

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA


ABDULLAH NAIM HAFIZ,	)	CASE NO. SA CV 10-1174-JFW (PJW)
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND
v.	)	RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES
	)	MAGISTRATE JUDGE AND DENYING
JAMES A. YATES, WARDEN,	)	CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY
	)	
Respondent.	)	
_____	)	

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, records on file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. Further, the Court has engaged in a *de novo* review of those portions of the Report to which Petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge.

Further, for the reasons stated in the Report and Recommendation, the Court finds that Petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right and, therefore, a certificate of appealability is denied. See Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in

1 the United States District Courts, Rule 11(a); 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2);  
2 Fed. R. App. P. 22(b); *Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336  
3 (2003).

4  
5 DATED: December 9, 2011.

6  
7  
8   
9 JOHN F. WALTER  
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28