EXHIBIT C

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	CERTIFIED
4	TRANSCRIPT
5	DON HENLEY and MIKE CAMPBELL,)
6	Plaintiffs,)
7	vs.) No. SACV09-0481
8	CHARLES S. DEVORE and JUSTIN) JVS (RNBx)
9	HART,) VOLUME I
10	Defendants.)
11	
12	
13	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
14	
15	Videotaped Deposition of DON HENLEY,
16.	at 555 W. Fifth Street, Los Angeles,
17	California commencing at 10:04 a.m.,
18	Monday, November 30, 2009 before
19	Janice Schutzman, CSR No. 9509.
20	
21	
22.	
23	
24	
25	PAGES 1 - 156

		Page 28
1	the political process and hearing about it says,	
2	"cruising down the center of a two-way street."	
3	That could be construed to be, you know, somebody	
4	who's a centrist, who's not on one side or the	
5	other. And somebody comes along and says, you need	10:34AM
6	to get you need to line up behind somebody here,	
7	you know. You need to get on one side or the other	
8	of this street.	,
9	But this person is out on the border. He's	
10	removed from all this. He's away. You know, he's	10:34AM
11	just trying to live his life, basically, is what the	
12	song says to me.	
13	BY MR. ARLEDGE:	·
14	Q. So that's certainly not Nixon. He wasn't	
15	just trying to live his life and avoid controversy.	10:34AM
16	A. I don't think that even refers to Nixon.	
17	Q. Let me read a quote that was attributed to	
18	you in an interview with Rolling Stone some years	
19	ago.	
20	A. Uh-huh.	10:34AM
21	Q. It says, quote, "On the Border was a	
22	thinly, perhaps I should say thickly disguised	
23	political piece about Nixon"	
24	A. Uh-huh.	
25	Q "and all the trouble he was in"	10:35AM

A. Uh-huh. Q "but we weren't old and mature enough to make any sense out of it then, I think," end quote. Do you remember making a statement like that? A. Yeah. I made that statement.	
3 make any sense out of it then, I think," end quote. 4 Do you remember making a statement like 5 that?	
Do you remember making a statement like 5 that? 10:352	
5 that? 10:352	
6 A Yeah I made that statement	M
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
7 Q. Have you changed your view of the meaning	•
8 of On the Border in the intervening years?	
9 A. Well, what did I just say there? I said	
10 "but we weren't old and mature enough to" what? 10:35	M
11 Q. "To make any sense out of it then."	
12 A. Right. So there you go.	
13 Q. At the time you wrote the song, though, it	
14 was intended to be a thinly or possibly thickly	
15 disguised political piece about Nixon. 10:357	M
16 A. It was a political it was a piece about	
17 what was going on in the news, about his troubles	
18 with Watergate. And it was really a piece saying	
19 that I'm tired of hearing about this, you know.	
20 Because that was all that was on the news every day, 10:35	M
21 day and night.	
22 It says, "I'm trying to change this water	
23 to wine," meaning I'm trying to make my life better.	
24 I'm just out here trying to make a living and make	
25 my life better. 10:36A	M

		Page 30
1	I've since become a little more sympathetic	
2	to Nixon, you know, since that time, because he did,	
3	after all, open up relations with China, and he did	
4 .	create the Environmental Protection Agency, which is	
5	an organization that, as you know, is very near and	10:36AM
6	dear to my heart.	
7	Q. You probably have a Nixon bumper sticker on	
8	your Cadillac?	
9	A. Absolutely. I have lots of Cadillacs.	
10	Q. Well, as much as I want to follow up on	10:36AM
11	your new-found love for Richard Nixon, because I am	·
12	fascinated maybe we'll get to it eventually I	
13	want to stick to this for a second.	
14	Give me a new paragraph.	
15	At the time you wrote On the Border, the	10:36AM
16	song was about the problems that Nixon was having	
17	with Watergate. Yes?	
18	A. Not in its entirety. I mean, you know	
19	Q. In part the song was	
20	A. In part.	10:37AM
21	Q. In part the song was a disguised political	
22	piece about Nixon and all the trouble he was in.	
23	Yes?	,
24	A. I wouldn't call it a political piece. I	
25	would call it a piece of reportage on what was	10:37AM

·		Page 31
1	happening in the news and in the country. It was	
2	not specifically, regardless of what I said there	
3	I mean, you can read interviews. I say different	
4	things about songs every time I talk about them.	
5	Q. Then we should take this deposition more	10:37AM
6	than once.	
7	A. Okay. Because they're written that way,	,
8	you know.	
9	Q. What do you mean, "they're written that	
10	way"?	10:37AM
11	A. They're written to be interpreted.	
12	Different parts of different songs mean different	
13	things to me. And sometimes I will focus on one	
14	portion of a song when I'm talking about it, and	·
15	sometimes I will focus on a different portion of a	10:38AM
16	song when I'm talking about it.	
17	Q. Is there a portion of the song On the	
18	Border that is a political piece about Nixon and his	
19	troubles?	
20	A. And sometimes when I'm writing songs, I	10:38AM
-21	don't even know what I mean. It just sounds good.	
22	I mean, you have to fill in the gaps sometimes.	
23	I'm sorry. Your question was?	
24	Q. Is there a portion of On the Border that is	
25	a political piece about Nixon and all the trouble he	10:38AM

		Page 32
1	was in?	
2	A. I would be hard-pressed to find a	
3	particular portion of this song that I could say was	
4	about I see it as being more about government in	
5	general.	10:38AM
6	I can't find a specific part of this song	
7	that I think refers unless it would be the line,	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
8	"Don't tell me about your law and order." That	
9	might be a reference to the massacre at Kent State.	
10	Q. What portion of this song is about	10:39AM
11	Watergate?	
12	A. I don't see anything here that refers to	
13	Watergate.	
14	Again, there are no there's no	,
15	specificity here in this song. It was just, those	10:39AM
16	things existed maybe in my mind, but in the public	
17	mind, I don't think anybody would really make that	
18	connection.	
19	Q. Chuck Devore maybe.	•
20	A. Yeah. Chuck does have an active	10:40AM
21	imagination when it comes to that sort of thing.	
22	Q. Well, maybe so, although at one point you	
23	seemed to believe that On the Border was at least	
24	partly about Nixon; right?	
25	A. In my own mind, yes.	10:40AM

		Page 33
1	Q. How did you reach that conclusion in your	
2	own mind? Was it from the lyrics or from something	·
. 3	else?	
4	A. It was from the general atmosphere first	<i>I</i>
5	of all, I wrote that song in England. So I was	10:40AM
6	reading press reports over there in European	
7	newspapers about what was going on at home. And	
8	it's interesting to get a foreign perspective on	
9	events in America sometimes.	
10	But I was very aware that I didn't want to	10:41AM
11	make it a political treatise. You know, I wanted it	
12	to be a song that people could enjoy for the hook.	
13	I mean, the hook in a song is the chorus. The hook	
14	in this song is called On the Border. And so I	
15	wrote it from that perspective, from a general	10:41AM
16	perspective.	
17	You know, again, this was 1973 or '4, so I	
18	don't remember my precise thoughts when I was	
19	writing this thing. I know I had a deadline, and I	
20	remember I stayed up all night writing it because we	10:41AM
21	had to record it the next day.	
22	So in some cases, I just wrote down	
23	whatever came into my head. Some of the lines in my	2000
24	songs don't mean anything. They're just to fill in	
25 .	the blanks between verses. Sometimes I write things	10:42AM

<u> </u>			
			Page 81
1	the '80s	s and established her own business. It's	
2	called I	Lisa Thomas Music, or something like that.	
3		MR. ARLEDGE: Let me you know what?	
4	Let's go	ahead we might as well start marking	
5	some of	these.	11:54AM
6		Let's mark that No. 1, please.	
. 7		(Discussion off the record.)	
8		(Deposition Exhibit 1 was marked for	
9	identifi	lcation.)	
10		MR. ARLEDGE: All right. We've marked as	11:55AM
11	Exhibit	1 a two-page document stamped DHMC 001208	
12	and -120	9. And it is a string of emails from early	
13	June 200	08.	
14	BY MR. A	ARLEDGE:	
15	Q.	Sir, have you ever seen these emails	11:55AM
16	before?		
17	Α.	Yes, I've seen this.	
18	. Q.	When did you first see these emails?	
19	Α.	I don't recall when I first saw this, but	
20	I've see	en it.	11:55AM
21	Q.	Recently?	
22	Α.	Yes.	
23	Q.	After the lawsuit was filed?	
24	Α.	Yes.	
25	Q.	I want to look at the bottom of the first	11:56AM
		·	

		Page 82
1	page. There's a paragraph that starts with "Don	
2	very rarely."	
3	Do you see that?	
4	A. Yep.	
5	Q. This is an email sent from Lisa Thomas,	11:56AM
6	June 2, 2008, at least it purports to be. She	
7	writes, quote:	
8	"Don very rarely licenses or	,
9	authorized the use of his songs for any	
10	ancillary uses, mostly for the reason	11:56AM
11	that the use in another medium alters	
12	the original integrity of the song."	
13	A. Right.	
14	Q. End quote.	
15	Do you agree with that statement?	11:56AM
16	A. I do.	
17	Q. Explain what that means. First of all,	
18	let's start with "ancillary uses."	
19	A. Uh-huh.	
20	Q. What are "ancillary uses"?	11:56AM
21	A. Well, I'm not sure of the exact definition	
22	of the word, but I think it means uses outside of	
23	the marketing of the original recording, uses, for	
24	example, for a television show or for a movie or for	
25	karaoke. Uses other than the original intended use.	11:57AM

		Page 83
1	Q. Lisa writes, and I think you said you	
2	agree, that mostly the reason for this is that "use	
3	in another medium alters the original integrity of	
4	the song."	
5	A. Uh-huh.	11:57AM
6	Q. End quote.	
7	What does that mean?	
8	A. Well, I think it's self-evident. I	
9	consider well, let me just start at the	
10	beginning.	11:57AM
11	I work very hard on these songs. This is	
12	my calling, my artistic endeavor, my livelihood, and	
13	I work very hard on them. It takes me months,	
14	sometimes years to complete one song. And they're	
15	my own personal creations, and I would like to think	11:57AM
16	that they have integrity. You know, all artists	
17	like to think that their work has integrity, and all	
18	artists want to see their work presented just as	
19	they created it, whether it's a painter or a	
20	novelist or a movie maker.	11:58AM
21	You're always reading about squabbles	
22	between directors and studios because the studios	
.23	want a different cut. They want a particular edit	
24	of a particular movie, and the director disagrees.	
25	So in terms of my songs, I want them to be	11:58AM

		Page 84
·1	pure in the public mind. I want the public to	
2	perceive them and to hear them just the way I wrote	
3	them and recorded them. I don't want them to be	
4	altered in any way.	
5	Several years ago in the '70s, there was an	11:58AM
6	executive at Asylum Records that we were signed to	
7	at that time, and we were on tour, the Eagles. And	
8	he took one of our songs, and he went in the studio,	
9	and he made an edit. And it wasn't even a good	·
10	edit. It was a terrible edit. You could hear it.	11:59AM
11	And there was a great deal of commotion made over	
12	the fact that he did that.	•
13	And we had a plaque made for him with a	
14	hacksaw in it and called it the Golden Hacksaw	
15	Award, and we went into the we got the guards to	11:59AM
16	open up the record company offices at night, and we	
17	went in and affixed it to the wall in his office.	
18	So, yes, I again, I fiercely guard the	
19	integrity of my songs, and I want the public to hear	
20	them and remember them the way I presented them	11:59AM
21	originally.	
22	Q. Would you say you pass on most requests for	
23	licenses for your songs?	
24	A. I would say that, yes.	,
25	Q. Do you leave money on the table doing that?	11:59AM

		Page 85
1	A. Millions. We have been offered millions of	
2	dollars by soft drink companies, beer companies,	
. 3	liquor companies, car companies, a whole host of	
4	corporations who wanted to use our music to	
5	advertise their products, and we've turned it down	12:00PM
6	for that very reason.	
7	Q. From time to time, you will license one of	
8	your songs for use on a television program or movie;	
9	is that right?	
10	A. Very rarely, but occasionally.	12:00PM
11	Q. On those occasions when you said yes to the	
12	request for license, why did you say yes?	
13	A. Sometimes it's personal. Sometimes there's	
14	a friend of mine who's an actor in the movie or the	
15	director is a friend of mine or it's a movie that	12:00PM
16	Mr. Azoff has produced or, actually, I just like the	
17	work. You know, I think the work has integrity, and	
18	I think it fits with the artistic meaning of the	
19	song. I think it's a good fit, a good match. But	
20	again, that happens very rarely.	12:01PM
21	Q. Let's start with movies.	
22	How many times can you think of that you've	
23	licensed the use of one of your songs or one of your	
24	songs you wrote for with the Eagles for use in a	
25	movie?	12:01PM

			Page 86
. 1	A.	I can count them on one hand.	•
2	Q.	Is that true about television programs	
3	also?		
4	A.	More so, yeah.	
5	Q.	So even fewer television programs than	12:01PM
6	movies?		
7,	A.	I believe so. The numbers for both are	
8	very sma	ill.	
9			
10	- <i>,</i>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12:01PM
11	• •		
12	. 70		·
13			
14		and a substantial control of the substantial con	. 4
15			12:02PM
16			
17			
18			•
19	·		
20			
21	tu t .		
22			
23			
24	Q.	Go back to Ms. Thomas's email. The second	
25	sentence	of that same paragraph, she says, quote:	12:02PM

		Page 87
1	"He writes them the way he sees	
2	things, which is a very individual	
3	thing," end sentence.	
4	Do you know what she's talking about there?	
5	A. I don't know if she's talking about the	12:03PM
6	songs or if she means I accept or decline I don't	
7	know if she's saying he calls them the way I	
8	don't know if he's talking about she's talking	
9	about the request for the usage or if she's talking	
10	about the songs themselves. I'm a little confused	12:03PM
11	about what she means there.	
12	Q. We'll skip over that one.	
13	The next sentence, quote:	
14	"There are many artists that don't	
15	really care about how their songs are	12:03PM
16	used, but he doesn't fall into this	
17	category, and regularly has us	
18	reviewing postings on YouTube in order	
19	to have them taken down," end quote.	
20	Well, is that true, that you regularly have	12:03PM
21	Lisa Thomas or others reviewing postings on YouTube	
22	in order to take material down?	
23	A. What's the date on this? 2008?	
24	Q. 2008.	
25	A. There was a period of time when YouTube	12:03PM

		Page 89
1	all the other infringements that are on YouTube?	
2	A. Well, to be clear, I'd like for all of them	
3	to be taken down because they're all copyright	
4	infringements. Every time I do a concert, there's	
5	somebody in the audience with a camera, and they	12:05PM
6	film the concert, which kind of spoils any surprises	
7.	for the next audience that we're going to play for.	
8	You know, it gives away what we're doing in the	
9	show.	
10	These videos of Mr. Devore's and Mr. Hart's	12:05PM
11	videos were again, rose to another level because	
12	they were they amounted to a campaign ad. And	
13	they used two of my most popular songs to promote	
14	Mr. Devore's political campaign, and they were	
15	disseminated not only on YouTube but other online	12:06PM
16	sites. And so we asked that they be taken down, and	
17	then of course your client objected and had them put	
18	back up. They were even up after the lawsuit was	!
19	filed.	
20	Q. One of them was?	12:06PM
21	A. One of them was, yeah.	
22	MR. ARLEDGE: Take a break in a couple	
23	minutes, or should we wait until lunch is actually	
24	delivered? Do we know what's going to happen with	
25	that?	12:06PM

		Page 90
1	MR. WHITNEY: I think lunch is going to be	
2	delivered next door, so they can handle it.	,
3 -	MS. CHARLESWORTH: Do you want me to go	
4	check?	
5	MR. ARLEDGE: I don't want this to be an	12:06PM
6	endurance contest.	
7	I'm going to show you another email from	
8	Ms. Thomas. Well mark this as No. 2.	
9	(Deposition Exhibit 2 was marked for	
10	identification.)	12:07PM
11	MS. CHARLESWORTH: Thank you.	
12	MR. ARLEDGE: Sure.	
13	This is a one-page document stamped	
14	DHMC 002147. It purports to be an email from Lisa	
15	Thomas, dated March 31st, 2009.	12:07PM
16	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.	
17	BY MR. ARLEDGE:	•
18	Q. Sir, have you ever seen this document	
19	before?	
20	A. I believe I was copied on it when she sent	12:07PM
21	it.	
22	Q. A BCC?	
23	A. I don't recall if it was a blind copy or a	
24	regular copy.	
25	Q. The third sentence of her email says:	12:08PM

		Page 91
1	"Regrettably Don Henley does not	
2	permit the use of his work for any	
3	political or religious causes," end	
4	quote.	
5	Is that true?	12:08PM
6	A. Yes. Or karaoke or corporate	
7	advertisements or games, video games, or television	
8	shows, competition shows on television like American	
9	Idol.	
10	Q. Although I guess somebody decided to use	12:08PM
11	one of the songs in this lawsuit on American Idol;	
12	right?	
13	A. Yeah, without my blessing, which is his	
14	right.	
15	Q. That was Mr. Kortchmar that approved that?	12:08PM
16	A. As I understand it. I wasn't involved in	,
17	that. I would not have approved it. Well, are we	
18	talking about All She Wants to Do is Dance or	
19	Q. Yes.	,
20	A. Yeah.	
21	Q. All She Wants to Do is Dance, which the guy	
22	who won this past season, I think	
23	A. Really?	
24	Q I think used it.	
25	A. I don't watch the show but	12:09PM
]		

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA) ss:
2	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)
. 3	
4	I, JANICE SCHUTZMAN, C.S.R. No. 9509, do hereby
5	certify:
6	That the foregoing deposition testimony was taken
7	before me at the time and place therein set forth and at
8	which time the witness was administered the oath;
9	That the testimony of the witness and all objections
10	made by counsel at the time of the examination were
11	recorded stenographically by me, and were thereafter
12	transcribed under my direction and supervision, and that
13	the foregoing pages contain a full, true and accurate
14	record of all proceedings and testimony to the best of
15	my skill and ability.
16	I further certify that I am neither counsel for any
17	party to said action, nor am I related to any party to
18	said action, nor am I in any way interested in the
19	outcome thereof.
20	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name this
2,1	15th day of December, 2009.
2,2	
23	
24	Janiel Hehre
25	JANICE SCHUTZMAN, CSR No. 9509