| Lynn Forslund, et   | al v. Scott J Rein, et al   | Doo   |
|---|---|---|
| Lynn Forslund, etc.  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | IN THE UNITED STATE: CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFO LYNN & ED FORSLUND; GABRIEL & BEATRICE HERRERA; JIM HERRON; TED LACY; ERIC MARTENS; ARNIE ADLER; ARTHUR ELLSWORTH; KEN SKACEL; YOLANDA SIDDIQUE; RULIFF NEVIUS; NITA PAGE; BETTY FORREST; LINDA MCKAY; ECCO & DONALD STAMBAUGH; and CALD- WELL INDUSTRIES, INC., Plaintiffs, vs.  SCOTT J. REIN, ESQ.; REIN, EVANS & SESTANOVICH, LLP, law partnership, fka, and successor to, DRESSLER, REIN, EVANS & SESTANOVICH, LLP, a law partnership; RALPH KING; GABRIEL MACENROE; DAVID MORGANSTERN; VIRGIL WOMACK; DANTE ORGOLINI; and ROBBIE STEPHENS,  Defendants. | S DISTRICT COURT PRNIA, SOUTHERN DIVISION  ) Case No.: SACV01-1085 GLT(ANx) ) (8:01-cv0185 GT AN) ) ) ) RENEWAL OF JUDGMENT )AGAINST JUDGMENT )DEBTOR / DEFENDANT )RALPH KING ) ) ) ) ) ) ) |
|   | Defendants.   | <u>)</u>  |
| 28  |   | 1   |

Doc. 211

| 1  | The   | iudgment debtor. Ralph   | h <b>King</b> , having | g judgment entered against him      | on           |  |
|----|---|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| 2  |   |                          |                        | ntiffs LYNN & ED FORSLUN            |              |  |
| 3  |   |                          |                        | ON; TED LACY; ERIC MARTEN           |              |  |
| 4  |   |                          |                        | SKACEL; YOLANDA SIDDIQU             | Í            |  |
| 5  |   |                          |                        | REST; LINDA MCKAY; ECCO             |              |  |
| 6  |   |                          |                        | INDUSTRIES, INC., and up            |              |  |
| 7  |   |                          |                        | •                                   | <i>,</i> 011 |  |
| 8  | declaration that Mr. King has failed to pay the total amount of said judgment,      |                          |                        |                                     |              |  |
| 9  | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that judgment                            |                          |                        |                                     |              |  |
| 10 | against Ralph King be renewed in the amount of \$1,258,171.45, which is broken down |                          |                        |                                     |              |  |
| 11 | as follows:   |                          |                        |                                     |              |  |
| 12 | a.  | Judgment as Renewed      |                        | <u>\$1,121,364.93</u>               |              |  |
| 13 | (Original Judgment in 2004 was \$1,000,000.00)                                      |                          |                        |                                     |              |  |
| 14 | b.  | Costs after Judgment     |                        | \$ <u>0.00</u>                      |              |  |
| 15 | c.  | subtotal                 |                        | \$ <u>1,121,364.93</u>              |              |  |
| 16 | d.  | Less credits after judgn | nent                   | \$ <u>0.00</u>                      |              |  |
| 17 | e.  | Subtotal                 |                        | \$ <u>0.00</u>                      |              |  |
| 18 | f.  | Interest after judgment  | computed from          | 1                                   |              |  |
| 19 | February 14, 2014 through February 12, 2014\$136,806.52                             |                          |                        |                                     |              |  |
| 20 | at 1.22% (\$37.4812 per day times 3650 days)  |                          |                        |                                     |              |  |
| 21 | TOT   | TAL JUDGMENT AS R        | RENEWED                | \$ <u>1,258,171.45</u>              |              |  |
| 22 |   |                          |                        |                                     |              |  |
| 23 |   |                          |                        |                                     |              |  |
| 24 |   |                          |                        |                                     |              |  |
| 25 | Dated: Feb  | ruary 16, 2024           | Sharm I                | Hall Brom                           |              |  |
| 26 |   |                          | US District (          | Court                               |              |  |
| 27 |   |                          |                        | rict of California, Southern Divisi | ion          |  |
| 28 |   |                          |                        |                                     |              |  |