1 2	Jeffrey R. Patterson, Esq. (State Bar No. 126148) Michael R. Adele, Esq. (State Bar No. 138339) Michael J. Holmes, Esq. (State Bar No. 199311)		
3	ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP 12348 High Bluff Drive, Suite 210		
5	San Diego, CA 92130 Telephone: (858) 481-5055 Facsimile: (858) 481-5028		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counterdefendant ENTREPRENEUR MEDIA, INC.		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8			
9	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	ENTREPRENEUR MEDIA, INC.,	Case No. SACV08-0608 DOC	
11	Plaintiff,	ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIMS	
12	v.)	OF EYGN LIMITED AND ERNST & YOUNG LLP	
13) 	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
14	EYGN LIMITED; ERNST & YOUNG LLP;) and ERNST & YOUNG ADVISORY INC.,)		
15	Defendants.		
16	EYGN Limited and ERNST &) YOUNG LLP		
17	·)		
18	Counterclaimants,)		
19	v.)		
20	ENTREPRENEUR MEDIA, INC. ,)		
21	Counterdefendant.		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
- 1			

LAW OFFICES
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

Plaintiff/Counterdefendant Entrepreneur Media, Inc. ("Entrepreneur Media") hereby answers the Counterclaims (the "Counterclaims") of EYGN Limited and Ernst and Young LLP as follows:

- 1. With regard to paragraph 1 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media admits that the ENTREPRENEUR OF THE YEAR mark is registered with the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Except as so expressly admitted, Entrepreneur® Magazine lacks sufficient information and belief to admit and, on that basis, denies the remaining averments in said paragraph.
- 2. With regard to paragraph 2 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media admits that it in 2008 it launched (and is still conducting) Entrepreneur® Magazine's Entrepreneur® OF THE YEAR contest. Except as so expressly admitted, Entrepreneur® Magazine lacks sufficient information and belief to admit and, on that basis, denies the remaining averments in said paragraph.
- 3. With regard to paragraph 3 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media admits that:

 (a) it previously contemplated holding an entrepreneur of the year contest, decided not to hold the contest at that time and received a letter from one or more of Counterclaimant's objecting to Entrepreneur Media holding such a contest; and (b) Entrepreneur Media is currently in the process of holding Entrepreneur® Magazine's 2008 Entrepreneur® OF THE YEAR contest and rejects Counterclaimants demands that it change the name of the contest. Except as so expressly admitted, Entrepreneur® Magazine lacks sufficient information and belief to admit and, on that basis, denies the remaining averments in said paragraph.
- 4. With regard to paragraph 4 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media admits that Counterclaimants have brought the present Counterclaims and seek the relief requested therein. Except as so expressly admitted, Entrepreneur® Magazine lacks sufficient information and belief to admit and, on that basis, denies the remaining averments in said paragraph.
- 5. With regard to paragraph 5 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media admits that EYGN is a company incorporated in the Bahamas. Except as so expressly admitted,

- 16. With regard to paragraph 16 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media lacks sufficient information and belief to admit and, on that basis, denies the averments in said paragraph.
- 17. With regard to paragraph 17 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media lacks sufficient information and belief to admit and, on that basis, denies the averments in said paragraph.
- 18. With regard to paragraph 18 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media lacks sufficient information and belief to admit and, on that basis, denies the averments in said paragraph.
- 19. With regard to paragraph 19 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media lacks sufficient information and belief to admit and, on that basis, denies the averments in said paragraph.
- 20. With regard to paragraph 20 of the Counterclaims, said paragraph contains no averments, but rather allegations of law to which no response is necessary; however, to the extent said paragraph contains any averments, Entrepreneur Media lacks sufficient information and belief to admit and, on that basis, denies the averments in said paragraph.
- 21. With regard to paragraph 21 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media admits the averments in said paragraph.
- 22. With regard to paragraph 22 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media admits that it publishes Entrepreneur® Magazine monthly, through which it disseminates information about and of interest to small- and medium-sized businesses, their owners and would-be owners. Except as so expressly admitted, Entrepreneur® Magazine denies the remaining averments in said paragraph.
- 23. With regard to paragraph 23 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media admits that it received a letter dated January 12, 1994 and sent the letter dated January 21, 1994, which are attached as Exhibit 2 to the Counterclaims. Except as so expressly admitted, Entrepreneur Media lacks sufficient information and belief to admit and, on that basis, denies the remaining averments in said paragraph.

24. With regard to paragraph 24 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media admits that it recently launched a new contest called Entrepreneur® Magazine's 2008 Entrepreneur® OF THE YEAR, that nominations for the contest were accepted through June 30, 2008, and that Exhibit 3 to the Counterclaims is a copy of a press release concerning Entrepreneur Media's contest. Except as so expressly admitted, Entrepreneur Media lacks sufficient information and belief to admit and, on that basis, denies the remaining averments in said paragraph.

- 25. With regard to paragraph 25 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media admits that Entrepreneur® Magazine's 2008 Entrepreneur® OF THE YEAR contest seeks nominations from the business community to find those who best exemplify the entrepreneurial spirit. Except as so expressly admitted, Entrepreneur Media lacks sufficient information and belief to admit and, on that basis, denies the remaining averments in said paragraph.
- 26. With regard to paragraph 26 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media denies the averments in said paragraph.
- 27. With regard to paragraph 27 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media admits that it is continuing to hold Entrepreneur® Magazine's 2008 Entrepreneur® OF THE YEAR contest. Except as so expressly admitted, Entrepreneur Media denies the remaining averments in said paragraph.
- 28. With regard to paragraph 28 of the Counterclaims, said paragraph contains no averments, but rather allegations of law to which no response is necessary; however, to the extent said paragraph contains any averments, Entrepreneur Media lacks sufficient information and belief to admit and, on that basis, denies the averments in said paragraph.
- 29. With regard to paragraph 29 of the Counterclaims, said paragraph contains no averments, but rather allegations of law to which no response is necessary; however, to the extent said paragraph contains any averments, Entrepreneur Media lacks sufficient information and belief to admit and, on that basis, denies the averments in said paragraph.
- 30. With regard to paragraph 30 of the Counterclaims, said paragraph contains no averments, but rather allegations of law to which no response is necessary; however, to the extent

said paragraph contains any averments, Entrepreneur Media lacks sufficient information and belief to admit and, on that basis, denies the averments in said paragraph.

- 31. With regard to paragraph 31 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 30 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 32. With regard to paragraph 32 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media lacks sufficient information and belief to admit and, on that basis, denies the averments in said paragraph.
- 33. With regard to paragraph 33 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media denies the averments in said paragraph.
- 34. With regard to paragraph 34 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media denies the averments in said paragraph.
- 35. With regard to paragraph 35 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media denies the averments in said paragraph.
- 36. With regard to paragraph 36 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media denies the averments in said paragraph.
- 37. With regard to paragraph 37 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 36 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 38. With regard to paragraph 38 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media denies the averments in said paragraph.
- 39. With regard to paragraph 39 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media denies the averments in said paragraph.
- 40. With regard to paragraph 40 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 39 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 41. With regard to paragraph 41 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media denies the averments in said paragraph.
- 42. With regard to paragraph 42 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media denies the averments in said paragraph.

- 43. With regard to paragraph 43 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media denies the averments in said paragraph.
- 44. With regard to paragraph 44 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 43 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 45. With regard to paragraph 45 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media denies the averments in said paragraph.
- 46. With regard to paragraph 46 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media denies the averments in said paragraph.
- 47. With regard to paragraph 47 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media denies the averments in said paragraph.
- 48. With regard to paragraph 48 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media denies the averments in said paragraph.
- 49. With regard to paragraph 49 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 48 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 50. With regard to paragraph 50 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media denies the averments in said paragraph.
- 51. With regard to paragraph 51 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media denies the averments in said paragraph.
- 52. With regard to paragraph 52 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media denies the averments in said paragraph.
- 53. With regard to paragraph 53 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media denies the averments in said paragraph.
- 54. With regard to paragraph 54 of the Counterclaims, Entrepreneur Media denies the averments in said paragraph.

1	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES		
2	<u>First Affirmative Defense</u>		
3	(Failure to State a Claim)		
4	55. The Countercomplaint and each of the counterclaims therein fail to state a claim		
5	upon which relief may be granted.		
6	Second Affirmative Defense		
7	(Trademark Generic)		
8	56. Counterclaimants are barred from recovery upon their Countercomplaint and each		
9	of the counterclaims therein because Counterclaimants' registered ENTREPRENEUR OF THE		
10	YEAR trademark is generic when used in connection with entrepreneur of the year awards		
11	ceremony and, therefore, entitled to no trademark protection and their mark should be cancelled		
12	and/or held unenforceable.		
13	Third Affirmative Defense		
14	(Trademark Misuse)		
15	57. Counterclaimants are barred from recovery upon their Countercomplaint and each		
16	of the counterclaims therein because Counterclaimants are misusing the registered		
17	ENTREPRENEUR OF THE YEAR trademark in an attempt to obtain a monopoly over		
18	entrepreneur of the year awards ceremonies and, therefore, their mark should be cancelled and/or		
19	held unenforceable.		
20	Fifth Affirmative Defense		
21	(Abandonment/Failure to Diligently Police Trademark)		
22	58. Counterclaimants are barred from recovery upon their Countercomplaint and each		
23	of the counterclaims therein because Counterclaimants failed to diligently police their registered		
24	ENTREPRENEUR OF THE YEAR trademark.		
25			
26			
27			
28			

1		Fourth Affirmative Defense
2		(Unclean Hands)
3	59.	Counterclaimants are barred from recovery upon their Countercomplaint and each
4	of the counter	relaims therein because Counterclaimants' own improper conduct and/or unclean
5	hands bars re	covery.
6		Fifth Affirmative Defense
7		(Lack of Standing)
8	60.	Counterclaimants lack standing to challenge and/or seek cancellation of trademarks
9	owned by Pla	intiff, especially trademarks that are not asserted by Plaintiff as an excuse and/or
10	justification f	or the alleged infringing conduct.
11		PRAYER FOR RELIEF
12	WHE	REFOR, Counterdefendant prays for judgment a follows:
13	A.	That Counterclaimants take nothing through their Countercomplaint;
14	B.	That Counterdefendant be awarded its attorneys' fees and costs in this civil action;
15	C.	That Counterdefendant be awarded statutory costs; and
16	D.	For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
17		
18	Dated: Octob	oer 14, 2008 ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP
19		MALLORI & NAISIS LICE
20		By: ////////////////////////////////////
21		Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counterdefendant ENTREPRENEUR MEDIA, INC.
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

LAW OFFICES
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

706552.01/SD

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Plaintiff/Counterdefendant Entrepreneur Media, Inc. hereby demands a trial by jury on all claims and issues so triable. Dated: October 14, 2008 ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATŞIŞ LLP By: Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counterdefendant ENTREPRENEUR MEDIA, INC.

LAW OFFICES
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

706552.01/SD