

| 1 | Defendant Texas Instruments Incorporated's ("TI") motions for summary | |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 2 | judgment of noninfringement with respect to OMAP 2/ARM1136 and OMAP | |
| 3 | 3/Cortex-A8, which were joined by intervenor ARM Ltd. ("ARM"), having come on | |
| 4 | regularly for hearing before the Court, the Honorable Stephen V. Wilson, District | |
| 5 | Judge, presiding, and the matter having been duly heard and considered and a | |
| 6 | decision having been duly rendered, the Court finding that: | |
| 7 | 7 1. TI's and ARM Ltd.'s ARM1136 processor con | res do not infringe United |
| 8 | States Patent No. 5,471,593; | |
| 9 | 9 2. TI's OMAP 2 products do not infringe United | States Patent No. |
| 10 | 5,471,593; | |
| 11 | 3. TI is entitled to summary judgment of noninfr | ingement with respect to |
| 12 | the OMAP 2 products and the ARM1136 processors incorporated in them; | |
| 13 | 4. TI's and ARM's Cortex-A8 processor cores do | o not infringe United |
| 14 | States Patent No. 5,471,593; | |
| 15 | 5. TI's OMAP 3 products do not infringe United | States Patent No. |
| 16 | 5,471,593; and | |
| 17 | 6. TI is entitled to summary judgment of noninfri | ingement with respect to |
| 18 | the OMAP 3 products and the Cortex-A8 processors incorporated in them. | |
| 19 | 7. TI shall recover its costs of suit; | |
| 20 | 8. ARM shall recover its costs of suit. | |
| 21 | 21 // | |
| 22 | 22 // | |
| 23 | 23 // | |
| 24 | 24 // | |
| 25 | } | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | 98 | |

IRELL & MANELLA LLP
A Registered Limited Liability
Law Partnership Including
Professional Corporations

2264349

| 1 | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: | |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 2 | (1) Plaintiffs shall take nothing from defendant Texas Instruments | |
| 3 | Incorporated or intervenor ARM Ltd. on Plaintiffs' claims, and judgment for | |
| 4 | Texas Instruments Incorporated and ARM Ltd. is granted; | |
| 5 | (2) Judgment is granted to Texas Instruments Incorporated on its First | |
| 6 | Counterclaim, seeking a declaration of non-infringement; | |
| 7 | (3) All other pending counterclaims are dismissed without prejudice; | |
| 8 | (4) Texas Instruments Incorporated shall recover its costs of suit; and | |
| 9 | (5) ARM Ltd. shall recover its costs of suit. | |
| 10 | 1 1 Am | |
| 11 | Dated: | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | Hon. Stephen V. Wilson | |
| 15 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE | |
| 16 | COURT TODGE | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | Proposed by: | |
| 19 | IRELL & MANELLA LLP Gary N. Frischling | |
| 20 | Keith A. Orso Nathan Lowenstein | |
| 21 | IRELL & MANELLA LLP | |
| 22 | Layn R. Phillips | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | By: /S/ - Keith A. Orso | |
| 25 26 | Gary N. Frischling Keith A. Orso | |
| 20 27 | Nathan Lowenstein | |
| 28 | Attorneys for Defendant TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED | |
| ا ٥٠ | | |

IRELL & MANELLA LLP
A Registered Limited Liability
Law Partnership including
Professional Corporations