1			
1 2	Jeffrey R. Patterson, Esq. (State Bar No. 126148) Michael R. Adele, Esq. (State Bar No. 138339) Michael J. Holmes, Esq. (State Bar No. 199311)		
3	ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP		
4	12348 High Bluff Drive, Suite 210 San Diego, CA 92130		
5	Telephone: (858) 481-5055 Facsimile: (858) 481-5028		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant ENTREPRENEUR MEDIA, INC.		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	,		
10	ENTREPRENEUR MEDIA, INC.,) Case N	Io. SACV08-0608 DOC	
11	7	CE OF MOTION AND ION OF PLAINTIFF	
12	$2 \parallel v$.) ENTR	EPRENEUR MEDIA, INC. FOR NCTION REGARDING	
13	/	ND-FILED ACTION	
14	4 LLP; and ERNST & YOUNG		
15		November 10, 2008 8:30 a.m.	
16	6 Ctrm:	9D	
17	— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Judge: Hon. David O. Carter	
18	Counterclaimants,		
19	9 v.		
20	0		
21			
22			
23	TO THE COURT, COUNSEL OF RECORD AN	TO THE COURT, COUNSEL OF RECORD AND ALL INTERESTED PARTIES:	
24	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on November 1	0, 2008 at 8:30 a.m. in courtroom 9D of	
25	the United States District Court for the Central District of C	California, located at 411 West Fourth	
26	Street, Santa Ana, California 92701 Plaintiff Entrepreneur Media, Inc. ("Plaintiff") shall and hereby		
27	does move (the "Motion") the above-captioned Court to enjoin Counterclaimants EYGN Limited		
28	and Ernst & Young LLP ("Counterclaimants") from prosecuting the action that they filed against		
Gamble LLP	706581 01/SD		

1	Plaintiff in federal court for the Southern District of New York, specifically, EYGN Limited and		
2	Ernst & Young LLP v. Entrepreneur Media, Inc., Southern District of New York Civil Case		
3	Number 08-CIV-6734 (AKH) (the "New York Action"), which Counterclaimants filed nearly two		
4	months after commencement of the present action. Alternatively, Plaintiff requests that this Court		
5	enjoin the second-filed New York Action. ¹		
6	The grounds for this Motion are the first-to-file rule recognized by case law within the Nintl		
7	Circuit, as well as principals of comity and judicial efficiency, all as more particularly detailed in		
8	the Memorandum of Points and Authorities filed contemporaneously herewith.		
9	The bases for this Motion are this Notice of Motion and Motion, the Memorandum of Points		
10	and Authorities, the Declarations of Peter Shea, Randall Broberg and Michael Adele and the		
11	Request for Judicial Notice, all of which shall be filed contemporaneously herewith, the pleadings		
12	and papers in the present action and the New York Action, any subsequent papers filed by		
13	counsel in this action and/or the New York Action, and any oral argument that may be had at the		
14	hearing on this Motion.		
15			
16	Dated: October 14, 2008 ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP		
17	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}}}}}}}}}$		
18	By: ////////////////////////////////////		
19	Attorneys for Plaintiff ENTREPRENEUR MEDIA, INC.		
20			
21			
22	•		
23			
24			
25			
26	Ninth Circuit law appears to hold that the appropriate remedy relative to second-filed		

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

27

28

Ninth Circuit law appears to hold that the appropriate remedy relative to second-filed actions is to enjoin the <u>parties</u> from that action from <u>prosecuting</u> the action (as opposed to enjoining the proceedings themselves from continuing). Because there is some (albeit minor) ambiguity on this issue, Plaintiff brings this motion in the alternative seeking, in the first instance, to enjoin Counterclaimants from prosecuting the second filed action and, alternatively, seeking to enjoin the second-filed action from proceeding.