2101 East Coast Highway, Suite 260 Corona del Mar, California 92625	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Dean A. Dickie (appearing Pro Hac Vice) Dickie@MillerCanfield.com Kathleen E. Koppenhoefer (appearing Pro It Koppenhoefer@MillerCanfield.com Katharine N. Dunn (appearing Pro Hac Vice) Dunn@MillerCanfield.com MILLER, CANFIELD, PADDOCK AND S 225 West Washington Street, Suite 2600 Chicago, IL 60606 Telephone: 312.460.4200 Facsimile: 312.460.4288  Ira Gould (appearing Pro Hac Vice) Gould@igouldlaw.com Ryan L. Greely (appearing Pro Hac Vice) Rgreely@igouldlaw.com GOULD LAW GROUP 120 North LaSalle Street, Suite 2750 Chicago, IL 60602 Telephone: 312.781.0680 Facsimile: 312.726.1328  George L. Hampton IV (State Bar No. 1444 ghampton@hamptonholley.com Colin C. Holley (State Bar No. 191999) cholley@hamptonholley.com HAMPTONHOLLEY LLP 2101 East Coast Highway, Suite 260 Corona del Mar, California 92625 Telephone: 949.718.4550 Facsimile: 949.718.4550 Facsimile: 949.718.4580  Attorneys for Plaintiff BRYAN PRINGLE  UNITED STATES DI CENTRAL DISTRICT SOUTHERN I  BRYAN PRINGLE, an individual, Plaintiff, v. WILLIAM ADAMS, JR.; STACY FERGUSON; ALLAN PINEDA; and JAIME GOMEZ, all individually and collectively as the music group The Black Eyed Peas, et al.,	STONE, P.L.C.  STRICT COURT OF CALIFORNIA
	27	Eyed Peas, et al.,	Trial Date: January 24, 2012
	28	Defendants.	

2	Investigation continues.
3	INTERROGATORY NO. 15: State all FACTS that evidence that any of the
4	DEFENDANTS actually copied the MUSICAL COMPOSITION, TAKE A DIVE
5	(DANCE VERSION), when creating the MUSICAL COMPOSITION entitled "I
6 7	Gotta Feeling."
8	ANSWER: See answer and objections to Interrogatory No. 13.
9	Investigation continues.
10	INTERROGATORY NO. 16: State all FACTS that YOU contend demonstrate
11	that any of the DEFENDANTS had ACCESS to TAKE A DIVE (DANCE
12	VERSION) SR prior to 2009. The term "ACCESS" as used herein means to have
13	actually heard, or had a reasonable opportunity or possibility to hear, the SOUND
14	RECORDING at issue.
15	
16	ANSWER: See answer and objections to Interrogatory No. 8.
17	Investigation continues.
18	<b>INTERROGATORY NO. 17:</b> List in seriatim and in full and explicit terms, each
19	similarity YOU perceive to exist between TAKE A DIVE (DANCE VERSION) SR
20	and "I Gotta Feeling."
21	<b>ANSWER:</b> See answer and objections to Interrogatory No. 13.
22	Investigation continues.
23	investigation continues.
24	INTERROGATORY NO. 18: State all FACTS that any of the DEFENDANTS
25	physically appropriated any portion of TAKE A DIVE (DANCE VERSION) SR
26	when creating "I Gotta Feeling."
27	ANSWER: Objection. Plaintiff objects to Interrogatory No. 18
28	because it is overly broad, unduly burdensome and requires the
	9

ANSWER: See answer and objections to Interrogatory No. 12.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

disclosure of attorney work product and attorney client privileged information. Without waiving said objections, Plaintiff is not seeking to recover for a physical appropriation of Take a Dive (Dance Version) at this time in light of the Defendants ongoing and willful refusal to disclose the evidence required to establish sampling. Plaintiff reserves the right to seek recovery for physical appropriation of Take a Dive should Defendants produce evidence of said appropriation; investigation continues.

INTERROGATORY NO. 19: State with specificity, and according to YOUR personal knowledge, each and every PUBLIC PERFORMANCE, throughout the world, of the MUSICAL COMPOSITION, TAKE A DIVE (DANCE VERSION), including FACTS concerning when, where, by what means, and by whom the work was performed.

> **ANSWER:** Objection. Plaintiff objects to interrogatory No. 19 because it is unduly burdensome. Without waiving his objection, TAKE A DIVE (DANCE VERSION) was played throughout North America and Western Europe on the internet and the radio. Investigation continues.

INTERROGATORY NO. 20: State with specificity, and according to YOUR personal knowledge, each and every DISTRIBUTION, throughout the world, of the MUSICAL COMPOSITION, TAKE A DIVE (DANCE VERSION), including FACTS concerning when, where, by what means, by whom, and to whom the work was distributed.

> **ANSWER:** Objection. Plaintiff objects to Interrogatory No. 20 because it is vague, overly broad and unduly burdensome. Without waiving his objection, from around 1999 through 2006, Plaintiff submitted hundreds of demo cd's and tapes, all of which included "Take a Dive (Dance

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**ANSWER:** *See* answer and objections to Interrogatory No. 21 and 22. Investigation continues.

**INTERROGATORY NO. 25:** If other adaptations exist beyond TAKE A DIVE (DANCE VERSION) of the MUSICAL COMPOSITION entitled TAKE A DIVE that YOU claim were created prior to 2009 and YOU claim are relevant to this lawsuit, please list all such adaptations.

ANSWER: Objection. Plaintiff objects to Interrogatory No. 25 because it is vague and overly broad. Without waiving his objection, Plaintiff states that there were multiple derivative versions of "Take a Dive" that were included on Plaintiffs' demo cds and tapes, including several where the guitar twang sequence was soloed out as the introduction of the song. Plaintiff also states that the MUSICAL COMPOSITION is based at least in part, on Plaintiff's song copyrighted songs "Faith" and "Faith Re-mix." Investigation continues.

Dated: November 7, 2011

Dean A. Dickie (appearing Pro Hac Vice) Kathleen E. Koppenhoefer (appearing Pro Hac Vice) Katharine N. Dunn (appearing Pro Hac Vice) MILLER, CANFIELD, PADDOCK AND STONE, P.L.C.

Ira Gould (appearing Pro Hac Vice) Ryan L. Greely (appearing Pro Hac Vice) GOULD LAW GROUP

George L. Hampton IV (State Bar No. 144433) Colin C. Holley (State Bar No. 191999) HAMPTONHOLLEY LLP

y: Mars Wei

Attorneys for Plaintiff Bryan Pringle

## VERIFICATION

I, Bryan Pringle, state that I have knowledge of the foregoing events, and that the answers made to Defendant Ferguson's First Set of Interrogatories are true and correct.

I declare the foregoing to be true under penalty of perjury.

Bryan Pringle

November <u>4</u>, 2011.

## 1 2 3 PROOF OF SERVICE 4 I am employed in the County of Cook, State of Illinois. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 225 West Washington Street, Suite 2600, Chicago, Illinois 60606. 6 On this date, I served the foregoing PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED RESPONSE **DEFENDANT** STACY **FERGUSON'S FIRST INTERROGATORIES** on all interested parties in this action listed on the attached Service List as follows: (BY MAIL) - I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on the same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Chicago, Illinois in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or 11 postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing on affidavit. 12 (BY FACSIMILE) - By transmitting a true copy thereof by facsimile from facsimile number 312.460-4201 to the facsimile number(s) shown on the attached Service List, for which electronic confirmation was received from the facsimile machine that said document was successfully transmitted without error. OVERNIGHT DELIVERY) - By depositing 15 (BY the document(s) in a box or other facility regularly maintained by FedEx in an envelope 16 or package designated by FedEx with delivery fees paid. 17 (BY EMAIL) - By causing a true copy of the document(s) to be served by electronic mail transmission at the time shown on each transmission, to each interested party at the email address shown on the attached Service List. Each 18 transmission was reported as complete and without error. 19 (State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of 20 California that the foregoing is true and correct. 21 (Federal) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. 22 Executed on November 7, 2011, at Chicago, Illinois. 23 24 25 26 27 28

1