

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SANTA ANA**

CEIVA LOGIC, INC., a Delaware corporation,
Plaintiff and Counterclaim-defendant,
v.
FRAME MEDIA, INC., a Delaware corporation; DIGITAL SPECTRUM SOLUTIONS, INC., a California corporation and DOES 1-10, inclusive,
Defendants and Counterclaim-Plaintiffs.

Case No. SACV-08-636-JVS (RNBx)

JUDGMENT FOR PLAINTIFF CEIVA LOGIC, INC. AGAINST DEFENDANT FRAME MEDIA, INC.

**HONORABLE JAMES V. SELNA,
PRESIDING**

1 The Court having previously entered default against Defendant Frame Media, Inc.
2 (“Frame Media”) and upon proof made to the satisfaction of this Court, **IT IS**
3 **HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED** as follows:


- 4 1. This Court has jurisdiction over Frame Media.
- 5 2. This Court has subject matter of this action brought by Plaintiff, Ceiva Logic,
6 Inc. (“Ceiva”).
- 7 3. Ceiva is the owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to United States
8 Patent No. 6,442,573 (“the ‘573 patent”), including all rights of recovery for
9 infringement thereof.
- 10 4. Frame Media has infringed the ‘573 patent.
- 11 5. Ceiva has been irreparably damaged by the actions of Frame Media and will
12 continue to be irreparably damaged by the actions of the Frame Media unless
13 Frame Media is permanently enjoined by this Court from infringement of the
14 ‘573 patent.
- 15 6. Frame Media, and its officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, receivers,
16 trustees and other fiduciaries and all other persons in active participation with
17 them are, therefore, permanently enjoined during the life of the ‘573 patent
18 from any and all acts of infringement of the ‘573 patent, including, but not
19 limited to, making, having made, using, selling, offering for sale, or importing
20 into the United States the invention claimed in the ‘573 patent.
- 21 7. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285, this is an “exceptional case”, and Ceiva is awarded
22 its reasonable attorneys’ fees in the amount to be determined by this Court at
23 the time Ceiva’s request for fees is considered.
- 24 8. Pursuant to FRCP 54(d)(1), Ceiva is awarded costs of suit in an amount to be
25 fixed by the Court.

26 [continued on next page]
27
28

1 9. This Court retains jurisdiction over this matter to enforce the terms of this
2 Judgment.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4
5
6 Dated: January 05, 2015

7 
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
Hon. James V. Selna