
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No. SACV 10-1217-JST (MLGx)

Date: March 9, 2011

Title: VI NGUYEN, etc. v. INNOVATION VENTURES LLC, etc.

Present: **Honorable JOSEPHINE STATON TUCKER, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

Ellen Matheson

Deputy Clerk

N/A

Court Reporter

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR PLAINTIFF: ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR DEFENDANT:

Not Present

Not Present

PROCEEDINGS: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

On January 14, 2011, the Court set a scheduling conference for March 21, 2011, and ordered counsel to “file a Joint 26(f) Report . . . **no later than 14 days before the date set for the scheduling conference.**” (Doc. 12 ¶ 1.) Parties were also ordered to submit a “completed ADR-01 ‘Settlement Procedure Selection’ Form and Order” along with their joint 26(f) Report. (*Id.* ¶ 1(k).) Pursuant to the Court’s discretion under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 83, failure to submit a Joint Rule 26(f) Report may result in the Court dismissing the case, entering a default, and/or imposing sanctions.

On its own motion, the Court hereby orders counsel to show cause why the Court should not dismiss this action, enter a default, and/or impose sanctions for counsel’s failure to submit a Joint Rule 26(f) Report and completed ADR-01 Form and Order. No later than **March 11, 2011, 12:00 p.m.**, counsel shall submit a Joint Rule 26(f) Report, a completed ADR-01 Form and Order, and a separate written response explaining why counsel has failed to comply with the Court’s filing deadlines.

Initials of Preparer: enm