheduling Conference. As of today's date, the parties have not filed a Joint Rule 26(f) Report.

Accordingly, the Court hereby **ORDERS** counsel for Plaintiff, Perry G. Smith and Danielle N. Riddles, and counsel for Defendants, Jessica Fortner Hahn, to show cause why they should not each be sanctioned in the amount of \$250 for their failure to file a timely Joint Rule 26(f) Report. Counsel are **DIRECTED** to respond in writing to this Order to Show Cause by Monday, **February 3, 2025**, at 12:00 noon. Counsel's failure to respond—or counsel's filing of an inadequate response—may result in the imposition of additional sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.