Bryan Pringle v. William Adams Jr et al

PROCTOR

Doc. 108

Pursuant to Rule 8(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendants UMG Recordings, Inc. ("UMG") and Interscope Records ("Interscope") (collectively, the "UMG Defendants") hereby answer the First Amended Complaint (the "FAC") of Plaintiff Bryan Pringle ("Plaintiff"). If an averment is not specifically admitted, it is hereby denied.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

- 1. Answering paragraph 1, the UMG Defendants admit that the FAC alleges copyright infringement against the Defendants, but deny any liability to Plaintiff whatsoever. Expect as expressly admitted herein, the UMG Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 1.
- 2. Answering paragraph 2, the UMG Defendants admit that this suit seeks the relief stated in this paragraph, but deny that Plaintiff is entitled to such relief. The UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 2, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.
- 3. Answering paragraph 3, the UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 3, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.
- 4. Answering paragraph 4, the UMG Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 5. Answering paragraph 5, the UMG Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 6. Answering paragraph 6, the UMG Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.

# **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

7. Answering paragraph 7, the UMG Defendants admit that Plaintiff purports to bring this action pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §§ 101 *et seq.* and 1338(a) but deny any liability to Plaintiff whatsoever.

8 9

11 12

13

10

14 15

17 18

16

20

21

19

22 23

25

24

26

27

Answering paragraph 8, the UMG Defendants admit that their principal 8. places of business are in this District. The UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 8, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.

## **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

#### A. **Parties**

- Answering paragraph 9 the UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in this paragraph, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.
- 10. Answering paragraph 10, the UMG Defendants admit that Defendant William Adams Jr. is an individual professionally known as Will.I.Am and one of the members of the Black Eyed Peas. The UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 10 and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.
- Answering paragraph 11, the UMG Defendants admit that Stacy Ferguson is an individual professionally known as Fergie and one of the members of the Black Eyed Peas. The UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 11, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.
- 12. Answering paragraph 12, the UMG Defendants admit that Allan Pineda is an individual professionally known as apl.de.ap and one of the members of the Black Eyed Peas. The UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 12, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.
- 13. Answering paragraph 13, the UMG Defendants admit that Jaime Gomez is an individual professionally known as Taboo and one of the members of the Black Eyed Peas. The UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or

PROCTOR

deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 13, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.

- 14. Answering paragraph 14, the UMG Defendants admit that David Guetta is an individual songwriter and music producer who has, among other things, co-produced sound recordings by the Black Eyed Peas. The UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 14, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.
- 15. Answering paragraph 15, the UMG Defendants admit that Frederick Riesterer is an individual songwriter and music producer who has, among other things, co-produced sound recordings by the Black Eyed Peas. The UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 15, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.
- 16. Answering Paragraph 16, the UMG Defendants admit that UMG Recordings, Inc. ("UMG") is a Delaware corporation and that UMG is in the business of releasing sound recordings through various record labels. The UMG Defendants deny that UMG's principal place of business is in Los Angeles, California. To the extent that any further response is required, the UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 16, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.
- 17. Answering paragraph 17, the UMG Defendants admit that Defendant Interscope Records ("Interscope") is a California general partnership and that UMG is the managing partner of Interscope. The UMG Defendants further admit that the Black Eyed Peas are signed as artists to the Interscope Records label. Except as expressly admitted herein, the UMG Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 17.
- 18. Answering paragraph 18, the UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in this paragraph, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.

- 19. Answering paragraph 19, the UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in this paragraph, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.
- 20. Answering paragraph 20, the UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in this paragraph, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.
- 21. Answering paragraph 21, the UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in this paragraph, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.
- 22. Answering paragraph 22, the UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in this paragraph, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.
- 23. Answering paragraph 23, the UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in this paragraph, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.
- 24. Answering paragraph 24, the UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in this paragraph, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.
- 25. Answering paragraph 25, the UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in this paragraph, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.
- 26. Answering paragraph 26, the UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in this paragraph, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.

# B. Plaintiff's Creation and Protection of His Original Work

27. Answering paragraph 27, the UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in this paragraph, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.

12

15

16

13

20 21

22

19

23 24

25

26 27

28

LESLIE & PROCTOR

- 28. Answering paragraph 28, the UMG Defendants admit that a copyright registration for the album "Dead Beat Club: 1998" is attached to the FAC as Exhibit "B." The UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 28, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.
- 29. Answering paragraph 29, the UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in this paragraph, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.
- 30. Answering paragraph 30, the UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in this paragraph, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.

#### **Defendants' Access to and Copying of Plaintiff's Copyrighted Song** C. "Take a Dive"

- 31. Answering paragraph 31, the UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in this paragraph, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.
- Answering paragraph 32, the UMG Defendants lack sufficient 32. information to admit or deny the allegations contained in this paragraph, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.
- 33. Answering paragraph 33, the UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in this paragraph, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.
- Answering paragraph 34, the UMG Defendants admit that, at various 34. times, Defendant Adams has performed consulting services to Interscope. The UMG Defendants deny each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 34 to the extent it pertains to Interscope. The UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 34, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.

LESLIE &

- 35. Answering paragraph 35, the UMG Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 36. Answering paragraph 36, the UMG Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 37. Answering paragraph 37, the UMG Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 38. Answering paragraph 38, the UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in this paragraph, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.
- 39. Answering paragraph 37, the UMG Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.

### D. Substantial Similarity Between "Take a Dive" and "I Gotta Feeling"

- 40. Answering paragraph 40, the UMG Defendants admit that a copy of "I Gotta Feeling" is contained as Track 3 on Exhibit "A" to the FAC. Except as expressly admitted herein, the UMG Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 40.
- 41. Answering paragraph 41, the UMG Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 42. Answering paragraph 42, the UMG Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 43. Answering paragraph 43, the UMG Defendants deny that "Take a Dive" is substantially similar to "I Gotta Feeling," and further deny that the purported similarities described in subparagraphs (a) through (l) evidence any infringement. The UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 43, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.

9

14

17 18

20

21

19

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

#### The Aftermath of "I Gotta Feeling's" Release **E**.

- Answering paragraph 44, The UMG Defendants admit that the song "I 44. Gotta Feeling" was released in or around June 2009 as the second single from the Black Eyed Peas album entitled *The E.N.D.*
- Answering paragraph 45, the UMG Defendants admit the allegations in 45. sub-paragraph (c) and further admit that "I Gotta Feeling" was licensed for commercials. Except as expressly admitted herein, the UMG Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 45.
- 46. Answering paragraph 46, the UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in this paragraph, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.

### **E**. Defendants' Conspiracy to Engage in and Conduct a Pattern and Practice of Ongoing Willful Copyright Infringement as to Others

- Answering paragraph 47, the UMG Defendants deny each and every 47. allegation contained therein.
- 48. Answering paragraph 48, the UMG Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 49. Answering paragraph 49, the UMG Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 50, the UMG Defendants deny each and every 50. allegation contained therein.
- 51. Answering paragraph 51, the UMG Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 52. Answering paragraph 52, the UMG Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 53, the UMG Defendants deny each and every 53. allegation contained therein.

- 54. Answering paragraph 54, the UMG Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this paragraph as it pertains to the UMG Defendants. The UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.
- 55. Answering paragraph 55, the UMG Defendants are aware of other unproven allegations against the Black Eyed Peas, but deny the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph as they pertain to the UMG Defendants. The UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.
- 56. Answering paragraph 56, the UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in this paragraph, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.
- 57. Answering paragraph 57, the UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in this paragraph, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.
- 58. Answering paragraph 58, the UMG Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 59. Answering paragraph 59, the UMG Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in this paragraph, and on such basis deny each and every such allegation.
- 60. Answering paragraph 60, the UMG Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.

## ANSWER TO CLAIM FOR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

- 61. Answering paragraph 61, the UMG Defendants hereby incorporate their answers to Paragraphs 1 through 60, inclusive.
- 62. Answering paragraph 62, the UMG Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.

- 63. Answering paragraph 63, the UMG Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 64. Answering paragraph 64, the UMG Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 65. Answering paragraph 65, the UMG Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 66. Answering paragraph 66, the UMG Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 67. Answering paragraph 67, the UMG Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 68. Answering paragraph 68, the UMG Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 69. Answering paragraph 69, the UMG Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.

## **ANSWER TO PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

Answering paragraphs (a) to (g) of the prayer for relief, the UMG Defendants deny that Plaintiff is entitled to the relief sought in these paragraphs, and deny that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief whatsoever.

## **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

The UMG Defendants plead the following separate and distinct affirmative defenses without conceding that they bear the burden of proof as to any of these issues. The UMG Defendants reserve the right to assert additional affirmative defenses that discovery indicates are proper.

# **FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

# (Failure to State a Claim)

1. Plaintiff's FAC, and each cause of action alleged therein, fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	,
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	,
18	,
19	
20	
21	,
22	
23	
24	
25	

#### SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Indemnity)

2. To the extent that Plaintiff is entitled to recover from the UMG Defendants, the UMG Defendants are entitled to equitable and contractual indemnity from other persons and parties causing or contributing to such damages.

#### THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

## (Apportionment of Fault)

3. Plaintiff's damages, if any, were caused by the negligence and/or acts or omissions of parties other than the UMG Defendants, whether or not parties to this action. By reason thereof, Plaintiff's damages, if any, as against the UMG Defendants, must be reduced by the proportion of fault attributable to such other parties, and to the extent that this is necessary, the UMG Defendants may be entitled to partial indemnity from others on a comparative fault basis.

## FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

## (Intervening and Superseding Cause)

4. Assuming Plaintiff suffered or sustained any loss, damage or injury, which Defendants specifically deny, such loss, damage or injury was proximately caused or contributed to by the negligence or wrongful conduct of other parties, persons or entities, including Plaintiff, and that their negligence or wrongful conduct was an intervening and superseding cause of the purported loss, damage or injury of which Plaintiff complains.

# FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

# (Justification and Privilege)

5. Plaintiff's FAC, and each cause of action alleged therein, is barred by the doctrine of justification and privilege, in that all actions by the UMG Defendants were lawful and were fair and reasonable under the circumstances.

27

1	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
2	(Waiver)		
3	6. Plaintiff's FAC, and each cause of action alleged therein, is barred in		
4	whole or in part by the doctrine of waiver.		
5	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
6	(Consent)		
7	7. Plaintiff's FAC, and each cause of action alleged therein, fails because		
8	Plaintiff, and/or the persons and/or entities acting on their behalf, consented to and		
9	acquiesced in the subject conduct.		
10	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
11	(Laches)		
12	8. Plaintiff's FAC, and each cause of action alleged therein, is barred in		
13	whole or in part by the doctrine of laches.		
14	<u>NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>		
15	(Estoppel)		
16	9. Plaintiff's FAC, and each cause of action alleged therein, is barred in		
17	whole or in part by the doctrine of estoppel.		
18	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
19	(Failure to Mitigate)		
20	10. Assuming that any loss, injury or damage occurred as Plaintiff alleges,		
21	which the UMG Defendants specifically deny, Plaintiff has failed to mitigate those		
22	damages.		
23	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
24	(Unclean Hands)		
25	11. Plaintiff's FAC, and each cause of action alleged therein, is barred in		
26	whole or in part by the doctrine of unclean hands.		
27			
28			

1	TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE				
2	(Speculative Damages)				
3	12. The damages alleged in Plaintiff's FAC are impermissibly remote and				
4	speculative, and, therefore, Plaintiff is barred from the recovery of any such				
5	damages against the UMG Defendants.				
6	THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE				
7	(Innocent Infringement)				
8	13. As to each and all claims for relief based upon the UMG Defendants'				
9	alleged infringement of alleged copyrights owned by Plaintiff, Plaintiff is barred				
10	from recovering damages arising from such alleged infringement, or such damages				
11	should be reduced, because any infringement by the UMG Defendants was innocent				
12	and without notice or knowledge of Plaintiff's purported rights.				
13	FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE				
14	(Misuse of Copyright)				
15	14. As to any and all claims for relief based upon the UMG Defendants'				
16	alleged infringement of alleged copyrights owned by Plaintiff, Plaintiff's actions				
17	constitute misuse of copyright.				
18	FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE				
19	(Fraud on the Copyright Office)				
20	15. As to each and all of the claims for relief based on alleged infringement				
21	of alleged copyrights owned by Plaintiff, Plaintiff's claims are barred because any				
22	copyright registrations by Plaintiff were obtained by fraud on the Copyright Office.				
23					
24	WHEREFORE, the UMG Defendants pray for relief as follows:				
25	1. That the FAC be dismissed, with prejudice and in its entirety;				
26	2. That Plaintiff take nothing by reason of this FAC and that judgment is				
27	entered against Plaintiff and in favor of the UMG Defendants;				

1	3. That the UMG Defe	endants be awarded attorneys' fees and costs		
2	incurred in defending this action; and			
3	4. That the UMG Defendants be granted such other and further relief as			
4	the Court may deem just and proper.			
5	DATED: February 14, 2011	Respectfully submitted,		
6		CALDWELL LESLIE & PROCTOR, PC		
7		LINDA M. BURROW		
8		HEATHER PEARSON		
9				
10		By /s/		
11		LINDA M. BURROW		
12		Attorneys for Defendants UMG RECORDINGS, INC and INTERSCOPE		
13		RECORDS		
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28		12		

CALDWELL LESLIE & PROCTOR

# DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL The UMG Defendants hereby demand trial by jury in this action. DATED: February 14, 2011 Respectfully submitted, CALDWELL LESLIE & PROCTOR, PC LINDA M. BURROW **HEATHER PEARSON** Attorneys for Defendants UMG RECORDINGS, INC. and INTERSCOPE RECORDS

CALDWELL LESLIE & PROCTOR