

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SOUTHERN DIVISION

BRYAN PRINGLE, an individual,
Pringle,
v.
WILLIAM ADAMS, JR.; STACY
FERGUSON; ALLAN PINEDA; and
JAIME GOMEZ, all individually and
collectively as the music group The
Black Eyed Peas, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Hon. Josephine Staton Tucker
Courtroom 10A

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT BY DEFENDANTS
SHAPIRO, BERNSTEIN & CO,
INC., FREDERIC RIESTERER AND
DAVID GUETTA**

Complaint Filed: October 28, 2010
Trial Date: February 28, 2012
Hearing Date: December 19, 2011:
10:00 A.M.

[PROPOSED] ORDER

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[Proposed] Order

Before the Court is the Motion of Defendants Shapiro, Bernstein & Co, Inc., Frederic Riesterer and David Guetta (collectively “Defendants”) for summary judgment against Plaintiff Bryan Pringle pursuant to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Having considered the parties’ submissions, heard oral argument, and taken the matter under submission, the Court finds that Defendants have established their entitlement to summary judgment, and Plaintiff has failed to establish any genuine issue of material fact. Accordingly, Defendants’ motion is hereby GRANTED. Judgment shall be entered in Defendants’ favor, and the action is hereby dismissed with prejudice.

Dated: _____, 2011

By: _____
Hon. Josephine Staton Tucker
United States District Judge