Thomas Rizzo et	al v. Nutmeg Insurance Company et al	Doc. 44
1		
2		
3		
4 5	JS-6	
6		
7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12	THOMAS RIZZO and BARBARA) Case No.: SACV 08-1016-JVS (RNBx)	
13	Plaintiffs,	
14	v. {	
15	NUTMEG INSURANCE COMPANY; }	
16	Does 1-25, inclusive,	
17	Defendants.	
18)	
19		
20		
21	TO ALL DADTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD.	
22	TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:	
23	Pursuant to the Court's grant of summary judgment in favor of Defendant	
24	Nutmeg Insurance Company, as more fully set forth in the Court's Minutes of June	
25	29, 2009, IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:	
26	1. Judgment is entered in favor of Defendant Nutmeg Insurance	
27	Company against Plaintiffs Thomas Rizzo and Barbara Rizzo. The Rizzos shall	
28	take nothing by their complaint.	
	PROPOSED] JUDGMENT	
	Dockets.Ju	ustia.com

1	2. Nutmeg, as the prevailing party, shall recover its taxable costs of suit
2	in this matter as taxed by the Clerk under the provisions of Federal Rule of Civil
3	Procedure 54. The sums awarded by this Court as costs shall bear postjudgment
4	interest at the current U.S. Treasury Constant Maturity rate, from the date this
5	judgment is entered until it is paid.
6	
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.
8	$O \qquad () \qquad ()$
9	James 7/ Jelia
10	Dated: August 17, 2009By:
11	James V. Selna United States District Judge
12	
13	
14	
15 16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2