

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| SLOTNICK | 11/11/2010 | REVIEW COMPLAINT; CONFERENCE CALL WITH M. BRETTLER REGARDING PRINGLE | 1.3 | 877.50 |
| | 11/18/2010 | TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH PLAINTIFF'S LAWYER; EMAIL TO M. BRETTLER | 0.1 | 67.50 |
| | 11/19/2010 | TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH K. CENAR REGARDING AMENDED COMPLAINT | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 11/20/2010 | REVIEW AMENDED COMPLAINT; EMAIL TO M. BRETTLER | 0.4 | 270.00 |
| | 11/23/2010 | REVIEW MOTION PAPERS FOR TRO AND PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH K. CENAR; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH COUNSEL; MEETING WITH CLIENT; REVIEW DECLARATION | 4.9 | 3,307.50 |
| | 11/30/2010 | TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH K. THORLAND | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 12/1/2010 | TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH K. THORLAND REGARDING AMENDED COMPLAINT AND SERVICE OF PROCESS | 0.1 | 67.50 |
| | 12/6/2010 | TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH K. THORLAND; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH M. BRETTLER | 0.3 | 202.50 |
| | 12/7/2010 | EMAIL TO K. THORLAND AND T. DICKSTEIN | 0.1 | 67.50 |
| | 12/8/2010 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN; TELEPHONE CONFERENCES WITH K. CENAR; REVIEW COMPLAINT; DRAFT LETTER TO PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEYS | 1.7 | 1,147.50 |
| | 12/9/2010 | REVIEW BEP PROPOSED MOTION TO DISMISS; ANALYSIS OF CLIENTS' BASIS FOR MOTION TO DISMISS; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH K. CENAR | 1.6 | 1,080.00 |
| | 12/11/2010 | REVIEW CO-DEFENDANTS DRAFT MOTION TO DISMISS; EMAIL TO K. THORLAND AND T. DICKSTEIN | 0.6 | 405.00 |
| | 12/12/2010 | REVIEW DRAFT MOTION TO DISMISS; EMAILS TO K. THORLAND AND T. DICKSTEIN; EMAIL TO K. CENAR | 1.7 | 1,147.50 |
| | 12/13/2010 | REVIEW REVISIONS TO CO-DEFENDANTS' BRIEFS | 0.4 | 270.00 |
| | 12/16/2010 | REVIEW MOTION | 0.1 | 67.50 |
| | 12/21/2010 | EMAIL TO CLIENTS | 0.1 | 67.50 |
| | 1/3/2011 | REVIEW COURT ORDER; EMAILS TO CLIENTS; REVIEW PLAINTIFF WEBSITE; EMAIL TO P. ADLER | 0.4 | 270.00 |
| | 1/4/2011 | REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S MOTION PAPERS; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 2.1 | 1,417.50 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 1/5/2011 | REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION | 3.0 | 2,025.00 |
| | 1/6/2011 | REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION; CONFERENCE CALL WITH K. CENAR AND T. DICKSTEIN; CONFERENCE CALL WITH COUNSEL | 4.0 | 2,700.00 |
| | 1/7/2011 | TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH P. GELUSO; CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH L. FERRARA; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN AND T. NOLAN; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH R. ROSOFF | 3.0 | 2,025.00 |
| | 1/8/2011 | TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN AND K. CENAR; CONFERENCE CALL WITH EXPERT WITNESS AND CO-COUNSEL | 1.7 | 1,147.50 |
| | 1/9/2011 | REVIEW BRIEF ON MOTION TO DISMISS; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH K. CENAR; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 0.7 | 472.50 |
| | 1/10/2011 | TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN; REVIEW P. GELUSO DECLARATION; REVIEW MEMORANDUM OF LAW ON PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION; REVIEW MEMORANDUM OF LAW ON PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION | 4.0 | 2,700.00 |
| | 1/12/2011 | TRAVEL L.A. TO NEW YORK | 9.0 | 6,075.00 |
| | 1/13/2011 | EMAIL TO CO-COUNSEL REGARDING SCHEDULING; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH L. ROSOFF | 0.3 | 202.50 |
| | 1/14/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN AND T. NOLAN REGARDING PENDING MATTERS; EMAIL TO CLIENTS; CONFERENCE CALL WITH CO-COUNSEL | 0.9 | 607.50 |
| | 1/15/2011 | REVIEW EMAILS FROM CO-COUNSEL; REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S REPLY BRIEFS | 1.4 | 945.00 |
| | 1/18/2011 | REVIEW PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION MOTION PAPERS; ANALYSIS OF POSSIBLE EX PARTE MOTION | 1.0 | 675.00 |
| | 1/19/2011 | EMAIL TO CO-COUNSEL | 0.7 | 472.50 |
| | 1/20/2011 | REVIEW PLEADINGS AND MOTION PAPERS; PREPARE FOR MOTION TO DISMISS | 4.3 | 2,902.50 |
| | 1/21/2011 | PREPARE FOR ORAL ARGUMENT; EMAILS TO CO-COUNSEL; EMAIL TO CLIENT | 2.0 | 1,350.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 1/24/2011 | REVIEW FILE; EMAIL TO COUNSEL FOR CO-DEFENDANTS | 0.6 | 405.00 |
| | 1/25/2011 | REVIEW MOTION PAPERS; PREPARE FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION HEARING; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH K. CENAR | 2.3 | 1,552.50 |
| | 1/26/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 1/27/2011 | PREPARE FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION | 1.5 | 1,012.50 |
| | 1/28/2011 | REVIEW COURT'S DECISION ON MOTION TO DISMISS | 4.5 | 3,037.50 |
| | 1/30/2011 | TRAVEL FROM NEW YORK TO LOS ANGELES | 10.5 | 7,087.50 |
| | 1/31/2011 | ARGUED MOTION IN DEFENSE OF OPPOSING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION | 6.0 | 4,050.00 |
| | 2/1/2011 | TRAVEL LOS ANGELES TO NEW YORK | 9.0 | 6,075.00 |
| | 2/8/2011 | REVIEW COURT DECISION DENYING INJUNCTION | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 2/9/2011 | REVIEW DRAFT ANSWER TO AMENDED COMPLAINT; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 0.5 | 337.50 |
| | 2/11/2011 | TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 0.1 | 67.50 |
| | 2/15/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING DISCOVERY PROCEDURES | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 2/16/2011 | REVIEW DRAFT RULE 26(F) STATEMENT; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 1.0 | 675.00 |
| | 2/17/2011 | REVIEW DRAFTS OF RULE 26(F) STATEMENT | 0.3 | 202.50 |
| | 2/21/2011 | STATUS UPDATE BY EMAIL TO CLIENTS | 0.1 | 67.50 |
| | 2/25/2011 | REVIEW DRAFT INITIAL DISCLOSURES | 0.1 | 67.50 |
| | 3/7/2011 | REVIEW COURT'S PRE-TRIAL ORDER | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 3/15/2011 | MEETING WITH C. PIOT AND M. BRETTLER; CONFERENCE REGARDING SERVICE OF PROCESS; EMAIL TO J. C. CARRE; REVIEW LETTER FROM D. DICKIE; EMAIL TO K. CENAR | 1.5 | 1,012.50 |
| | 3/16/2011 | REVIEW CORRESPONDENCE FROM PRINGLE'S LAWYER; REVIEW BEP'S DISCOVERY DEMANDS; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH K. CENAR; REVIEW LETTER TO D. DICKIE | 0.8 | 540.00 |
| | 3/17/2011 | TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 0.1 | 67.50 |
| | 3/18/2011 | REVIEW DRAFT LETTER TO D. DICKIE; EMAIL TO D. DICKIE; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 0.3 | 202.50 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 3/20/2011 | REVIEW CORRESPONDENCE FROM PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY; EMAILS TO K. CENAR | 0.4 | 270.00 |
| | 3/21/2011 | TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN; EMAILS TO M. BRETTLER; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH K. CENAR; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 0.9 | 607.50 |
| | 3/22/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH T. NOLAN, T. DICKSTEIN; EMAIL TO CLIENTS | 1.0 | 675.00 |
| | 3/24/2011 | TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING SERVICE OF PROCESS | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 3/25/2011 | REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAILS REGARDING SERVICE OF PROCESS ON F. RIESTERER | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 3/26/2011 | REVIEW AND REVISE MOTION TO DISMISS | 0.4 | 270.00 |
| | 3/28/2011 | EMAIL TO M. BRETTLER AND C. PIOT | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 3/29/2011 | REVIEW DEPOSITION SCHEDULES; EMAIL TO K. CENAR AND L. BURROW; EMAIL TO CLIENTS REGARDING DEPOSITION SCHEDULES | 0.5 | 337.50 |
| | 4/4/2011 | MEETING WITH J.C. CARRE REGARDING DEPOSITIONS | 1.0 | 675.00 |
| | 4/5/2011 | REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION PAPERS; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN AND T. NOLAN | 0.8 | 540.00 |
| | 4/6/2011 | REVIEW EMAILS REGARDING SERVICE AND DISCOVERY | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 4/7/2011 | REVIEW DRAFT BRETTLER DECLARATION | 0.1 | 67.50 |
| | 4/8/2011 | REVIEW EMAILS REGARDING DISCOVERY DISPUTES; REVISE M. BRETTLER DECLARATION | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 4/10/2011 | REVIEW DRAFT MEMORANDUM; EMAIL TO T. NOLAN; EMAIL TO CLIENTS | 0.3 | 202.50 |
| | 4/11/2011 | LETTER TO G. HAMPTON REGARDING DEPOSITION SCHEDULING; REVIEW DISCOVERY DEMANDS | 0.6 | 405.00 |
| | 4/12/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING DISCOVERY; REVIEW RIESTERER ANSWER | 0.4 | 270.00 |
| | 4/14/2011 | REVIEW COURT DECISION; EMAIL TO T. DICKSTEIN | 0.3 | 202.50 |
| | 4/18/2011 | REVIEW DISCOVERY EMAILS AND COURT'S DECISION ON ATTORNEYS' FEES | 0.3 | 202.50 |
| | 4/21/2011 | REVIEW MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES; REVIEW DISCOVERY DOCUMENTS | 1.4 | 945.00 |
| | 4/25/2011 | TRAVEL TO L.A. | 8.0 | 5,400.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 4/26/2011 | MEETING WITH M. BRETTLER, F. RIESTERER AND C. PIOT | 3.0 | 2,025.00 |
| | 4/28/2011 | TRAVEL FROM LA TO NY | 8.7 | 5,872.50 |
| | 5/2/2011 | EMAIL TO C. PROTHERO; REVIEW DRAFT DECLARATION RELATING TO ATTORNEYS' FEES APPLICATION; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH K. CENAR | 0.6 | 405.00 |
| | 5/4/2011 | EMAIL TO C. PROTHERO REGARDING SCHEDULING | 0.1 | 67.50 |
| | 5/5/2011 | REVIEW SHAPIRO BERNSTEIN AND D. GUETTA DISCOVERY DEMANDS; EMAIL TO C. PROTHERO; EMAIL TO G. HAMPTONS; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN; EMAIL TO R. ROSOFF | 1.6 | 1,080.00 |
| | 5/8/2011 | EMAILS TO J.C. CARRE, C. PIOT, C. PROTHERO AND M. BRETTLER | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 5/10/2011 | EMAIL TO C. PROTHERO; EMAIL TO K. CENAR | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 5/11/2011 | REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN; EMAIL TO K. CENAR AND L. BURROW; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH M. BRETTLER | 2.0 | 1,350.00 |
| | 5/12/2011 | REVIEW RESPONSES TO DISCOVERY DEMANDS TO SHAPIRO BERNSTEIN AND GUETTA; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. RIGHETTINI | 0.7 | 472.50 |
| | 5/13/2011 | EMAIL TO CLIENTS REGARDING SCHEDULED MEETING WITH D. GUETTA; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH M. BRETTLER; LETTER TO D. DICKIE | 0.7 | 472.50 |
| | 5/15/2011 | TRAVEL TO L.A. FOR MEETING WITH D. GUETTA; PREPARE FOR MEETING | 9.5 | 6,412.50 |
| | 5/16/2011 | MEETING WITH D. GUETTA, J.C. CARRE, C. PROTHERO, M. LEYSKI AND M. BRETTLER | 4.0 | 2,700.00 |
| | 5/17/2011 | EMAIL TO C. PIOT; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 5/18/2011 | TRAVEL FROM L.A. TO NEW YORK | 9.0 | 6,075.00 |
| | 5/19/2011 | LETTER TO D. DICKIE | 0.1 | 67.50 |
| | 5/23/2011 | MEETING WITH T. DICKSTEIN AND T. NOLAN; MEETING WITH J. C. CARRE AND M. LAYRKE WITH M. BRETTLER | 1.5 | 1,012.50 |
| | 5/24/2011 | EMAIL TO C. PIOT | 0.3 | 202.50 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 5/25/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN; EMAIL TO CLIENTS; REVIEW PRINGLE INTERROGATORY RESPONSES | 0.4 | 270.00 |
| | 5/27/2011 | REVIEW RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S DISCOVERY REQUESTS; MEETING WITH P. GELUSO | 1.0 | 675.00 |
| | 6/3/2011 | REVIEW COUNSEL EMAILS REGARDING DISCOVERY AND MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; EMAIL TO F. RIESTERER | 1.3 | 877.50 |
| | 6/9/2011 | EMAIL TO L. BURROW; EMAIL TO T. DICKSTEIN | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 6/10/2011 | EMAIL TO M. LERYCKE REGARDING ██████████ ██████████; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING PRETRIAL ORDER | 0.5 | 337.50 |
| | 6/11/2011 | REVIEW DISCOVERY EMAILS; EMAIL TO T. DICKSTEIN | 0.4 | 270.00 |
| | 6/13/2011 | REVIEW EMAIL FROM F. RIESTERER | 0.3 | 202.50 |
| | 6/14/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH T. NOLAN | 0.1 | 67.50 |
| | 6/15/2011 | REVIEW EMAIL FROM T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING DISCOVERY | 0.3 | 202.50 |
| | 6/17/2011 | REVIEW DISCOVERY EMAILS; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 0.4 | 270.00 |
| | 6/19/2011 | REVIEW EMAILS REGARDING DISCOVERY ISSUES; REVIEW EXECUTED PROTECTED ORDER | 0.6 | 405.00 |
| | 6/21/2011 | REVIEW DISCOVERY DISPUTE EMAILS; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN; EMAIL TO H. GELLER | 0.6 | 405.00 |
| | 6/22/2011 | REVIEW EMAILS REGARDING DISCOVERY; PREPARE FOR MEETING WITH F. RIESTERER | 3.4 | 2,295.00 |
| | 6/23/2011 | DEFEND F. RIESTERER DEPOSITION | 4.0 | 2,700.00 |
| | 6/24/2011 | CONFERENCE CALL WITH K. CENAR, J. PARK AND T. DICKSTEIN | 0.7 | 472.50 |
| | 6/27/2011 | TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH G. ROTH; REVIEW EMAILS; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 0.7 | 472.50 |
| | 6/28/2011 | CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING MEET AND CONFER WITH PLAINTIFF'S LAWYERS | 0.5 | 337.50 |
| | 6/29/2011 | REVIEW LETTER CONFIRMING MEET AND CONFER SESSION; REVIEW FILE REGARDING DISCOVERY AND SETTLEMENT CONFERENCES | 1.5 | 1,012.50 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 6/30/2011 | EMAIL TO T. DICKSTEIN AND K. CENAR REGARDING PRINGLE DEPOSITION; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH K. CENAR | 0.8 | 540.00 |
| | 7/1/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN ON DISCOVERY AND PROTECTIVE ORDER; LETTER TO PLAINTIFF'S LAWYERS | 1.0 | 675.00 |
| | 7/3/2011 | REVIEW K. CENAR EMAIL AND ENCLOSURES REGARDING CREATION DATE OF PRINGLE'S RECORDING | 0.5 | 337.50 |
| | 7/5/2011 | EMAIL TO T. DICKSTEIN; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH C. BADAVAS | 0.5 | 337.50 |
| | 7/6/2011 | REVIEW DISCOVERY ISSUES | 0.5 | 337.50 |
| | 7/7/2011 | REVIEW DISCOVERY ISSUES; CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 0.5 | 337.50 |
| | 7/8/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING DISCOVERY ISSUES | 0.3 | 202.50 |
| | 7/12/2011 | REVIEW CORRESPONDENCE FROM PLAINTIFF'S LAWYERS; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 0.9 | 607.50 |
| | 7/13/2011 | REVIEW DOCUMENTS REGARDING PRINGLE'S POTENTIAL INSURANCE FRAUD | 0.3 | 202.50 |
| | 7/14/2011 | EMAIL TO CLIENTS; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 7/15/2011 | REVIEW DOCUMENTS FROM TAXI PROVIDED UNDER SUBPOENA; REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS | 1.7 | 1,147.50 |
| | 7/16/2011 | DRAFT AFFIDAVIT FOR CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER | 0.3 | 202.50 |
| | 7/18/2011 | REVIEW EMAILS REGARDING DISCOVERY ISSUES; REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S ADDITIONAL DISCOVERY RESPONSES | 0.9 | 607.50 |
| | 7/19/2011 | TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH P. KATZ OF ASCAP | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 7/21/2011 | REVIEW EMAILS REGARDING EXPERT'S FINDINGS; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN AND T. NOLAN | 0.5 | 337.50 |
| | 7/26/2011 | REVIEW DEPOSITION NOTICES; EMAIL TO T. DICKSTEIN | 0.1 | 67.50 |
| | 7/27/2011 | TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING B&P DEPOSITIONS | 0.1 | 67.50 |
| | 7/28/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 0.1 | 67.50 |
| | 8/1/2011 | REVIEW W. ADAMS DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT | 1.3 | 877.50 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 8/2/2011 | REVIEW W. ADAMS TRANSCRIPT | 1.0 | 675.00 |
| | 8/7/2011 | REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAILS FROM T. DISCKSTEIN AND K. CENAR REGARDING DISCOVERY ISSUES | 0.3 | 202.50 |
| | 8/8/2011 | REVIEW DOCUMENTS IN PREPARATION FOR PRINGLE DEPOSITION; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 1.0 | 675.00 |
| | 8/9/2011 | REVIEWED E-MAILS REGARDING SPOILIATION OF EVIDENCE | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 8/21/2011 | REVIEWED PLEADINGS IN PREPARATION FOR PRINGLE DEPOSITION | 1.8 | 1,215.00 |
| | 8/22/2011 | REVIEWED E-MAILS REGARDING DISCOVERY ISSUES; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN; REVIEWED PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS | 1.8 | 1,215.00 |
| | 8/23/2011 | PREPARED FOR DEPOSITION OF PLAINTIFF; MEETING WITH T. DICKSTEIN; TRAVELED TO SAN ANTONIO | 7.0 | 4,725.00 |
| | 8/24/2011 | PARTICIPATED IN DEPOSITION OF B. PRINGLE | 11.0 | 7,425.00 |
| | 8/25/2011 | TRAVELED FROM SAN ANTONIO TO NY | 7.0 | 4,725.00 |
| | 8/30/2011 | TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH G. STIFFELMAN | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 8/31/2011 | REVIEW EMAIL FROM T. DICKSTEIN FOR INSURANCE CARRIER | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 9/1/2011 | REVIEW BEP INTERROGATORIES TO PLAINTIFF | 0.1 | 67.50 |
| | 9/2/2011 | REVIEW DRAFT J. GARRAND DECLARATION; EMAIL TO T. DICKSTEIN | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 9/7/2011 | REVIEW STATEMENTS FROM EXHIBITS; EMAIL TO T. DICKSTEIN; REVIEW L. FERRARA EXPERT REPORT | 1.4 | 945.00 |
| | 9/8/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN AND T. NOLAN REGARDING EXPERT OPINIONS; REVIEW PRINGLE DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPTS | 1.5 | 1,012.50 |
| | 9/9/2011 | REVIEW PRINGLE DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING EXPERT WITNESSES | 3.2 | 2,160.00 |
| | 9/11/2011 | REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT | 0.8 | 540.00 |
| | 9/12/2011 | TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH K. CENAR | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 9/13/2011 | E-MAILS WITH G. STIFFELMAN | 0.1 | 67.50 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 9/14/2011 | CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN; REVIEW BOARD OF DIRECTORS PROPOSEDEXPERTS QUALIFICATION; EMAIL TO CO-COUNSEL REGARDING ADVISABILITY OF EXPERTS | 1.0 | 675.00 |
| | 9/15/2011 | EMAIL TO M. LERYKE | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 9/16/2011 | EMAILS TO L. BURROW AND K. CENAR REGARDING EXPERTS | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 9/17/2011 | EMAILS TO L. BURROW, K. CENAR AND G. STIFFELMAN | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 9/20/2011 | REVIEW EMAIL REGARDING BEATPORT; CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 0.3 | 202.50 |
| | 9/21/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH COUNSEL FOR BEPS AND UNIVERSAL REGARDING EXPERT WITNESSES | 1.8 | 1,215.00 |
| | 9/22/2011 | PREPARATION FOR D. GUETTA DEPOSITION | 0.8 | 540.00 |
| | 9/23/2011 | REVIEW F. RIESTERER DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT | 1.0 | 675.00 |
| | 9/25/2011 | TRAVEL TO L.A.; MEETING WITH D. GUETTA | 11.0 | 7,425.00 |
| | 9/26/2011 | DEFEND DEPOSITION OF DAVID GUETTA | 10.5 | 7,087.50 |
| | 9/27/2011 | TRAVEL TO NEW YORK | 8.6 | 5,805.00 |
| | 10/4/2011 | REVIEW EMAILS REGARDING DISCOVERY; CONFERENCE CALL WITH T. DICKSTEIN AND K. CENAR | 0.5 | 337.50 |
| | 10/6/2011 | EMAILS WITH G. STIFFILMAN REGARDING EXPERT WITNESSES | 0.3 | 202.50 |
| | 10/7/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING DISCOVERY; CONSIDERATION OF EXPERT WITNESSES | 0.1 | 67.50 |
| | 10/14/2011 | REVIEW EMAILS REGARDING EXPERT DISCOVERY; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 0.6 | 405.00 |
| | 10/19/2011 | REVIEW DISCOVERY EMAILS FROM T. DICKSTEIN AND K. CENAR | 0.7 | 472.50 |
| | 10/21/2011 | REVIEW SUMMARY JUDGMENT PAPERS; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 1.1 | 742.50 |
| | 10/24/2011 | REVIEW D. GUETTA DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT | 0.8 | 540.00 |
| | 10/25/2011 | REVIEW GUETTA DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 2.8 | 1,890.00 |
| | 10/26/2011 | REVIEW EXPERT WITNESS BIOGRAPHIES; EMAILS TO M. BRETTLER AND G. STIFFILMAN REGARDING WITNESS TESTIMONY | 1.0 | 675.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 10/27/2011 | REVIEW PLEADINGS AND TRANSCRIPT IN CONNECTION WITH SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION | 1.0 | 675.00 |
| | 10/28/2011 | TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN; REVIEW OF CRITERIA FOR EXPERT WITNESS; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH K. LEVATAN; REVIEW LETTER TO PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEYS | 1.0 | 675.00 |
| | 10/31/2011 | REVIEW AND REVISE RIESTERER DECLARATION; REVISE SUMMARY JUDGMENT PAPERS | 3.0 | 2,025.00 |
| | 11/1/2011 | REVIEW AND REVISE MEMO OF LAW; REVIEW BEP'S MOTION PAPERS; CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 5.0 | 3,375.00 |
| | 11/2/2011 | REVISE SUMMARY JUDGMENT MEMORANDUM OF LAW; REVIEW STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL; EMAIL TO CLIENTS; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH A. KONOWITCH | 4.3 | 2,902.50 |
| | 11/3/2011 | REVIEW DAMAGE ISSUE MATERIALS; REVIEW A. EICHART DECLARATION; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN; REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES | 3.3 | 2,227.50 |
| | 11/4/2011 | REVISE SUMMARY JUDGMENT PAPERS; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN; CONFERENCE WITH M. BRETTLER | 1.8 | 1,215.00 |
| | 11/9/2011 | REVIEW EMAILS AND TELEPHONE CONFERENCES WITH D. WHITE REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S COPYRIGHT CLAIM | 2.6 | 1,755.00 |
| | 11/10/2011 | REVISE SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION PAPERS | 2.4 | 1,620.00 |
| | 11/11/2011 | REVISE MEMO OF LAW; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH L. ROTHENBERG; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH K. CENAR | 5.0 | 3,375.00 |
| | 11/13/2011 | EMAIL TO A. KONOWITCH REGARDING ██████████ ██████████ FOR EXPERT REPORT | 1.3 | 877.50 |
| | 11/14/2011 | CONFERENCE CALL WITH ALL COUNSEL REGARDING DISCOVERY DISPUTES | 2.6 | 1,755.00 |
| | 11/15/2011 | REVIEW RULE 56.1 STATEMENT; REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSES TO DISCOVERY | 0.8 | 540.00 |
| | 11/16/2011 | TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 0.1 | 67.50 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 11/17/2011 | REVIEW JOINT DECLARATION REGARDING DISCOVERY DISPUTES; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH A. KONOWICH | 0.5 | 337.50 |
| | 11/18/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH K. CENAR; EMAILS TO MEDIATOR CANDIDATES | 2.5 | 1,687.50 |
| | 11/20/2011 | REVIEW EMAILS REGARDING CASE SCHEDULING; EMAILS TO K. CENAR AND T. DICKSTEIN | 0.3 | 202.50 |
| | 11/21/2011 | REVIEW DISCOVERY MATERIALS; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 0.3 | 202.50 |
| | 11/22/2011 | EMAIL TO A. ETCHART; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH L. RUBIN | 1.2 | 810.00 |
| | 11/23/2011 | REVIEW DRAFT LETTER TO C. HOLLEY; EMAIL TO CO-COUNSEL ON DISCOVERY | 0.3 | 202.50 |
| | 11/28/2011 | REVIEW DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT; EMAILS TO EXPERT WITNESSES; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH DAMAGE EXPERTS; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 1.2 | 810.00 |
| | 11/29/2011 | TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN; REVIEW DISCOVERY EMAILS | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 11/30/2011 | CONFERENCE CALL WITH DEFENSE COUNSEL REGARDING EXPERT DEPOSITIONS | 0.5 | 337.50 |
| | 12/1/2011 | REVIEW EXPERT ENGAGEMENT LETTER; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 0.4 | 270.00 |
| | 12/2/2011 | TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH B. MASSARSKY; EMAIL TO T. DICKSTEIN; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 0.6 | 405.00 |
| | 12/4/2011 | REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S EXPERT REPORTS | 1.0 | 675.00 |
| | 12/5/2011 | REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S EXPERT REPORTS; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 0.8 | 540.00 |
| | 12/8/2011 | TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING EXPERT DAMAGE TESTIMONY | 0.1 | 67.50 |
| | 12/9/2011 | CONFERENCE CALL WITH DEFENSE TEAM AND L. FERRARA; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 0.4 | 270.00 |
| | 12/12/2011 | TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 0.3 | 202.50 |
| | 12/13/2011 | EMAIL TO T. DICKSTEIN | 0.1 | 67.50 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 12/18/2011 | REVIEW EXPLICIT DISCOVERY | 0.3 | 202.50 |
| | 12/19/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING EXPERT DISCOVERY | 0.3 | 202.50 |
| | 12/20/2011 | EMAIL TO T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING EXPERTS; REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSING BRIEF | 0.8 | 540.00 |
| | 12/21/2011 | REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITIN TO SUMMARY JUDGMENT | 1.6 | 1,080.00 |
| | 12/22/2011 | REVISE PRINGLE'S DECLARATION; CALL WITH T. DICKSTEIN AND T. NOLAN; CALL WITH B. MASSARSKY AND N. MATSUORI, WITH T. DICKSTEIN AND T. NOLAN; REVIEW EXPERT REPORT | 2.5 | 1,687.50 |
| | 12/23/2011 | REVIEW PRINGLE'S DECLARATION; REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION PAPERS | 2.5 | 1,687.50 |
| | 12/24/2011 | REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S CASE LAW; PREPARATION OF REPLY BRIEF | 6.3 | 4,252.50 |
| | 12/27/2011 | REVIEW SUMMARY JUDGMENT PAPERS; CONFERENCE WITH T. DUNBAR | 4.7 | 3,172.50 |
| | 12/28/2011 | REVIEW GELUSO DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT | 3.9 | 2,632.50 |
| | 12/29/2011 | REVIEW SUMMARY JUDGMENT CASE LAW; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN; EMAIL TO G. STIFFELMAN | 2.2 | 1,485.00 |
| | 12/30/2011 | REVIEW OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION ON FILING CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS; EMAIL TO T. DICKSTEIN | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 1/3/2012 | REVIEW EMAILS; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN; REVIEW GELUSO DRAFT | 1.0 | 675.00 |
| | 1/4/2012 | REVIEW DRAFT STATEMENT OF UNCONTESTED FACTS | 1.5 | 1,012.50 |
| | 1/5/2012 | CONFERENCES WITH T. NOLAN REGARDING EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO PRINGLE DECLARATION; REVISE SUPPORTING DECLARATION | 0.4 | 270.00 |
| | 1/7/2012 | REVIEW AND REVISE REPLY BRIEF; REVIEW GELUSO REPLY DECLARATION | 2.4 | 1,620.00 |
| | 1/9/2012 | REVIEW REPLY BRIEF ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT | 1.1 | 742.50 |
| | 1/11/2012 | REVIEW COBB DEPOSITION OUTLINE | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 1/14/2012 | REVIEW DISCOVERY ISSUES | 0.2 | 135.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 1/17/2012 | REVIEW MEMO ON OPEN MATTERS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE; CONFERENCE CALL WITH DEFENSE TEAM | 1.6 | 1,080.00 |
| | 2/1/2012 | REVIEW SACTIONS MOTION PAPERS | 0.6 | 405.00 |
| | 2/8/2012 | REVIEW OUTLINE FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ARGUMENT | 0.8 | 540.00 |
| | 2/9/2012 | REVIEW SUMMARY JUDGMENT PAPERS; PREPARE FOR ORAL ARGUMENT | 2.1 | 1,417.50 |
| | 2/16/2012 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING SUMMARY JUDGMENT ORAL ARGUMENT | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 2/22/2012 | PREPARE FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ORAL ARGUMENT | 1.8 | 1,215.00 |
| | 2/23/2012 | PREPARE FOR ORAL ARGUMENT | 2.5 | 1,687.50 |
| | 2/24/2012 | REVIEW BRIEFS; PREPARE FOR ORAL ARGUMENT | 3.2 | 2,160.00 |
| | 2/25/2012 | REVIEW FILE IN PREPARATION FOR ORAL ARGUMENT ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT | 1.7 | 1,147.50 |
| | 2/28/2012 | REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S EXPERT REPORTS; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 0.9 | 607.50 |
| | 2/29/2012 | PREPARE FOR ORAL ARGUMENT | 1.5 | 1,012.50 |
| | 3/1/2012 | PREPARE FOR ORAL ARGUMENT | 1.8 | 1,215.00 |
| | 3/2/2012 | PREPARE FOR ORAL ARGUMENT | 3.4 | 2,295.00 |
| | 3/4/2012 | TRAVEL TO L.A. | 11.5 | 7,762.50 |
| | 3/5/2012 | ARGUE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; TRAVEL FROM COURT TO OFFICE; EMAIL TO CLIENT | 6.3 | 4,252.50 |
| | 3/6/2012 | REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S SUPPLEMENTAL FILING; EMAIL WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 0.6 | 405.00 |
| | 3/7/2012 | TRAVEL FROM L.A. TO NEW YORK | 8.8 | 5,940.00 |
| | 3/8/2012 | REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S REPLY DECLARATION; EMAIL TO G. STIFFLEMAN REGARDING BEP EXPENSES | 0.5 | 337.50 |
| | 3/12/2012 | REVIEW MOTION TO CONTINUE PRETRIAL ACTIVITIES AND TRIAL DATE | 0.1 | 67.50 |
| | 3/20/2012 | REVIEW MOTION FOR SANCTIONS | 0.8 | 540.00 |
| | 3/21/2012 | REVIEW SANCTIONS MOTION | 0.8 | 540.00 |
| | 3/22/2012 | REVIEW SANCTIONS MOTION | 1.2 | 810.00 |
| | 3/26/2012 | REVIEW CASE LAW IN SUPPORT OF OUR MOTION | 0.8 | 540.00 |
| | 3/27/2012 | REVIEW CASE LAW; REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN AND T. NOLAN | 3.5 | 2,362.50 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 3/28/2012 | REVIEW CASE LAW; EMAIL TO G. STIFFELMAN | 1.5 | 1,012.50 |
| | 3/29/2012 | REVIEW CASE LAW [REDACTED] | 0.4 | 270.00 |
| | 3/30/2012 | REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION BRIEF AND CASE LAW. | 4.6 | 3,105.00 |
| | 4/2/2012 | REVIEW AND REVISE REPLY BRIEF | 2.0 | 1,350.00 |
| | 4/3/2012 | EMAILS WITH DEFENSE COUNSEL REGARDING PENDING SANCTIONS MOTION | 0.3 | 202.50 |
| | 4/4/2012 | EMAIL TO L. BURROW; EMAIL TO M. LERYCKE | 0.3 | 202.50 |
| | 4/5/2012 | CONFERENCE CALL WITH PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL ON MEET AND CONFER ON PRE-MOTION ACTIVITIES | 0.5 | 337.50 |
| | 4/6/2012 | REVIEW REPLY BRIEF | 1.5 | 1,012.50 |
| | 4/9/2012 | REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S DRAFT OF JOINT STATUS REPORT | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 4/10/2012 | CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN; REVIEW JOINT STATEMENT | 0.3 | 202.50 |
| | 4/11/2012 | REVIEW LIST OF MISSTATEMENTS BY PLAINTIFF'S LAWYERS | 0.1 | 67.50 |
| | 4/15/2012 | TRAVEL TO L.A. FOR COURT HEARING | 10.0 | 6,750.00 |
| | 4/16/2012 | PREPARE FOR ORAL ARGUMENT; ATTEND HEARING | 6.0 | 4,050.00 |
| | 4/17/2012 | EMAIL TO M. BRETTLER; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH L. BURROW | 0.3 | 202.50 |
| | 4/19/2012 | TRAVEL TO NEW YORK FROM L.A. | 9.0 | 6,075.00 |
| | 4/20/2012 | REVIEW PENDING SANCTIONS MOTION; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN AND T. NOLAN REGARDING MOTION | 0.4 | 270.00 |
| | 4/25/2012 | EMAIL TO M. LARYCKE REGARDING [REDACTED] | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 4/30/2012 | EMAIL TO J.C. CARRE AND M. LERYCKE | 0.1 | 67.50 |
| | 5/16/2012 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING MOTION FOR SANCTIONS | 0.2 | 135.00 |
| | 5/7/2012 | REVIEW PROPOSED JUDGMENT | 0.1 | 67.50 |
| | 5/11/2012 | REVIEW EMAIL REGARDING ATTORNEYS' FEES MOTION; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 0.3 | 202.50 |
| | 5/21/2012 | REVIEW AND REVISE MOTION FOR SANCTIONS | 2.5 | 1,687.50 |
| | 5/22/2012 | REVIEW MEMO OF LAW WITH SUPPORT OF ATTORNEYS' FEES; CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 1.3 | 877.50 |
| | 5/23/2012 | REVIEW AND REVISE MEMO OF LAW | 1.1 | 742.50 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|-----------------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------------|
| SLOTNICK Total | | | 439.4 | 296,595.00 |
| DICKSTEIN | 11/23/2010 | MEET WITH B. SLOTNICK RE: CASE STATUS AND ASSIGNMENT. REVIEW PLEADINGS, MOTIONS AND CORRESPONDENCE. DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE DECLARATION OF FREDERIC RIESTERER IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S TRO APPLICATION. CALL WITH RIESTERER RE: SAME. | 6.0 | 3,000.00 |
| | 12/7/2010 | REVIEW VARIOUS DOCKET ENTRIES; ANALYZE PROOFS OF SERVICE FOR CALCULATION OF DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO AMENDED COMPLAINT. | 2.9 | 1,450.00 |
| | 12/8/2010 | REVIEW PROOFS OF SERVICE; RESEARCH TIME TO RESPOND TO AMENDED COMPLAINT; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK AND KAREN THORLAND RE: SAME. (1.9) REVIEW AND ANALYZE AMENDED COMPLAINT AND CO-DEFENDANTS' DRAFT MOTION TO DISMISS. (1.1) MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: MOTION TO DISMISS ON LACK OF ACCESS. (.4) | 3.4 | 1,700.00 |
| | 12/9/2010 | RESEARCH AND ANALYZE CASE LAW ON ██████████ IN COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT ACTIONS. (4.2) BEGIN DRAFTING BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS. (2.1) EMAIL TO B. SLOTNICK RE: CO-DEFENDANTS' PROPOSED MOTION TO DISMISS. (.2) | 6.5 | 3,250.00 |
| | 12/10/2010 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE CASE LAW ON ██████████; CONTINUE DRAFTING MOTION TO DISMISS. (6.7) CALL WITH DAVID HOFFMAN RE: SERVICE ISSUES; EMAIL TO B. SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (.2) | 6.9 | 3,450.00 |
| | 12/11/2010 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE CASE LAW AND PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT. DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND LEGAL ARGUMENT SECTION OF BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS. | 9.5 | 4,750.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 12/12/2010 | EMAILS WITH CO-COUNSEL RE: STRATEGY ON MOTION TO DISMISS. (.3) REVIEW AND REVISE BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS. (.7) DRAFT NOTICE OF MOTION. (1) | 2.0 | 1,000.00 |
| | 12/13/2010 | REVIEW AND REVISE BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS. (1.4) CALL WITH B. SLOTNICK RE: ██████████. (1) EMAIL TO CO-DEFENDANTS RE: SAME. (1) REVIEW AND ANALYZE CO-DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS, STRIKE, AND FOR A MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT. (.4) DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE ATTORNEY DECLARATION ON MOTION TO DISMISS. (.7) DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE PROPOSED ORDER ON MOTION TO DISMISS. (.4) | 3.3 | 1,650.00 |
| | 12/14/2010 | REVIEW FILINGS BY CO-DEFENDANTS ON MOTION TO DISMISS; ORGANIZE FILES. (.3) | 0.3 | 150.00 |
| | 12/16/2010 | REVIEW COURT ORDER ON INITIAL SCHEDULING CONFERENCE; FEDERAL RULES AND LOCAL RULES ON TIMING OF DISCOVERY CONFERENCE AND OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS. (.6) EMAIL TO B. SLOTNICK, K. THORLAND AND R. RAPPAPORT RE: SCHEDULE FOR BRIEFING ON MOTION TO DISMISS, DISCOVERY CONFERENCE AND SUBMISSION OF PROPOSED DISCOVERY SCHEDULE. (.3) | 0.9 | 450.00 |
| | 1/3/2011 | REVIEW MOTION TO DISMISS, EMAILS WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: COURT DEADLINES ON MOTION TO DISMISS AND PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE. (.2) REVIEW AND ANALYZE PRINGLE'S OPPOSITION TO SHAPIRO BERNSTEIN'S MOTION TO DISMISS. (.5) | 0.7 | 385.00 |
| | 1/4/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE BRIEF AND DECLARATIONS IN SUPPORT OF PRINGLE'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND PRINGLE'S OPPOSITION TO CO-DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS. (5.9) MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK AND CALL WITH CO-COUNSEL KARA CENAR RE: SAME. (.6) | 6.5 | 3,575.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 1/5/2011 | RESEARCH AND REVIEW CASE LAW ON [REDACTED] (7.8) REVIEW AND ANALYZE DECLARATIONS IN SUPPORT OF PRINGLE'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION; EMAILS WITH CO-DEFENDANTS RE: SAME. (.8) MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: REPLY ON MOTION TO DISMISS. (.2) | 8.8 | 4,840.00 |
| | 1/6/2011 | MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: CASE STATUS AND STRATEGY. ORDER DEPOSIT COPY OF DERIVATIVE VERSION OF "TAKE A DIVE" EMAILS RE: CONSOLIDATING HEARING OF MOTIONS TO DISMISS AND MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION. EMAIL FROM BARRY SLOTNICK RE: OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION. MEET WITH TOM NOLAN RE: CASE STATUS AND ASSIGNMENTS. REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S EMAIL RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION. CONTINUE DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF ON MOTION TO DISMISS. | 8.6 | 4,730.00 |
| | 1/7/2011 | CONTINUE DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF ON MOTION TO DISMISS. MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK AND CALL WITH EXPERT MUSIC TECHNICIAN PAUL GELUSO. LETTERS TO PAUL GELUSO FORWARDING EXHIBITS TO PLAINTIFF'S DECLARATIONS. MEET WITH TOM NOLAN RE: CALL WITH EXPERT AND OPPOSITION TO PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION MOTION. REVIEW PLUGSOUND PRO MUSIC LIBRARY SOFTWARE. EMAILS WITH PAUL GELUSO AND ALAIN ETCHART (OF UNIVERS SONS) RE: SAME. CALL WITH DON MILLER RE: CASE STATUS AND MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE HEARINGS ON MOTION TO DISMISS AND MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION. | 7.5 | 4,125.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 1/8/2011 | CALL WITH BARRY SLOTNICK AND KARA CENAR RE: PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS BY EXPERT PAUL GELUSO. (.5) FINISH DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF ON MOTION TO DISMISS. (5) CALL WITH EXPERT PAUL GELUSO AND CO-COUNSEL. (.7) | 6.2 | 3,410.00 |
| | 1/9/2011 | CALL WITH EXPERT PAUL GELUSO RE: ANALYSIS OF VARIOUS SONGS. (1.2) DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE EXPERT DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION TO PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION. (6.7) REVIEW AND REVISE BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION. (.7) | 8.6 | 4,730.00 |
| | 1/10/2011 | REVIEW, REVISE AND FINALIZE: (I) REPLY BRIEF ON MOTION TO DISMISS, (II) BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION, (III) APPLICATION TO CONSOLIDATE HEARING ON MOTION TO DISMISS WITH HEARING ON PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION MOTION, AND (IV) DECLARATION OF PAUL GELUSO IN OPPOSITION TO PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION MOTION. MULTIPLE CALLS WITH PAUL GELUSO RE: DECLARATION. CALLS WITH B. SLOTNICK AND COUNSEL FOR BLACK EYED PEAS AND UMG RE: GELUSO DECLARATION AND OPPOSITION TO PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION MOTION. CALL WITH DON MILLER AND MEETING WITH TOM NOLAN TO COORDINATE FILING OF VARIOUS BRIEFS AND APPLICATIONS. | 9.7 | 5,335.00 |
| | 1/11/2011 | REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' EX PARTE APPLICATION TO CONSOLIDATE HEARING DATES ON PENDING MOTIONS. (.2) EMAIL WITH B. SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (.1) DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE REPLY AND SUPPORTING DECLARATION ON APPLICATION TO CONSOLIDATE HEARING DATES. (1.5) | 1.8 | 990.00 |
| | 1/12/2011 | MEET WITH TOM NOLAN RE: CASE STATUS AND TIMING OF PLAINTIFF'S REPLY ON MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION. EMAILS WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. | 0.3 | 165.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 1/13/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE LOCAL RULES REGARDING CROSS EXAMINATION OF DECLARANTS ON PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION MOTION. | 0.3 | 165.00 |
| | 1/14/2011 | MEET WITH TOM NOLAN AND BARRY SLOTNICK RE: HEARING ON PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION MOTION AND PLAINTIFF'S DEADLINE TO FILE REPLY ON PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION MOTION; CALL WITH CO-DEFENDANTS RE SAME. | 1.2 | 660.00 |
| | 1/26/2011 | MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: MOTIONS TO DISMISS AND FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION. REVIEW AUDIO EXHIBITS TO PLAINTIFF'S EXPERT REPORTS. EMAIL RE: SAME TO SLOTNICK. | 1.2 | 660.00 |
| | 1/27/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE ORDER DENYING MOTIONS TO DISMISS; EMAIL RE: SAME TO B. SLOTNICK, T. NOLAN AND D. MILLER. | 0.6 | 330.00 |
| | 1/28/2011 | REVIEW DECLARATION OF UMG IN FURTHER OPPOSITION TO PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION MOTION. | 0.1 | 55.00 |
| | 1/31/2011 | CALL WITH B. SLOTNICK RE: PRELIMINARY CONFERENCE HEARING. | 0.2 | 110.00 |
| | 2/2/2011 | CALL WITH EXPERT PAUL GELUSO RE: PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION HEARING AND INVOICE FOR EXPERT SERVICES; EMAIL RE: SAME TO B. SLOTNICK. (.2) CALL WITH B. SLOTNICK RE: TIME TO ANSWER COMPLAINT; REVIEW COURT RULES RE: SAME. (.3) | 0.5 | 275.00 |
| | 2/7/2011 | DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE ANSWER TO COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT COMPLAINT. | 2.6 | 1,430.00 |
| | 2/8/2011 | REVIEW AND REVISE ANSWER TO COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT COMPLAINT. (3.3) REVIEW RULES ON TIMING AND SCOPE OF INITIAL DISCLOSURES AND DISCOVERY REQUESTS. (.2) REVIEW AND ANALYZE COURT'S OPINION DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION. (1.4) | 4.9 | 2,695.00 |
| | 2/9/2011 | MEET WITH B. SLOTNICK RE: ANSWER TO COMPLAINT. DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE SAME. | 1.3 | 715.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 2/10/2011 | REVIEW, REVISE AND FINALIZE ANSWER TO COMPLAINT. (1.9) REVIEW RULES ON TIMING OF INITIAL DISCOVERY CONFERENCE AND RULES ON INITIAL DISCLOSURES; DRAFT INITIAL DISCLOSURES. (1.5) | 3.4 | 1,870.00 |
| | 2/11/2011 | DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE PROPOSED SCHEDULING ORDER, AND INITIAL DISCLOSURES. | 4.7 | 2,585.00 |
| | 2/13/2011 | DRAFT AND ANALYZE PROPOSED DISCOVERY DATES IN PREPARATION FOR RULE 26(F) DISCOVERY SCHEDULING CALL. EMAIL TO CO-DEFENDANTS RE: SAME. | 1.5 | 825.00 |
| | 2/14/2011 | REVIEW AND REVISE PROPOSED DISCOVERY DATES; EMAIL TO CO-DEFENDANTS RE: SAME. (.4) PARTICIPATE IN INITIAL RULE 26(F) DISCOVERY CALL WITH ALL COUNSEL; EMAIL RE: SAME TO B. SLOTNICK. (1.5) REVIEW, REVISE AND FINALIZE INITIAL DISCLOSURES. (1.3) | 3.2 | 1,760.00 |
| | 2/15/2011 | EMAILS WITH CO-DEFENDANTS RE: JOINT SCHEDULING REPORT. (.3) REVIEW AND ANALYZE CO-DEFENDANT UMG'S ANSWER TO AMENDED COMPLAINT; EMAIL RE: SAME TO B. SLOTNICK. (.8) REVIEW AND ANALYZE PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED JOINT SCHEDULING REPORT. (1.6) | 2.7 | 1,485.00 |
| | 2/16/2011 | REVIEW, ANALYZE AND REVISE PROPOSED JOINT SCHEDULING AND CASE MANAGEMENT REPORT. (7.2) MEET WITH B. SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (.4) CALL WITH CO-DEFENDANTS RE: SAME. (.8) | 8.4 | 4,620.00 |
| | 2/17/2011 | REVIEW, ANALYZE AND REVISE PROPOSED JOINT SCHEDULING REPORT. | 2.7 | 1,485.00 |
| | 2/18/2011 | REVIEW FILED JOINT SCHEDULING REPORT; EMAIL TO CO-DEFENDANTS RE: SAME. | 0.5 | 275.00 |
| | 2/23/2011 | REVIEW AND REVISE INITIAL DISCLOSURES; EMAIL RE: SAME TO B. SLOTNICK. | 0.3 | 165.00 |
| | 2/25/2011 | REVIEW COURT ORDERS ON DISCOVERY AND TRIAL SCHEDULING. | 0.4 | 220.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 2/28/2011 | REVIEW, REVISE AND FINALIZE INITIAL RULE 26(A) DISCLOSURES. | 0.4 | 220.00 |
| | 3/15/2011 | MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK AND TIM CUMMINS RE: PLAINTIFF'S ATTEMPTED SERVICE ON FRED RIESTERER. (.2) REVIEW RULES ON TIMING AND MANNER OF SERVICE OF PROCESS. (1) | 1.2 | 660.00 |
| | 3/16/2011 | RESEARCH TIME LIMITS ON SERVICE OF PROCESS ABROAD. (.2) DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE LETTER TO PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY RE: IMPROPER ATTEMPTED SERVICE ON FRED RIESTERER. (1.4) | 1.6 | 880.00 |
| | 3/17/2011 | DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE LETTER TO PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: ATTEMPTED SERVICE ON RISTER EDITIONS AND SQUARE RIVOLI VIA SHAPIRO BERNSTEIN. | 1.3 | 715.00 |
| | 3/18/2011 | REVIEW LETTERS FROM PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: SERVICE ON RIESTERER, RISTER EDITIONS AND SQUARE RIVOLI. (.2) REVIEW FEDERAL COURT RULES ON SERVICE OF PROCESS AND INITIAL DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (.3) | 0.5 | 275.00 |
| | 3/21/2011 | CALL WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: RECENT IMPROPER SERVICE ATTEMPTS ON RISTER EDITIONS, SQUARE RIVOLI AND FRED RIESTERER. (.2) REVIEW LETTERS FROM PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: SAME. (1) DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE LETTERS IN RESPONSE. (2.7) | 3.9 | 2,145.00 |
| | 3/22/2011 | DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE LETTER TO PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: FRED RIESTERER'S CONTACT INFORMATION. (.3) MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK AND TOM NOLAN RE: MOTION TO DISMISS BASED ON IMPROPER SERVICE, DISCOVERY STRATEGY. (.4) [REDACTED]; EMAIL TO B. SLOTNICK RE: SAME (.4) EMAIL TO B. SLOTNICK RE: PLAINTIFF'S NOTICES OF DEPOSITIONS. (.1) | 1.2 | 660.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 3/23/2011 | REVIEW PROOF OF SERVICE ON RISTER EDITIONS AND SQUARE RIVOLI. EMAIL TO B. SLOTNICK RE: SAME. | 0.2 | 110.00 |
| | 3/24/2011 | EMAILS WITH PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: MEET AND CONFER ON ANTICIPATED TO MOTION TO DISMISS RISTER EDITIONS FOR IMPROPER SERVICE. MEET WITH TOM NOLAN RE: SAME. | 0.4 | 220.00 |
| | 3/25/2011 | RESEARCH [REDACTED]. (.7) PREPARE FOR AND PARTICIPATE IN MEET AND CONFER CALL WITH PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: ANTICIPATED MOTION TO DISMISS BASED ON IMPROPER SERVICE ON RISTER EDITIONS. (1.2) REVIEW AND REVISE DRAFT MOTION TO DISMISS. (1.7) MEET WITH TOM NOLAN RE: SAME. (.5) | 4.1 | 2,255.00 |
| | 3/26/2011 | REVIEW AND REVISE MOTION TO DISMISS FOR IMPROPER SERVICE ON RISTER EDITIONS. | 0.5 | 275.00 |
| | 4/4/2011 | PRELIMINARY REVIEW OF PLAINTIFF'S PAPERS IN OPPOSITION TO RISTER EDITIONS' MOTION TO DISMISS FOR IMPROPER SERVICE; EMAIL TO B. SLOTNICK AND T. NOLAN RE: SAME. | 0.3 | 165.00 |
| | 4/5/2011 | MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK AND TOM NOLAN RE: PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS RISTER EDITIONS FOR IMPROPER SERVICE, AND SERVICE ON FRED RIESTERER; EMAILS WITH MICHAEL BRETTLER RE: SAME. | 1.1 | 605.00 |
| | 4/6/2011 | CALL WITH MICHAEL BRETTLER RE: SERVICE ON RISTER EDITIONS. (.7) REVIEW AND REVISE DRAFT DECLARATION. (.8) | 1.5 | 825.00 |
| | 4/8/2011 | REVIEW AND REVISE DRAFT CLIENT DECLARATION ON IMPROPER SERVICE ON RISTER EDITIONS. | 0.2 | 110.00 |
| | 4/10/2011 | REVIEW, ANALYZE AND REVISE REPLY BRIEF ON MOTION TO DISMISS RISTER EDITIONS FOR IMPROPER SERVICE. | 2.5 | 1,375.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 4/12/2011 | ANALYZE, REVIEW AND REVISE ANSWER ON BEHALF OF FRED RIESTERER TO AMENDED COMPLAINT. (.8) ANALYZE PLAINTIFF'S DISCOVERY REQUESTS, AND BEGIN DRAFTING EMAIL TO CLIENT RE: [REDACTED]. (1.5) | 2.3 | 1,265.00 |
| | 4/13/2011 | CALL WITH PAUL GELUSO RE: [REDACTED]. [REDACTED]. (.4) EMAIL TO B. SLOTNICK RE: SAME AND DRAFT EMAIL TO CLIENT RE: DOCUMENT DISCOVERY. (.4) REVIEW, ANALYZE AND SUMMARIZE PLAINTIFF'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES. (1.2) | 2.0 | 1,100.00 |
| | 4/14/2011 | REVIEW AND REVISE EMAIL TO CLIENTS RE: DOCUMENT DISCOVERY. (.4) EMAILS WITH COUNSEL RE: SERVICE OF DISCOVERY REQUESTS AND RESPONSES. (.2) | 0.6 | 330.00 |
| | 4/15/2011 | CALL WITH ERIC SCHWARTZ RE: APPLICATION FOR ATTORNEYS FEES | 0.3 | 165.00 |
| | 4/20/2011 | REVIEW, ANALYZE AND REVISE DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF ATTORNEYS FEES APPLICATION AND SUPPORTING BILLING ENTRIES. (1.4) CALL WITH ERIC SCHWARTZ RE: SAME. (.2) | 1.6 | 880.00 |
| | 4/21/2011 | REVIEW AND REVISE DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR ATTORNEYS FEES. (.4) MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK AND CALL WITH ERIC SCHWARTZ RE: SAME. (.2) | 0.6 | 330.00 |
| | 4/27/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE OPPOSITIONS TO FEE APPLICATION; EMAIL TO B. SLOTNICK RE: SAME. | 1.3 | 715.00 |
| | 5/1/2011 | REVIEW AND REVISE DECLARATION IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF ATTORNEYS FEES APPLICATION. | 3.3 | 1,815.00 |
| | 5/2/2011 | REVIEW AND REVISE REPLY DECLARATION ON ATTORNEYS FEES APPLICATION. | 0.7 | 385.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 5/5/2011 | MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: DISCOVERY REQUESTS AND STRATEGY. (1) CALL WITH MICHAEL BRETTLER RE: DISCOVERY REQUESTS TO SHAPIRO BERNSTEIN; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME (.5) | 1.5 | 825.00 |
| | 5/6/2011 | CALL WITH PAUL GELUSO RE: EVIDENCE NEEDED FOR SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT. | 0.5 | 275.00 |
| | 5/9/2011 | REVIEW ROYALTY AND LICENSING DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM SHAPIRO BERNSTEIN. | 0.5 | 275.00 |
| | 5/10/2011 | PRELIMINARY REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION. | 0.7 | 385.00 |
| | 5/11/2011 | MEET WITH B. SLOTNICK RE: CASE STATUS AND STRATEGY ON DISCOVERY AND DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS. (4) MEET WITH TOM NOLAN RE: SAME. (.3) CALL WITH B. SLOTNICK AND COUNSEL FOR BLACK EYED PEAS RE: SAME AND PLAINTIFF'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES. (.3) REVIEW AND REVISE RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S DISCOVERY REQUESTS TO SHAPIRO BERNSTEIN AND DAVID GUETTA. (2.5) | 3.5 | 1,925.00 |
| | 5/12/2011 | MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: DRAFT RESPONSES TO DISCOVERY REQUESTS; REVIEW AND REVISE SAME. (1.4) | 1.4 | 770.00 |
| | 5/16/2011 | REVIEW AND FINALIZE DISCOVERY RESPONSES. | 0.5 | 275.00 |
| | 5/17/2011 | CALL WITH B. SLOTNICK RE: MEETING WITH DAVID GUETTA AND CASE STRATEGY. | 0.3 | 165.00 |
| | 5/19/2011 | REVIEW ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF ORDER GRANTING ATTORNEYS FEES; EMAIL RE: SAME TO B. SLOTNICK AND T. NOLAN. | 0.2 | 110.00 |
| | 5/23/2011 | MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK AND TOM NOLAN RE: CASE STRATEGY AND DISCOVERY. (.3) REVIEW PRIOR CORRESPONDENCE WITH EXPERT PAUL GELUSO. (.3) MEET WITH CLIENTS RE: ELECTRONIC DISCOVERY. (.2) | 0.8 | 440.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 5/24/2011 | REVIEW COURT ORDER ON APPLICATION FOR ATTORNEYS FEES; EMAIL TO B. SLOTNICK AND T. NOLAN RE: SAME. (.3) ORGANIZE ELECTRONIC FILES FOR TRANSMISSION TO EXPERTS AND PREPARATION FOR PRODUCTION. (.2) | 0.5 | 275.00 |
| | 5/25/2011 | CALL WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: PLAINTIFF'S ALLEGED CREATION OF SONG. (.1) REVIEW DISCOVERY RESPONSES RE: SAME. (1) CALL WITH PAUL GELUSO RE: SAME. (.2) | 1.3 | 715.00 |
| | 5/26/2011 | PRELIMINARY CALL WITH PAUL GELUSO RE: ANALYSIS OF I GOTTA FEELING CREATION FILE AND PLAINTIFF'S INTERROGATORY RESPONSES. (.2) DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFF'S DOCUMENT REQUESTS TO FRED RIESTERER, AND INITIAL DISCLOSURES (2.3) | 2.5 | 1,375.00 |
| | 5/27/2011 | MEET WITH PAUL GELUSO AND BARY SLOTNICK RE: ANALYSIS OF PLAINTIFF'S CLAIMS. (1.7) EMAIL TO CLIENTS RE: DISCOVERY RESPONSES AND DOCUMENT COLLECTION FROM FRED RIESTERER. (1.9) | 3.6 | 1,980.00 |
| | 5/31/2011 | REVIEW AND FINALIZE RESPONSES TO DISCOVERY REQUESTS AND INITIAL DISCLOSURES BY FRED RIESTERER. | 0.6 | 330.00 |
| | 6/1/2011 | EMAILS WITH CLIENT RE: COLLECTION OF DOCUMENTS AND ELECTRONIC MUSIC FILES. | 0.3 | 165.00 |
| | 6/2/2011 | PREPARE FOR AND PARTICIPATE IN MEET AND CONFER CALL WITH PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: RESPONSES TO BEP'S DISCOVERY REQUESTS. | 1.1 | 605.00 |
| | 6/3/2011 | RESEARCH THIRD PARTY ATTENDANCE AT DEPOSITIONS; EMAIL RE: SAME TO B. SLOTNICK (1.3) CONFERENCE CALL WITH CO-DEFENDANTS RE: CASE STRATEGY, PLAINTIFF'S DOCUMENT PRODUCTIONS AND PROPOSED SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. (1.5) REVIEW AND REVISE PROPOSED PROTECTIVE ORDER COVERING CONFIDENTIALITY DESIGNATION. (1.5) | 4.3 | 2,365.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 6/6/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE RECORDING AND PRODUCTION AGREEMENT WITH BEPS. (2.2) CALL WITH SOUND RECORDING EXPERT PAUL GELUSO RE: REVIEW OF SONG CREATION FILES FROM FRED RIESTERER; EMAIL TO B. SLOTNICK AND CO-DEFENDANTS RE: SAME. (1.5) EMAILS WITH CLIENT RE: COLLECTION OF SONG CREATION FILES. (.3) | 4.0 | 2,200.00 |
| | 6/7/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE RECORDING AND PRODUCTION AGREEMENT WITH BEPS FOR [REDACTED]. (1.2) CALL WITH BEP'S COUNSEL RE: SAME. (.3) REVIEW AND ANALYZE ELECTRONIC SONG FILES FROM FRED RIESTERER; CALL WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME AND OTHER DISCOVERY ISSUES. (2.4) | 3.9 | 2,145.00 |
| | 6/8/2011 | CALL WITH LITIGATION SUPPORT RE: FORENSIC COLLECTION OF COMPUTER FILES. (.3) REVIEW AND ANALYZE ELECTRONIC MUSIC FILES; DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE EMAIL TO CLIENT RE: SAME AND COLLECTION OF ELECTRONIC FILES. (3.5) | 3.8 | 2,090.00 |
| | 6/9/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE NUMEROUS MUSIC LICENSES AND ROYALTY STATEMENTS IN PREPARATION FOR PRODUCTION IN DISCOVERY. (6.5) LETTER TO SOUND RECORDING EXPERT, PAUL GELUSO. (.2) REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED CONFIDENTIALITY STIPULATION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER; EMAILS RE: SAME (1.4) | 8.1 | 4,455.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 6/10/2011 | EMAILS WITH FRED RIESTERER RE: [REDACTED] [REDACTED] (1.9) CONFERENCE CALL WITH CO-DEFENDANTS RE: PROPOSED CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER. (.5) CONFERENCE CALL WITH PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL AND CO-DEFENDANTS RE: PROPOSED CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER. (1.5) REVIEW, ANALYZE AND REVISE PROPOSED CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER; EMAILS WITH COUNSEL RE: SAME. (3.1) | 7.0 | 3,850.00 |
| | 6/13/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE VARIOUS COMPUTER SOUND FILES RECEIVED FROM CLIENT; DRAFT SUMMARY OF SAME IN PREPARATION FOR PRODUCTION IN DISCOVERY AND TRANSMISSION TO SOUND RECORDING EXPERT. (3) REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S LETTER RE: PAYMENT OF ATTORNEYS FEES AWARD PRIOR TO APPEAL; RESEARCH PROCEDURE FOR APPEAL OF ATTORNEYS FEE AWARD; DRAFT LETTER RESPONDING TO PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL. (2.1) | 5.1 | 2,805.00 |
| | 6/14/2011 | EMAILS WITH PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: PROPOSED PROTECTIVE ORDER. (.5) DRAFT SUMMARY OF ELECTRONIC MUSIC FILES, ANALYZE SAME FOR PRODUCTION AND TRANSMISSION TO SOUND RECORDING EXPERT. (2.7) EMAIL TO B. SLOTNICK RE: ATTENDANCE AT FRED RIESTERER'S DEPOSITION BY SOUND RECORDING EXPERT. (.3) | 3.5 | 1,925.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 6/15/2011 | REVIEW REDACTIONS TO CLIENT DOCUMENTS FOR PRODUCTION IN DISCOVERY. (.2) EMAILS WITH SOUND RECORDING EXPERT RE: REVIEW OF MUSIC FILES AND ATTENDANCE AT FRED RIESTERER DEPOSITION PREPARATION. (.3) EMAILS WITH BEP'S ATTORNEY RE: REVIEW OF PRINGLE'S ELECTRONIC FILES, MEETING PRIOR TO RIESTERER DEPOSITION AND PRODUCTION OF BEP CONTRACT. (1.2) CALL WITH BEP'S ATTORNEY JUSTIN RIGHETTINI RE: REVIEW OF PRINGLE'S ELECTRONIC FILES. (.3) EMAILS WITH FRED RIESTERER RE: MUSIC FILES. (1.6) | 5.6 | 3,080.00 |
| | 6/16/2011 | EMAILS WITH FRED RIESTERER RE: COLLECTION AND TRANSMISSION OF MUSIC FILES RELATED TO CREATION OF "I GOTTA FEELING." (1.3) REVISE SUMMARY OF MUSIC FILES. (.7) REVIEW AND SIGN CONFIDENTIALITY STIPULATION; EMAIL TO CLIENT RE: SAME AND DOCUMENT PRODUCTION. (.7) REVIEW AND ANALYZE PLAINTIFF'S MOTION PAPERS AND COPYRIGHT REGISTRATIONS FOR USE ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. (1.5) | 4.2 | 2,310.00 |
| | 6/17/2011 | EMAILS WITH FRED RIESTERER RE: [REDACTED]; REVIEW AND ORGANIZE SAME. (2.5) MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: UPCOMING DEPOSITIONS. (.3) LETTER TO PAUL GELUSO RE: MUSIC FILES. (.2) EMAILS WITH BEP'S ATTORNEY RE: PRODUCTION OF MUSIC FILES AND CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS. (.3) EMAIL TO CLIENTS RE: SAME. (.6) CALL WITH EXPERT MUSICOLOGIST LARRY FERRARA. (.6) CALL WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (.4) MEET WITH LITIGATION SUPPORT SPECIALIST, JOSH GORRUSO, RE: PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND MUSIC FILES. (1.3) | 6.2 | 3,410.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 6/20/2011 | CALL WITH EXPERT MUSICOLOGIST LARRY FERRARA. (.5) EMAILS FROM CO-DEFENDANTS RE: VARIOUS DEPOSITION DATES. (.3) EMAILS WITH CLIENT RE: DEPOSITION DATES FOR DAVID GUETTA. (.1) EMAIL RE: PROPOSED CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER. (.1) CALLS WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: CASE STATUS AND DEPOSITION PREPARATION WITH FRED RIESTERER. (.5) LETTER FROM PRINGLE'S COUNSEL RE: MEET AND CONFER ON DISCOVERY RESPONSES; DRAFT RESPONSE EMAIL RE: SAME. (1.5) | 3.0 | 1,650.00 |
| | 6/21/2011 | PREPARE FOR MEETING WITH FRED RIESTERER RE: PREPARATION FOR DEPOSITION; DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE OUTLINE FOR DEPOSITION PREPARATION. (4.5) REVIEW AND ANALYZE MUSIC FILES FROM FRED RIESTERER IN PREPARATION FOR MEETING WITH SOUND RECORDING EXPERT PAUL GELUSO. (.7) EMAILS WITH CLIENTS RE: DATES FOR DEPOSITION OF DAVID GUETTA. (.3) EXTENDED CALL WITH PRINGLE'S COUNSEL RE: OBJECTIONS TO DISCOVERY RESPONSES; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (3.5) LETTER FROM PRINGLE'S COUNSEL, DEAN DICKIE, RE: PAYMENT OF ATTORNEYS FEES AWARD, DRAFT LETTER TO DICKIE RE: SAME. (1.5) | 10.5 | 5,775.00 |
| | 6/22/2011 | MEETING WITH FRED RIESTERER AND MANAGERS TO PREPARE FOR DEPOSITION. (3.5) MEETING WITH PAUL GELUSO TO REVIEW MUSIC FILES. (3) EMAILS RE DEPOSITION DATES. (.2) | 6.8 | 3,740.00 |
| | 6/23/2011 | PREPARE FOR AND DEFEND DEPOSITION OF FRED RIESTERER. (9) REVIEW AND ANALYZE COURT ORDER DENYING APPLICATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER; EMAIL TO B. SLOTNICK RE: SAME (.3) | 9.3 | 5,115.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 6/24/2011 | CALL WITH BEP'S ATTORNEY KARA CENAR AND BARRY SLOTNICK RE: RIESTERER DEPOSITION AND CASE STRATEGY. (.24) EMAILS AND CALL WITH JEAN-CHARLES RE: DAVID GUETTA DEPOSITION. (.5) REVIEW COURT ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER; REVIEW AND ANALYZE PROTECTIVE ORDERS ENTERED BY MAGISTRATE JUDGE ZAREFSKY. (2.7) CALL TO SACEM REPRESENTATIVE. (.5) EMAIL TO MICHAEL BRETTLER RE: FRED RIESTERER'S DEPOSITION. (.3) EMAIL TO CLIENT RE: DOCUMENT COLLECTIONS. (.6) | 7.2 | 3,960.00 |
| | 6/27/2011 | CALL WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: CASE STATUS. (.3) EMAILS WITH PRINGLE'S COUNSEL RE: DISCOVERY DISPUTES. (.2) CONFERENCE CALL WITH PRINGLE'S COUNSEL AND COUNSEL FOR BEPS RE DISCOVERY DISPUTES. (3.2) REVIEW AND REVISE LETTER CONFIRMING PRINGLE'S COUNSEL'S REPRESENTATIONS DURING DISCOVERY CALL. (3.5) | 7.2 | 3,960.00 |
| | 6/28/2011 | MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: CASE STATUS AND DOCUMENT COLLECTION; EMAIL TO CLIENT RE: SAME. (1.2) MEET WITH TOM NOLAN RE: DECLARATION FOR BMI REPRESENTATIVE. | 1.6 | 880.00 |
| | 6/29/2011 | DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF PROTECTIVE ORDER. (1.2) REVIEW BACKGROUND SEARCH ON PRINGLE. (.2) EMAILS RE: PROPOSED SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE. (.2) BEGIN REVIEWING DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT OF FRED RIESTERER. (.8) EMAILS WITH PAUL GELUSO RE EXPERT ANALYSIS. (.2) EMAILS WITH FRED RIESTERER RE: SAME. (.2) EMAILS WITH MICHAEL BRETTLER RE: P'S SUBPOENA TO HFA. (.2) | 3.0 | 1,650.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 6/30/2011 | PARTICIPATE IN DISCOVERY MEET AND CONFER WITH PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL AND BEP'S COUNSEL. (1.2) REVIEW LETTER FROM BEP'S COUNSEL RE: SAME. (.7) EMAILS WITH PAUL GELUSO RE: EXPERT ANALYSIS OF PRINGLE'S SOUND RECORDING. (.4) EMAILS WITH FRED RIESTERER RE: SAME. (.3) EMAIL TO DON MILLER RE: DEPOSITION DATES IN CALIFORNIA. (.2) EMAILS WITH BEP'S COUNSEL AND BARRY SLOTNICK RE: WORK PRODUCT MATERIALS. (.3) REVIEW AND REVISE DECLARATION OF SACEM REPRESENTATIVE. (.7) CALL TO LARRY FERRARA. (.2) | 4.0 | 2,200.00 |
| | 7/1/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE VARIOUS SUBPOENAS SERVED BY PRINGLE; EMAIL TO B. SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (.7) EMAILS WITH COUNSEL RE: PRODUCTION OF VARIOUS MUSIC FILES AND DEPOSITION SCHEDULING. (1.2) REVIEW AND REVISE DECLARATION BY SACEM REPRESENTATIVE. (.8) REVIEW AND REVISE DECLARATION BY BMI REPRESENTATIVE. (1.3) CORRESPONDENCE RE: DATES AND LOCATION OF DEPOSITION OF PRINGLE. (.6) REVIEW AND REVISE PROPOSED PROTECTIVE ORDER. (.6) | 5.3 | 2,915.00 |
| | 7/2/2011 | CONTINUE REVIEW AND ANALYSIS OF DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT OF FRED RIESTERER. | 1.5 | 825.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 7/5/2011 | MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: DEPOSITION SCHEDULING ISSUES. (.3) EMAILS WITH CLIENT RE: DOCUMENT COLLECTION. (.2) CALLS WITH PAUL GELUSO RE: EXPERT SOUND RECORDING ANALYSIS OF SONGS AT ISSUE. (.9) CALLS AND EMAILS WITH BEP'S ATTORNEY KARA CENAR RE: DISCOVERY ISSUES AND "BEATPORT STEMS." (1.5) BEGIN OUTLINING AND DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT. (5.5) EMAIL TO HFA COUNSEL CHRISTOS BADAVAS RE: RESPONSE TO SUBPOENA. (.6) EMAIL TO DON MILLER RE: POTENTIAL MOTION TO REQUIRE PRINGLE TO POST A BOND. (.2) REVIEW BEP'S EX PARTE MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER RE: DEPOSITION DATES; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK AND TOM NOLAN RE: SAME. (.5) | 9.7 | 5,335.00 |
| | 7/6/2011 | CALL WITH BEP ATTORNEY KARA CENAR RE: DISCOVERY ISSUES. (.5) EMAILS WITH PAUL GELUSO RE: EXPERT ANALYSIS OF SOUND RECORDINGS; EMAILS WITH FRED RIESTERER RE: SAME. (.3) REVISIONS TO PROPOSED PROTECTIVE ORDER. (.4) MEET WITH TOM NOLAN RE: CASE STATUS AND FERRARA EXPERT REPORT. (.2) EMAILS RE: DEPOSITION DATES. (.3) REVIEW AND ANALYZE PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO BEP'S EX PARTE MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PREVENTING DEPOSITION DATES; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK AND TOM NOLAN RE: SAME (1.8) | 3.5 | 1,925.00 |
| | 7/7/2011 | EMAILS WITH PAUL GELUSO RE: EXPERT SOUND RECORDING ANALYSIS AND MUSIC SOFTWARE USED TO CREATE "I GOTTA FEELING." (.3) NUMEROUS EMAILS BETWEEN BLACK EYED PEAS' ATTORNEY AND PRINGLE'S ATTORNEY RE: DISCOVERY ISSUES. (3.) EMAILS RE: PROTECTIVE ORDER; REVIEW AND REVISE SAME. (1.7) EMAILS RE: DEPOSITION DATE FOR DAVID GUETTA. (.5) REVIEW ORDER DENYING BLACK EYED PEAS' MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER RE: DEPOSITION DATES; EMAIL RE: SAME. (.2) | 3.0 | 1,650.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 7/8/2011 | PARTICIPATE IN DISCOVERY CALL WITH COUNSEL RE: PLAINTIFF'S DEPOSITION SCHEDULING, DOCUMENT PRODUCTION AND LACK OF SUPPORT FOR CLAIMS. (1.6) REVIEW LETTER FROM PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: SAME. (1.4) EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK SUMMARIZING SAME. (.2) EMAIL TO CLIENT RE: STATUS OF PLAINTIFF'S DEPOSITION. (.1) MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: DEPOSITIONS OF BLACK EYED PEAS BAND MEMBERS AND DECLARATION ON RENEWED MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER. (.2) | 3.5 | 1,925.00 |
| | 7/11/2011 | MEET WITH TOM NOLAN RE: DR. FERRARA'S EXPERT MUSICOLOGIST REPORT. (.4) CALL WITH SOUND RECORDING EXPERT PAUL GELUSO RE: PLUGSOUND SOFTWARE AND STATUS OF EXPERT ANALYSIS. (.3) EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK RE: DOCUMENT DISCOVERY. (.2) EMAILS WITH DON MILLER RE: PROPOSED MOTION TO REQUIRE PRINGLE TO POST A BOND. (.2) | 1.1 | 605.00 |
| | 7/12/2011 | REVIEW DISCOVERY RESPONSES; MEETING WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: DOCUMENT DISCOVERY. (.4) EMAILS WITH CLIENT RE: REVIEW OF CONFIDENTIAL DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT BY BEP'S TRANSACTIONAL ATTORNEY. (.1) EMAILS WITH DON MILLER RE: APPEARANCE AT DEPOSITIONS AND SCHEDULING. (.3) DRAFT EMAIL TO CLIENT RE: DOCUMENT DISCOVERY. (.7) REVIEW BRYAN CAVE MEMO ON REQUIREMENT FOR OUT OF STATE PLAINTIFF TO POST BOND. (.3) | 1.8 | 990.00 |
| | 7/13/2011 | CALL WITH SOUND RECORDING EXPERT PAUL GELUSO RE: PURCHASE OF ASR-10 SYNTHESIZER AND EXPERT ANALYSIS. (.3) CALL WITH JOSH GORRUSO RE: EXTRACTING MUSIC FILES FROM PRINGLE'S NRG DISC FOR REVIEW BY GELUSO. (.2) LETTER TO PAUL GELUSO RE: SAME. (.2) CALL WITH COMPUTER FORENSIC EXPERT ERIC LAYKIN AND KARA CENAR. (.9) | 1.6 | 880.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 7/14/2011 | CALLS AND EMAILS BEP'S ATTORNEY, KARA CENAR RE: DOCUMENT PRODUCTIONS AND EXPERT SOUND RECORDING ANALYSIS. (.3) CALL WITH COMPUTER FORENSIC EXPERT. (.5) REVIEW BEP/GUETTA CONTRACT; MEET WITH TOM NOLAN RE: SAME. (.7) | 1.5 | 825.00 |
| | 7/15/2011 | REVIEW DOCUMENTS PRODUCED BY TAXI MUSIC IN RESPONSE TO PRINGLE'S SUBPOENA. (.2) INITIAL REVIEW OF [REDACTED]. (.3) CALL WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (.2) | 0.7 | 385.00 |
| | 7/18/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE PLAINTIFF'S SUPPLEMENTAL INTERROGATORY RESPONSES AND DOCUMENT PRODUCTION, AND [REDACTED]; CALL WITH KARA CENAR RE: SAME. (3.9) EMAILS WITH CLIENT RE: DOCUMENT COLLECTION. (.4) REVIEW AND ANALYZE EMAILS OF DAVID GUETTA. (.8) REVIEW DOCKET SHEET IN OTHER LITIGATION INVOLVING BRYAN PRINGLE. (.2) EMAIL TO MICHAEL BRETTLER RE: SUBPOENA TO ASCAP. (.3) EMAIL TO MICHAEL BRETTLER RE: DEPOSITION DATES. (.2) MEET WITH TOM NOLAN RE: CASE STATUS AND EXPERT REPORT OF DR. FERRARA. (.3) CALL WITH KARA CENAR AND RACHEL ROSOFF RE: EXPERT ANALYSIS OF VARIOUS MUSIC FILES. (1.6) REVIEW AND ANALYZE RESEARCH ON SECURITY FOR COSTS; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (1.8.) CALL WITH PHILIP WOLF RE: RESEARCH ON COPYRIGHT ISSUES. (.3) | 9.8 | 5,390.00 |
| | 7/19/2011 | CALL WITH PAUL GELUSO RE: EXPERT SOUND RECORDING ANALYSIS OF VARIOUS SONG FILES; EMAILS WITH BARRY SLOTNICK AND WITH KARA CENAR RE: SAME. (1.6) MEET WITH TOM NOLAN RE: EXPERT ANALYSIS OF LARRY FERRARA. (.3) | 1.9 | 1,045.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 7/20/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE MUSIC FILES PRODUCED BY BRYAN PRINGLE. (.6) REVIEW DOCKET SHEET FROM INSURANCE LITIGATION INVOLVING BRYAN PRINGLE. (.5) MEET WITH PHILIP WOLF RE: LEGAL RESEARCH ASSIGNMENT ON PROPOSED RULE 11 MOTION. (.2) MEET WITH COMPUTER FORENSIC EXPERT, ERIK LAYKIN, AND TOM NOLAN; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (1) CALL WITH RACHEL ROSOFF AND KARA CENAR RE: PROPOSED PRODUCTION OF MUSIC FILES AND OTHER DISCOVERY ISSUES. (.5) | 2.8 | 1,540.00 |
| | 7/21/2011 | EMAILS WITH KATHERINE DUNN RE: MONITORING BEP DEPOSITION REMOTELY VIA LIVENOTE. (.1) EMAIL TO FRED RIESTERER AND CHRISTOPHE PIOT RE: PROPOSED PRODUCTION OF MUSIC FILE BY BLACK EYED PEAS. (.1) MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK AND TOM NOLAN RE: CASE STRATEGY AND EXPERT COMPUTER FORENSIC ANALYSIS. (.8) | 1.0 | 550.00 |
| | 7/22/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENT PRODUCTION BY BEP, EMI AND CHERRY RIVER PRODUCTIONS. (2.4) EMAIL TO CLIENT RE PRODUCTION OF DEMO SOUND RECORDING SENT TO BEPS. (.1) REVIEW GUETTA EMAILS FOR PRODUCTION; EMAIL RE SAME. (.5) | 3.0 | 1,650.00 |
| | 7/24/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE DRAFT EXPERT REPORT OF PAUL GELUSO. (.6) REVIEW, ANALYZE AND SUMMARIZE DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT OF JAIME GOMEZ. (2.5) | 3.1 | 1,705.00 |
| | 7/25/2011 | ATTEND DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM ADAMS (7) CONFER WITH COUNSEL FOR BEPS RE DISCOVERY ISSUES AND EXPERT ANALYSIS. (1) CALL WITH SOUND RECORDING EXPERT, PAUL GELUSO. (1) EMAIL TO SLOTNICK RE: DEPOSITION. (.3) | 9.3 | 5,115.00 |
| | 7/26/2011 | ATTEND DEPOSITION OF ALLAN PINEDA. (7) EMAILS WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: NOTICE OF DEPOSITION TO DAVID GUETTA. (.2) | 7.0 | 3,850.00 |
| | 7/27/2011 | ATTEND DEPOSITION OF STACY FERGUSON; DRAFT EMAIL RE: FORENSIC COMPUTER EXAMINATION. | 6.0 | 3,300.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 7/28/2011 | MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: DEPOSITIONS OF BLACK EYED PEAS BAND MEMBERS, DISCOVERY ISSUES AND CASE STRATEGY. (.5) EMAIL TO CLIENT RE: DEPOSITIONS OF BLACK EYED PEAS BAND MEMBERS AND ERRATA SHEET FOR FRED RIESTERER DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT. (.4) | 0.9 | 495.00 |
| | 8/1/2011 | EMAILS WITH SHIREEN KUMAR RE: INVESTIGATION OF LITIGATION INVOLVING PRINGLE'S PRIOR INSURANCE CLAIMS. (.1) REVIEW BEP'S DRAFT MOTION FOR A BOND IN BATTIS CASE. (.3) EMAIL TO PRINGLE'S COUNSEL RE: INSPECTION OF COMPUTER EQUIPMENT. (.2) EMAIL TO ERIK LAYKIN RE: SAME. (.1) | 0.7 | 385.00 |
| | 8/2/2011 | EMAIL FROM SHIREEN KUMAR RE: LITIGATION INVOLVING PRINGLE'S PRIOR INSURANCE CLAIMS; REVIEW COURT FILINGS RE: SAME. (.3) | 0.3 | 165.00 |
| | 8/5/2011 | EMAILS WITH PRINGLE'S COUNSEL RE: FORENSIC INSPECTION OF COMPUTER EQUIPMENT. | 0.4 | 220.00 |
| | 8/7/2011 | EMAILS TO/FROM PRINGLE'S COUNSEL RE: STATUS OF PRINGLE'S COMPUTER EQUIPMENT AND FORENSIC INSPECTION; EMAILS WITH KARA CENAR AND BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (2.5) EMAIL TO PRINGLE'S COUNSEL RE: LOCATION OF DEPOSITION OF DAVID GUETTA. (.4) EMAIL TO PRINGLE'S COUNSEL RE: INSTRUCTIONS FOR PLAYING TAKE A DIVE DERIVATIVE SONG USING NRG DISC AND ASR10 KEYBOARD. (.2) | 3.1 | 1,705.00 |
| | 8/8/2011 | MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: CASE STATUS AND INSPECTION OF PRINGLE'S COMPUTER EQUIPMENT. (.2) REVIEW EMAIL FROM PRINGLE'S COUNSEL RE: COMPUTER EQUIPMENT; DRAFT RESPONSIVE EMAIL RE: SAME AND DEMAND FOR INSPECTION. (2.6) | 2.8 | 1,540.00 |
| | 8/9/2011 | PRELIMINARY REVIEW OF FILES ON CD PRODUCED BY PRINGLE FOR INSPECTION; EMAIL WITH ERIK LAYKIN RE: SAME; MEET WITH TOM NOLAN RE: SAME AND CASE STATUS. (.5) | 0.5 | 275.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 8/10/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE COPIES OF CDS AND BACKUP DVD PRODUCED BY PRINGLE FOR FORENSIC INSPECTION. (1) CALL WITH PAUL GELUSO RE: EXPERT SOUND RECORDING ANALYSIS. (.7) EMAIL FROM PRINGLE'S COUNSEL RE: STATUS AND PRODUCTION OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION; REVIEW CORRESPONDENCE AND DRAFT EMAIL RESPONDING TO SAME. (.9) | 2.6 | 1,430.00 |
| | 8/11/2011 | BEGIN REVIEWING CASE FILE AND DRAFTING OUTLINE OF DEPOSITION OF BRYAN PRINGLE. | 4.6 | 2,530.00 |
| | 8/12/2011 | CONTINUE REVIEWING AND ANALYZING CASE FILE; CONTINUE DRAFTING OUTLINE FOR DEPOSITION OF PLAINTIFF. (4.2) EMAILS WITH BEP LAWYER KARA CENAR RE: DISCOVERY OF PLAINTIFF'S ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION. (.3) REVIEW RESEARCH BY SUMMER ASSOCIATE PHILIP WOLF ON RULE 11 MOTION. (.5) CALL WITH ERIK LAYKIN RE: FORENSIC ANALYSIS OF COMPUTER FILES PRODUCED BY PLAINTIFF. (.8) EMAILS TO BARRY SLOTNICK AND TO KARA CENAR RE: SAME. (.5) | 6.3 | 3,465.00 |
| | 8/15/2011 | EMAILS WITH PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: LOCATION AND LOGISTICS FOR DEPOSITION OF DAVID GUETTA. (.9) REVIEW EMAILS PRODUCED BY BLACK EYED PEAS RE: BEATPORT COMPETITION; EMAIL TO CLIENT RE: SAME. (.7) EMAIL TO BLACK EYED PEAS' LAWYER, KARA CENAR, RE: DEPOSITION OF PLAINTIFF AND ANTICIPATED SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. (.3) CONTINUE REVIEWING CASE FILE, INCLUDING CORRESPONDENCE AND COURT FILINGS, AND CONTINUE DRAFTING OUTLINE FOR PLAINTIFF'S DEPOSITION. (5.5) CALL WITH KARA CENAR RE: EXPERT FORENSIC ANALYSIS OF PLAINTIFF'S COMPUTER FILES. (.4) | 7.8 | 4,290.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 8/16/2011 | CONTINUE REVIEWING CASE FILE AND DRAFTING OUTLINE FOR DEPOSITION OF PLAINTIFF. (7.5) REVIEW LENGTHY EMAIL FROM PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: STATUS OF COMPUTER FILES. (.5) REVIEW PRIOR CORRESPONDENCE AND DRAFT EMAIL RESPONDING TO SAME. (2.5) | 10.5 | 5,775.00 |
| | 8/17/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE EXPERT MUSICOLOGY REPORT OF DR. LAWRENCE FERRARA; MEET WITH TOM NOLAN RE: SAME. (2.9) REVIEW AND ANALYZE TRANSCRIPT OF PLAINTIFF'S DEPOSITION AND OTHER COURT FILINGS IN INSURANCE CLAIM LITIGATION. (4.5) CONTINUE DRAFTING OUTLINE FOR DEPOSITION OF PLAINTIFF. (2.4) | 9.8 | 5,390.00 |
| | 8/19/2011 | REVIEW CORRESPONDENCE ON PRESERVATION OF EVIDENCE; EMAIL TO KARA CENAR RE: SAME. (.6) REVIEW AND ANALYZE DEPOSITION OUTLINE AND PROPOSED EXHIBITS TO DEPOSITION OF PLAINTIFF. (3.9) | 4.5 | 2,475.00 |
| | 8/21/2011 | COMMUNICATE WITH KARA CENAR RE: ISSUES FOR UPCOMING DEPOSITION OF PLAINTIFF. | 0.4 | 220.00 |
| | 8/22/2011 | BEGIN REVIEWING EXPERT REPORT OF PAUL GELUSO; CALL WITH GELUSO RE: SAME. (1) MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: UPCOMING DEPOSITION OF PLAINTIFF. (.5) | 1.5 | 825.00 |
| | 8/23/2011 | REVIEW OUTLINE OF QUESTIONING FOR DEPOSITION OF PLAINTIFF AND PROPOSED DOCUMENTARY EXHIBITS. (2.5) MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: UPCOMING DEPOSITION. (.4) | 2.9 | 1,595.00 |
| | 8/24/2011 | PREPARE FOR AND APPEAR AT DEPOSITION OF PLAINTIFF, BRYAN PRINGLE. CONFER WITH BARRY SLOTNICK AND BLACK EYED PEAS COUNSEL, KARA CENAR, RE: SAME. | 8.5 | 4,675.00 |
| | 8/28/2011 | BEGIN REVIEWING TRANSCRIPT OF DEPOSITION OF PLAINTIFF, BRYAN PRINGLE. | 0.5 | 275.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 8/29/2011 | BEGIN REVIEWING, ANALYZING AND SUMMARIZING DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT OF PLAINTIFF FOR USE IN SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. | 6.4 | 3,520.00 |
| | 8/30/2011 | REVIEW, ANALYZE AND SUMMARIZE DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT OF PLAINTIFF BRYAN PRINGLE FOR USE IN SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. CALL WITH TOM NOLAN RE: EXPERT MUSICOLOGY ANALYSIS. | 6.1 | 3,355.00 |
| | 8/31/2011 | EMAILS TO CLIENT RE: CASE STATUS AND GROUNDS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. (2) REVIEW, ANALYZE AND SUMMARIZE DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT OF PLAINTIFF BRYAN PRINGLE FOR USE IN SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK AND TOM NOLAN RE: SAME. (6) | 8.0 | 4,400.00 |
| | 9/1/2011 | REVIEW DEPOSITION SUMMARY, DISCOVERY REQUESTS AND PRIOR CORRESPONDENCE; DRAFT AND REVISE EMAIL TO CLIENT SUMMARIZING PLAINTIFF'S DEPOSITION TESTIMONY, DISCOVERY STATUS AND ITEMS NEEDED FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. (7.4) EMAIL TO PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF DAVID GUETTA. (.4) | 7.8 | 4,290.00 |
| | 9/2/2011 | RESEARCH PROFESSIONAL HISTORY OF DAVID GUETTA AND JOACHIM GARRAUD; DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE DECLARATION OF JOACHIM GARRAUD; EMAILS WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME; FURTHER REVISIONS TO DECLARATION. | 7.5 | 4,125.00 |
| | 9/6/2011 | REVIEW, ANALYZE AND REVISE DRAFT EXPERT REPORT BY SOUND RECORDING EXPERT PAUL GELUSO; CALL WITH GELUSO RE: SAME. (7.4) MEET WITH TOM NOLAN RE: DRAFT EXPERT REPORT BY MUSICOLOGIST LARRY FERRARA. (.3) | 7.7 | 4,235.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 9/7/2011 | CONTINUE REVIEWING AND REVISING DRAFT REPORT OF SOUND RECORDING EXPERT PAUL GELUSO. (5.4) BEGIN DRAFTING FACTUAL BACKGROUND SECTION OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT BRIEF. (1.2) REVIEW INVOICE FROM COMPUTER FORENSIC EXPERT; EMAIL RE: SAME TO BARRY SLOTNICK. (.2) SUMMARIZE ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEYS. (.4) | 7.2 | 3,960.00 |
| | 9/8/2011 | CONTINUE DRAFTING FACTUAL BACKGROUND SECTION OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT BRIEF; REVIEW AND ANALYZE DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF WILLIAM ADAMS. (7) EMAIL TO CLIENT RE: [REDACTED]. (3) MEET WITH TOM NOLAN AND BARRY SLOTNICK RE: EXPERT MUSICOLOGY REPORTS AND CASE STATUS. (.7) CALL WITH BLACK EYED PEAS ATTORNEYS KARA CENAR AND RACHEL ROSOFF RE: EXPERT DISCOVERY ON DAMAGES; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (1.2) | 9.2 | 5,060.00 |
| | 9/9/2011 | MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: EXPERT DAMAGES DISCOVERY; EMAILS RE: SAME. (1.2) COMMUNICATE WITH MICHAEL BRETTLER RE: SUPPLEMENT DOCUMENT COLLECTION AND DAMAGES DISCOVERY. (.5) CONTINUE REVIEWING CASE FILE AND DRAFTING FACTUAL BACKGROUND SECTION OF BRIEF ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. (5.2) | 6.9 | 3,795.00 |
| | 9/11/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE PRODUCER AGREEMENT WITH BLACK EYED PEAS; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK RE: [REDACTED]. | 2.0 | 1,100.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 9/12/2011 | CONTINUE REVIEWING CASE FILE AND DRAFTING FACTUAL BACKGROUND SECTION OF BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT. (8) REVIEW AND REVISE PROPOSED SUBPOENA TO WESTERN DIGITAL. (.5) REVIEW CVS OF PROPOSED DAMAGES EXPERTS; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (.3) CONFER WITH TOM NOLAN AND EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK RE: PROPOSED DEPOSITION OF THIRD PARTY WITNESS. (.3) | 9.1 | 5,005.00 |
| | 9/13/2011 | EMAILS WITH MICHAEL BRETTLER RE: SUPPLEMENTAL DOCUMENT COLLECTION. (.1) CALL WITH BRETTLER RE: STATUS OF SERVICE ON MUSIC PUBLISHING ENTITIES. (.2) CALL TO BEATPORT REPRESENTATIVE. (.1) CONTINUE REVIEWING PRIOR CORRESPONDENCE AND COURT FILINGS, AND DRAFTING FACTUAL BACKGROUND SECTION OF BRIEF ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT. (2.4) | 2.8 | 1,540.00 |
| | 9/14/2011 | CONTINUE REVIEWING CORRESPONDENCE AND DRAFTING FACTUAL BACKGROUND SECTION OF BRIEF ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT. (7.4) CALL WITH BEATPORT REPRESENTATIVE; DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE PROPOSED DECLARATION. (1.5) EMAIL TO CLIENT RE: PREPARATION FOR DEPOSITION OF DAVID GUETTA. (.3) MEET WITH PARALEGAL AND REVIEW RESEARCH RE: ARMED FORCES RADIO WHICH ALLEGEDLY AIRED PLAINTIFF'S MUSIC; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (.4) CONFER WITH TOM NOLAN RE: EXPERT MUSICOLOGY ANALYSIS AND RELATED CASE LAW RESEARCH. (.5) | 10.1 | 5,555.00 |
| | 9/15/2011 | FINISH REVIEWING AND REVISING FACTUAL BACKGROUND SECTION OF BRIEF ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. BEGIN REVIEWING RESEARCH AND DRAFTING LEGAL ARGUMENT SECTION OF BRIEF ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. CONFER WITH TOM NOLAN RE: SAME. | 8.7 | 4,785.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 9/16/2011 | CALL WITH BEATPORT REPRESENTATIVE RE: RE-MIX COMPETITION. (.3) INITIAL REVIEW OF SUPPLEMENTAL LICENSING DOCUMENTS FROM SHAPIRO BERNSTEIN. (.3)CONTINUE REVIEWING LEGAL RESEARCH AND DRAFTING LEGAL ARGUMENT SECTION OF BRIEF; CONFER WITH TOM NOLAN RE: SAME. (6.7) | 7.3 | 4,015.00 |
| | 9/19/2011 | CONTINUE RESEARCHING AND DRAFTING LEGAL ARGUMENT SECTIONS OF BRIEF ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; CALL WITH BEATPORT REPRESENTATIVE; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. | 5.5 | 3,025.00 |
| | 9/20/2011 | CONTINUE RESEARCHING AND DRAFTING LEGAL ARGUMENT SECTION OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; CONFER WITH TOM NOLAN RE: SAME; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (8.9) EMAILS WITH PRINGLE'S COUNSEL RE: SCHEDULING OF DAVID GUETTA DEPOSITION. (.4) MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: THIRD PARTY DECLARATION OF BEATPORT IN SUPPORT OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. (.3) CALLS TO BEATPORT RE SAME. (.1) | 9.7 | 5,335.00 |
| | 9/21/2011 | MEETING WITH BARRY SLOTNICK, LINDA BURROW AND KARA CENAR RE: CASE STATUS AND EXPERT DISCOVERY ISSUES. (1.5) REVIEW AND ANALYZE EXPERT REPORTS OF PAUL GELUSO AND LARRY FERRARA; EMAIL TO KARA CENAR RE: SAME. (3.5) EMAIL TO CLIENT RE: INFORMATION GATHERING FROM POTENTIAL THIRD PARTY WITNESS (.5). | 5.5 | 3,025.00 |
| | 9/24/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE PRIOR DEPOSITION TESTIMONY AND DISCOVERY RESPONSES; DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE OUTLINE FOR DEPOSITION PREPARATION WITH DAVID GUETTA. | 3.0 | 1,650.00 |
| | 9/25/2011 | PREPARE FOR AND PARTICIPATE IN DEPOSITION PREPARATION SESSION WITH DAVID GUETTA. | 3.5 | 1,925.00 |
| | 9/26/2011 | PREPARE FOR AND DEFEND DEPOSITION OF DAVID GUETTA. | 10.0 | 5,500.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 10/3/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE EXPERT REPORT OF SOUND RECORDING EXPERT PAUL GELUSO; COMMUNICATE WITH GELUSO RE: SAME. (3.5) REVIEW, ANALYZE AND SUMMARIZE DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF DAVID GUETTA. (5.5) | 9.0 | 4,950.00 |
| | 10/4/2011 | REVIEW, ANALYZE AND SUMMARIZE DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF DAVID GUETTA; (3) PARTICIPATE IN CONFERENCE CALL ON DISCOVERY ISSUES WITH PRINGLE'S COUNSEL. (.5) CALL WITH BARRY SLOTNICK AND KARA CENAR RE: SAME AND EXPERT DISCOVERY SCHEDULING. (.4) CALL TO PRINGLE'S ATTORNEY RE: EXPERT DISCOVERY SCHEDULING AND MANDATORY SETTLEMENT PROCEDURES. (.4) | 4.3 | 2,365.00 |
| | 10/5/2011 | COMPLETE REVIEW, ANALYSIS AND SUMMARY OF DAVID GUETTA DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT; REVIEW AND ANALYZE DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF FRED RIESTERER; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK AND TOM NOLAN RE: SAME. (3.5) REVIEW AND REVISE PROPOSED THIRD PARTY DECLARATION. (1.3) CALL TO WESTERN DIGITAL ATTORNEY RE: REQUEST FOR DOCUMENTS. (.2) REVIEW AND REVISE ERRATA SHEET FOR DAVID GUETTA DEPOSITION TESTIMONY. (.6) | 5.6 | 3,080.00 |
| | 10/6/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE TRANSCRIPT OF PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION ARGUMENT. (.7) REVIEW DISCOVERY REQUESTS BY BLACK EYED PEAS-RELATED ENTITIES. (.9) | 1.6 | 880.00 |
| | 10/7/2011 | REVIEW INTERROGATORIES SERVED BY BLACK EYED PEAS-RELATED DEFENDANTS. (1.5) CALL WITH ATTORNEY FOR BEATPORT RE: THIRD PARTY DECLARATION. (.4) EMAIL TO UNIVERSAL MUSIC ATTORNEY RE: SAME. (.4) | 2.3 | 1,265.00 |
| | 10/10/2011 | DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE DISCOVERY REQUESTS. (2.8) REVIEW AND REVISE SUBPOENA TO WESTERN DIGITAL. (.7) | 3.5 | 1,925.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 10/11/2011 | CALL WITH EXPERT MUSICOLOGIST PAUL GELUSO RE: EXPERT REPORT. (2.3) EMAILS WITH BEP LAWYER KARA CENAR RE: PROPOSED DISCOVERY. (1) EMAIL TO CO-DEFENDANTS RE: PROPOSED EXPERT DISCOVERY SCHEDULE. (.2) | 3.5 | 1,925.00 |
| | 10/12/2011 | REVIEW COURT RULES ON TIMING OF PRE-TRIAL DISCLOSURES AND EXPERT DISCOVERY. (.1) CALL WITH PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL GEORGE HAMPTON RE: PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR EXPERT DISCOVERY. (.3) CALL WITH ATTORNEY FROM WESTERN DIGITAL RE: SUBPOENA; EMAIL RE: SAME. (.4) | 0.8 | 440.00 |
| | 10/13/2011 | REVIEW COURT ORDERS AND RULES ON TIMING OF PRE-TRIAL DISCLOSURES AND EXPERT DISCOVERY. CALL WITH PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY KATHLENE KOEPENHOFFER RE: SAME. (1.2) CALL WITH MICHAEL BRETTLER RE: CALCULATION OF NET REVENUES AND OVERHEAD EXPENSES. (.7) REVIEW AND REVISE BEATPORT DECLARATION. (.5) EMAIL TO CO-DEFENDANTS RE: PROPOSED EXPERT SCHEDULE. (.3) | 2.7 | 1,485.00 |
| | 10/14/2011 | REVIEW AND FINALIZE DISCOVERY REQUESTS TO PLAINTIFF; MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME; ARRANGE FOR SERVICE OF SAME. (1.6) CONFER WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: CALCULATION OF COSTS FOR PURPOSES OF DEDUCTIONS; EMAIL TO MICHAEL BRETTLER RE: SAME. (.8) | 2.4 | 1,320.00 |
| | 10/17/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE DEPOSITION TESTIMONY RE: CREATION OF I GOTTA FEELING; EMAIL TO CLIENT RE: SAME. (1.2) REVIEW AND REVISE ERRATA SHEET FOR DEPOSITION OF DAVID GUETTA; EMAIL TO CLIENT RE: SAME. (.4) | 1.6 | 880.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 10/18/2011 | REVIEW DEPOSITION TESTIMONY AND DECLARATION RE: CREATION OF I GOTTA FEELING; EMAIL TO PLUGSOUND RE: SAME. (1) CONFER WITH TOM NOLAN RE: SAME AND UPCOMING MOTIONS. (.4) PARTICIPATE IN CONFERENCE CALL WITH COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF AND FOR BEPS RE: DISCOVERY ISSUES. (2.2) | 3.6 | 1,980.00 |
| | 10/19/2011 | REVIEW NOTES OF DISCOVERY CALL AND DISCOVERY RESPONSES; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (2) REVIEW BEATPORT DECLARATION AND AUDIO EXHIBITS; EMAIL TO PAUL GELUSO RE: SAME. (.3) EMAIL TO KARA CENAR RE: DISCOVERY ISSUES AND POSSIBLE MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY FROM PLAINTIFF. (.4) CONFER WITH TOM NOLAN RE: SAME. (.3) REVIEW AND FINALIZE DRAFT DECLARATION FOR JOACHIM GARRAUD; EMAIL TO CLIENT RE: SAME. (.3) | 3.3 | 1,815.00 |
| | 10/20/2011 | REVIEW AND REVISE STIPULATION RE: EXPERT DISCOVERY SCHEDULE. | 0.5 | 275.00 |
| | 10/21/2011 | MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: CASE STATUS, PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR EXPERT DISCOVERY AND SCHEDULE FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT. (.7) INITIAL REVIEW OF SUPPLEMENTAL DOCUMENT PRODUCTION BY PRINGLE. (1.1) EMAIL TO PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: STIPULATED SCHEDULE FOR EXPERT DISCOVERY. (.3) | 2.1 | 1,155.00 |
| | 10/24/2011 | EMAIL TO WESTERN DIGITAL ATTORNEY RE: SUBPOENA. | 0.1 | 55.00 |
| | 10/25/2011 | DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE PRE-MOTION LETTER TO PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: GROUNDS FOR UPCOMING SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; REVIEW LOCAL RULES RE: SAME. (3.6) REVIEW DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET FROM PRINGLE; CONFER WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (.4) | 4.0 | 2,200.00 |
| | 10/26/2011 | DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE PROPOSED DECLARATION FOR FRED RIESTERER. | 1.6 | 880.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 10/27/2011 | EMAIL TO KARA CENAR RE: SCHEDULE OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. (.3) EMAIL TO JEAN-CHARLES CARRE RE: DRAFT GARRAUD DECLARATION. (.2) REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S SUPPLEMENTAL DOCUMENT PRODUCTION; EMAIL TO COMPUTER FORENSIC EXPERT ERIK LAYKIN RE: SAME. (.5) DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE DECLARATION FOR FRED RIESTERER; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (2.9) EMAIL TO CAROLINE PROTHERO RE: SUMMARY JUDGMENT FOR BLACK EYED PEAS IN "BOOM BOOM POW" CASE. (.1) REVIEW CALCULATIONS OF OVERHEAD EXPENSES ATTRIBUTABLE TO I GOTTA FEELING; EMAIL TO SHAPIRO BERNSTEIN ACCOUNTANT, MURRAY NAGELBERG. (1.3) | 5.3 | 2,915.00 |
| | 10/28/2011 | CONTINUE DRAFTING AND REVISING PRE-MOTION LETTER TO PRINGLE'S COUNSEL RE: ANTICIPATED MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT. | 1.3 | 715.00 |
| | 10/31/2011 | CALL WITH BARRY SLOTNICK AND PROPOSED EXPERT WITNESS ON DAMAGES. | 0.7 | 385.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 11/1/2011 | PREPARE FOR AND PARTICIPATE IN CONFERENCE CALL WITH PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: ANTICIPATED MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK AND TOM NOLAN RE: SAME. (2.7) CALL WITH JUSTIN RIGGATINI AND TOM NOLAN RE: [REDACTED]. [REDACTED]. (3) EMAILS WITH NOLAN RE: [REDACTED]. [REDACTED]. (2) CALL WITH SHAPIRO BERNSTEIN ACCOUNTANT, MURRAY NAGELBERG RE: [REDACTED]. [REDACTED]. (2.5) DRAFT STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER DISMISSING PLAINTIFF'S SOUND RECORDING CLAIM. (1.2) REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PRODUCED BY WESTERN DIGITAL IN RESPONSE TO THIRD PARTY SUBPOENA; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK, KARA CENAR AND LINDA BURROW RE: SAME. (1.3) | 8.2 | 4,510.00 |
| | 11/2/2011 | REVIEW AND REVISE DECLARATION OF FRED RIESTERER IN SUPPORT OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. (.5) DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE DECLARATION OF ALAIN ETCHART OF UNIVERS SONS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT. (4.7) REVIEW AND REVISE PROPOSED STIPULATION DISMISSING SOUND RECORDING CLAIM; EMAIL SAME TO PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL. (.5) EMAIL TO KARA CENAR RE: INFORMATION FOR DAMAGES EXPERT. (.4) REVIEW AND ANALYZE PLAINTIFF'S SUPPLEMENTAL INTERROGATORY RESPONSES. (1.2) | 7.3 | 4,015.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 11/3/2011 | MEET WITH PARALEGAL ANTOINETTE PEPPER RE: REVIEW AND ORGANIZATION OF ROYALTY REVENUE DOCUMENTS FOR DAMAGES EXPERT. (.8) REVIEW AND REVISE DECLARATION OF ALAIN ETCHART; EMAIL RE: SAME. (1) REVIEW DRAFT REPORT BY COMPUTER FORENSIC EXPERT. (1.5) MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK AND TOM NOLAN RE: TIMING OF MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS FEES. (.3) MEET WITH TOM NOLAN RE: PROPOSED CLIENT DECLARATIONS. (.3) EMAIL TO PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: PROPOSED STIPULATION DISMISSING SOUND RECORDING CLAIM. (.2) EMAIL TO FRED RIESTERER RE: PROPOSED DECLARATION. (.4) EMAIL TO JEAN-CHARLES CARRE RE: INFORMATION FOR PROPOSED DECLARATION. (2) | 6.5 | 3,575.00 |
| | 11/4/2011 | REVIEW CASE LAW ON [REDACTED]; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (.5) EMAIL TO KARA CENAR RE: PROPOSED STIPULATION RE: DISCOVERY DISPUTE. (.3) REVIEW DECLARATION FROM ALAIN ETCHART OF UNIVERS SONS. (.3) CALL TO WESTERN DIGITAL ATTORNEY RE: SUBPOENA RESPONSE. (.2) REVIEW AND ANALYZE DRAFT DECLARATION FROM COMPUTER EXPERT ERIK LAYKIN. (3.5) REVIEW LETTERS FROM PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: DISCOVERY DISPUTES; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME; EMAIL TO CLIENT RE: SAME. (2.1) | 6.9 | 3,795.00 |
| | 11/5/2011 | REVIEW AND REVISE PROPOSED STIPULATION RE: DISCOVERY DISPUTE RAISED BY BLACK EYED PEAS COUNSEL. (1.3) REVIEW AND REVISE DRAFT DECLARATION OF DAVID GUETTA; EMAILS WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (.7) | 2.0 | 1,100.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 11/7/2011 | EMAILS WITH CLIENT RE: FRED RIESTERER DECLARATION; REVIEW AND REVISE SAME. (.4) EMAILS WITH SOUND RECORDING EXPERT RE: STATUS OF SOUND RECORDING CLAIM. (.6) EMAILS WITH PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: DISCOVERY DISPUTE AND PROPOSED STIPULATION DISMISSING SOUND RECORDING CLAIM. (.4) EMAILS WITH CO-DEFENDANTS COUNSEL RE: SAME. (.5) REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED INTERROGATORY RESPONSES RE: SOUND RECORDING CLAIM; EMAIL RE: SAME TO BARRY SLOTNICK. (.3) MEET WITH ANTOINETTE PEPPER RE: COLLECTION OF ROYALTY DOCUMENTS; EMAIL RE: SAME TO DAMAGES EXPERT. (1.6) REVIEW AND REVISE DRAFT DECLARATION FOR DAVID GUETTA. (1.4) REVIEW PRIOR CORRESPONDENCE AND EMAIL TO CLIENT RE: DRAFT GUETTA DECLARATION AND OUTSTANDING ITEMS NEEDED FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. (1.8) | 7.0 | 3,850.00 |
| | 11/8/2011 | CALL WITH DAMAGES EXPERT RE: ██████████ ██████████; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (2.1) CALL WITH EXPERT MUSICOLOGIST AND TOM NOLAN RE: EXPERT ANALYSIS. (1.1) REVIEW AND REVISE DRAFT DECLARATION OF JEAN-CHARLES CARRE; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (2.7) CORRESPONDENCE TO SOUND RECORDING EXPERT RE: ██████████. (.3) | 6.2 | 3,410.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 11/9/2011 | MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK AND TOM NOLAN RE: CASE STATUS, POTENTIAL DISCOVERY DISPUTE, AND TASKS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. (.6) REVIEW AND ANALYZE REPORT OF EXPERT SOUND RECORDING. (2.7) REVIEW AND ORGANIZE CLIENT DECLARATIONS; COORDINATE TRANSLATION OF SAME. (.4) REVIEW LETTER FROM WESTERN DIGITAL RE: RESPONSE TO SUBPOENA; EMAIL TO CO-DEFENDANTS RE: SAME. (.3) REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S SUBPOENA AND NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF VERBATIM AMERICAS LLC; CONFER WITH BARRY SLOTNICK AND CO-DEFENDANTS RE: SAME; REVIEW PROCEDURAL RULES RE: THIRD PARTY DEPOSITIONS. (2.7) EMAIL TO CLIENT RE: ITEMS NEEDED FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. (.4) REVIEW AND ANALYZE [REDACTED]; EMAIL TO MICHAEL BRETTLER RE: SAME. (1.5) REVIEW AND REVISE NOTICE OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND PROPOSED ORDER. (.3) | 8.9 | 4,895.00 |
| | 11/10/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE [REDACTED]; CALLS WITH MICHAEL BRETTLER RE: SAME. (2.9) REVIEW AND ANALYZE REPORT OF SOUND RECORDING EXPERT, PAUL GELUSO; CALL WITH GELUSO RE: SAME; REVIEW AUDIO EXHIBITS TO EXPERT REPORT. (2.4) REVIEW, ANALYZE AND REVISE BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT. (5.1) | 10.4 | 5,720.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 11/11/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE SHAPIRO BERNSTEIN DEDUCTIBLE EXPENSES; MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME; CALLS AND EMAILS WITH MICHAEL BRETTLER RE: SAME. (3.2) REVIEW AND REVISE DECLARATION OF JEAN-CHARLES CARRE. (.5) CALL WITH PAUL GELUSO RE: EXPERT SOUND RECORDING ANALYSIS. (.7) REVIEW AND ANALYZE PLAINTIFF'S EXPERT REPORT RE: DATE OF NRG FILE; CALL WITH KARA CENAR AND BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME; EMAIL TO ERIK LAYKIN RE: SAME. (5.3) COORDINATE TRANSLATION OF FRED RIESTERER DECLARATION. (.3) | 9.0 | 4,950.00 |
| | 11/12/2011 | DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE OUTLINE FOR DEPOSITION OF REPRESENTATIVE OF VERBATIM AMERICAS LLC RE: DATE OF PLAINTIFF'S NRG DISC; EMAILS WITH KARA CENAR RE: SAME. (4.6) EMAILS WITH CLIENT RE: DECLARATION OF JOACHIM GARRAUD. (.3) EMAILS WITH CLIENT RE: DISCOVERY ISSUES RAISED BY PLAINTIFF. (.2) EMAIL TO CLIENT RE: DEDUCTIBLE EXPENSES. (.3) REVIEW AND REVISE BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT. (1.6) | 7.0 | 3,850.00 |
| | 11/13/2011 | EMAILS FROM CLIENT CONFIRMING DOCUMENT COLLECTION EFFORTS. (.2) EMAILS WITH KARA CENAR RE: DEPOSITION OF VERBATIM AMERICAS LLC REPRESENTATIVE; REVIEW AND REVISE OUTLINE RE: SAME. (3.5) EMAILS WITH CLIENT AND BARRY SLOTNICK RE: DECLARATION OF JOACHIM GARRAUD. (.3) | 4.0 | 2,200.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 11/14/2011 | PREPARE FOR AND PARTICIPATE IN TELEPHONIC DEPOSITION OF VERBATIM AMERICAS LLC PURSUANT TO SUBPOENA FROM PLAINTIFF. (2.9) PREPARE FOR AND PARTICIPATE IN CONFERENCE CALL WITH COUNSEL RE: DISCOVERY ISSUES RAISED BY PLAINTIFF. (1.3) REVIEW AND ANALYZE DECLARATION OF ERIK LAYKIN; EMAIL TO LAYKIN RE: SAME. (.5) MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: [REDACTED]; CALL WITH MICHAEL BRETTLER RE: SAME; REVIEW AND REVISE SAME. (1.8) DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE SUPPLEMENTAL INITIAL DISCLOSURES. (.5) REVIEW AND ANALYZE DECLARATION OF SOUND RECORDING EXPERT PAUL GELUSO; ORGANIZE EXHIBITS TO SAME. (1.3) CONFER WITH DON MILLER RE: PROCEDURES FOR FILING SUMMARY JUDGMENT PAPERS. (.3) REVIEW AND REVISE BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; EMAIL TO KARA CENAR AND LINDA BURROW RE: SAME. (.3) REVIEW AND ANALYZE SUPPLEMENTAL DOCUMENT PRODUCTION FROM PLAINTIFF. (.7) | 9.7 | 5,335.00 |
| | 11/15/2011 | REVIEW ANALYZE AND FINALIZE EXPERT REPORTS OF PAUL GELUSO AND ERIK LAYKIN IN SUPPORT OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. (4.7) REVIEW AND REVISE ATTORNEY DECLARATION, MEMORANDUM OF LAW, AND SEPARATE STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS IN SUPPORT OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. (5.3) REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RECENTLY PRODUCED BY PLAINTIFF; EMAILS WITH PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: SAME. (.8) | 10.8 | 5,940.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 11/16/2011 | REVIEW, ANALYZE AND REVISE BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT. (4.8) REVIEW, ANALYZE AND ORGANIZE EXHIBITS TO SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. (4.1) EMAILS WITH PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: PLAINTIFF'S DOCUMENT PRODUCTION. (.5) PRELIMINARY REVIEW OF PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED JOINT STIPULATION ON DISCOVERY ISSUES. (.7) | 10.1 | 5,555.00 |
| | 11/17/2011 | REVIEW, ANALYZE AND REVISE BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. (3.4) DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION; REVIEW AND ANALYZE EXHIBITS TO DECLARATION. (2.9) COORDINATE ELECTRONIC FILING OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT PAPERS. (.6) | 6.9 | 3,795.00 |
| | 11/18/2011 | MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK AND TOM NOLAN RE: PLAINTIFF'S REQUESTED EXTENSION OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT BRIEFING DATES. (.6) CONFERENCE CALLS WITH PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: SAME. (.7) REVIEW BRIEFING, PRE-TRIAL AND TRIAL DATES AND PROPOSE NEW DATES TO ACCOMMODATE PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST; EMAILS WITH PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL. (3) | 4.3 | 2,365.00 |
| | 11/21/2011 | REVIEW AND REVISE PROPOSED STIPULATION EXTENDING BRIEFING SCHEDULE AND PRE-TRIAL DATES; CONFER WITH CO-DEFENDANTS RE: SAME; EMAILS WITH PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: SAME. (3.2) REVIEW AND ANALYZE TRANSCRIPT OF DEPOSITION OF VERBATIM REPRESENTATIVE. (.4) CALL WITH LARRY FERRARA AND TOM NOLAN RE: DEPOSITION DATES AND REBUTTAL REPORTS. (.3) EMAIL TO CLIENT RE: DISCOVERY ISSUES RAISED BY PLAINTIFF. (.2) | 4.1 | 2,255.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 11/22/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED STIPULATION RE: DISCOVERY DISPUTE; DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE LETTER TO PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: DISCOVERY DISPUTE. (4.2) EMAILS WITH CLIENT RE: PLAINTIFF'S EMAILS TO THIRD PARTY WITNESS. (.2) CALL WITH BARRY SLOTNICK AND PROPOSED EXPERT ON [REDACTED]. (4) MEET WITH SLOTNICK RE: UPCOMING MEDIATION SESSION. (.3) EMAIL TO MEDIATOR RE: LOGISTICS OF MEDIATION. (.2) | 5.2 | 2,860.00 |
| | 11/23/2011 | EMAIL TO CLIENT RE: MEDIATION AND SETTLEMENT POSITION. (.3) REVIEW AMENDED BRIEFING AND PRE-TRAIL SCHEDULE; EMAILS WITH CO-DEFENDANTS RE: SAME. (.4) REVIEW FORM MEDIATION AGREEMENT; EMAIL PROPOSED REVISIONS TO MEDIATOR AND COUNSEL. (.5) | 1.2 | 660.00 |
| | 11/28/2011 | PREPARE FOR AND PARTICIPATE IN COURT-ORDERED MEDIATION SESSION IN CHICAGO; CALL WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME; EMAIL TO CLIENT RE: SAME. (7.5) INITIAL REVIEW OF PLAINTIFF'S EXPERT REPORTS. (.7) | 8.2 | 4,510.00 |
| | 11/29/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE PLAINTIFF'S EXPERT REPORT ON DAMAGES; CALL RE: SAME WITH BARRY SLOTNICK; EMAIL RE: SAME TO ECONOMIC CONSULTANT. (3.6) EMAILS WITH CO-DEFENDANTS AND PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: SCHEDULING OF VARIOUS EXPERT WITNESS DEPOSITIONS; ANALYSIS RE: SAME; CALL WITH TOM NOLAN RE: SAME; EMAIL TO EXPERT WITNESSES RE: SAME. (3.5) COORDINATE COLLECTION OF REVENUE AND ROYALTY DOCUMENTS FOR TRANSMISSION TO ECONOMIC CONSULTANTS. (.3) EMAIL TO EXPERT MUSICOLOGIST RE: ANALYSIS OF PLAINTIFF'S MUSICOLOGY REPORTS. (.2) | 7.6 | 4,180.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 11/30/2011 | EMAIL TO ABBEY KONOWITCH RE: EXPERT ANALYSIS. (2.6) CONFERENCE CALL WITH BARRY SLOTNICK, TOM NOLAN AND CO-DEFENDANTS RE: SCHEDULING OF EXPERT WITNESS DATES AND CASE STATUS. (.8) ANALYSIS AND EMAILS WITH PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL AND CO-DEFENDANTS RE: SCHEDULING AND LOCATION OF EXPERT DEPOSITIONS. (3.1) COORDINATE SEARCH FOR LAWSUIT FILINGS BY PRINGLE. (.2) COORDINATE TRANSMISSION OF FINANCIAL DOCUMENTS TO DAMAGES EXPERTS. (.5) EMAIL TO DR. FERRARA RE: EXPERT ANALYSIS. (.2) | 7.4 | 4,070.00 |
| | 12/1/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE PLAINTIFF'S EXPERT MUSICOLOGY REPORTS. (1.4) REVIEW AND REVISE EXPERT RETAINER AGREEMENT. (.3) REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY DECLARATION ON DISCOVERY MOTION, EMAIL RE: SAME TO ERIK LAYKIN. (.7) SCHEDULING EXPERT DEPOSITIONS. (1.2) EMAIL TO PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: EXPERT REPORTS. (.2) INITIAL REVIEW OF RECENT CASE LAW ON [REDACTED]. (3) LETTER FROM PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: EXPERT DEPOSITIONS. (.2) CALL WITH DAMAGES EXPERT; COORDINATE TRANSMISSION OF DOCUMENTS CITED IN PLAINTIFF'S EXPERT'S REPORT. (2.9) | 7.2 | 3,960.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 12/2/2011 | MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: CASE STATUS AND UPCOMING EXPERT DISCOVERY. (.5) REVIEW AND ANALYZE DRAFT EXPERT REPORT OF ABBEY KONOWITCH; EMAILS WITH KONOWITCH RE: SAME. (3.3) CALL WITH EXPERT MUSICOLOGIST LARRY FERRARA RE: REBUTTAL OF PLAINTIFF'S EXPERT REPORTS. (1.4) LETTER FROM PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: EXPERT REPORTS. (.2) REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S EXPERT REPORTS AND EMAIL TO PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: AUDIO EXHIBITS MISSING FROM EXPERT REPORTS. (.5) RESEARCH ON SCOPE OF EXPERT DEPOSITIONS. (.6) | 6.5 | 3,575.00 |
| | 12/3/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE DRAFT EXPERT REPORT OF ABBEY KONOWITCH; CALL WITH KONOWITCH RE: SAME. (2.3) | 2.3 | 1,265.00 |
| | 12/5/2011 | SCHEDULING DATES AND LOCATIONS FOR VARIOUS EXPERT DEPOSITIONS. (.7) REVIEW AND ANALYZE DRAFT EXPERT REPORT ON DAMAGES. (2.2) CALL WITH ECONOMIC EXPERTS RE: APPORTIONMENT ANALYSIS. (.5) REVIEW AND ANALYZE PLAINTIFF'S EXPERT REPORT ON DAMAGES. (.6) REVIEW AND ANALYZE DECLARATIONS AND REPORTS OF ERIK LAYKIN AND PLAINTIFF'S COMPUTER EXPERT IN PREPARATION FOR DEPOSITION PREP WITH LAYKIN; DRAFT OUTLINE RE: SAME. (3.5) REVIEW AND ANALYZE PLAINTIFF'S DEPOSITION NOTICE AND DOCUMENT REQUESTS TO LAYKIN; REVIEW FEDERAL RULES RE: EXPERT DISCOVERY; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK AND TOM NOLAN RE: OBJECTIONS TO SAME. (.9) EMAIL TO COUNSEL RE: SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING DATE. (.1) EMAIL TO PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: IDENTIFICATION OF AUDIO EXHIBITS TO EXPERT DECLARATIONS. (.1) | 8.6 | 4,730.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 12/6/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE LAYKIN AND GALLANT REPORTS/DECLARATIONS; REVIEW AND REVISE OUTLINE FOR DEPOSITION PREPARATION WITH COMPUTER EXPERT ERIK LAYKIN. (2.3) CONFERENCE CALL WITH ERIK LAYKIN RE: UPCOMING DEPOSITION AND DOCUMENT REQUESTS. (1.7) REVIEW AND REVISE RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO DOCUMENT REQUESTS TO LAYKIN; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (2.4) REVIEW AND REVISE PROPOSED STIPULATION REQUESTING ADJOURNMENT OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING DATE. (3) EMAILS WITH PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: IDENTIFICATION OF AUDIO EXHIBITS TO MUSICOLOGIST REPORTS. (3) CALL TO ECONOMIC EXPERTS RE: APPORTIONMENT ANALYSIS. (4) | 7.4 | 4,070.00 |
| | 12/7/2011 | MONITOR DEPOSITION OF COMPUTER EXPERT, ERIK LAYKIN; CALLS WITH DON MILLER AND JONATHAN PINK RE: SAME. (5.6) REVIEW AND ANALYZE LAYKIN DOCUMENTS FOR PRODUCTION IN RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S REQUESTS. (.8) | 6.4 | 3,520.00 |
| | 12/8/2011 | EMAIL TO COUNSEL RE: PROPOSED STIPULATION ADJOURNING HEARING DATE OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; COORDINATE FILING OF SAME. (.6) CALL WITH ECONOMIC EXPERT BARRY MASSARSKY RE: [REDACTED]; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (2.8) CALL WITH DAMAGES EXPERT VINCE LEONE RE: [REDACTED]; CALL WITH BEP'S COUNSEL JONATHAN PINK RE: SAME. (.7) EMAILS WITH PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: SCHEDULING OF EXPERT DEPOSITIONS. (.3) REVIEW AND ANALYZE PLAINTIFF'S EXPERT DAMAGES REPORT; EMAILS TO UMG COUNSEL RE: SAME. (2.8) | 7.2 | 3,960.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 12/9/2011 | CALL WITH MUSICOLOGY EXPERT DR. FERRARA, BARRY SLOTNICK, TOM NOLAN AND JUSTIN RIGHETTINI RE: REBUTTAL TO PLAINTIFF'S MUSICOLOGY REPORTS. (.8) EMAILS WITH ECONOMIC EXPERTS RE: ANALYSIS AND REBUTTAL OF PLAINTIFF'S DAMAGES REPORT. (.3) REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S DAMAGES REPORT AND UNDERLYING FINANCIAL DOCUMENTS; COORDINATE REVIEW OF FINANCIAL DOCUMENTS; EMAIL TO ECONOMIC EXPERT RE: SAME. (.7) EMAIL TO PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: SCHEDULING OF EXPERT DEPOSITIONS. (.1) EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK RE: COLLECTION OF CLIENT FINANCIAL INFORMATION. (.2) CALL WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: CASE STATUS. (.2) REVIEW MASTER RECORDING FROM UMG. (.2) | 2.5 | 1,375.00 |
| | 12/12/2011 | ANALYSIS AND EMAILS WITH ECONOMIC EXPERTS RE: REBUTTAL TO PLAINTIFF'S DAMAGES REPORT. (.4) REVIEW AND ANALYZE PLAINTIFF'S COMPUTER EXPERT'S REPORT; EMAIL TO ERIK LAYKIN RE: SAME AND REBUTTAL REPORT. (1.3) REVIEW DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT OF COMPUTER EXPERT ERIK LAYKIN. (.8) | 2.5 | 1,375.00 |
| | 12/13/2011 | REVIEW DEPOSITION NOTICE AND DOCUMENT REQUEST TO PAUL GELUSO; CALL WITH GELUSO RE: SAME AND UPCOMING DEPOSITION; EMAIL WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (1.4) REVIEW AND ANALYZE REPORTS OF SOUND RECORDING EXPERTS IN PREPARATION FOR GELUSO DEPOSITION; BEGIN DRAFTING OUTLINE FOR DEPOSITION PREPARATION SESSION WITH GELUSO. (2.1) | 3.5 | 1,925.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 12/14/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE BEP'S EXPERT REPORT. (.9) EMAILS AND CALL WITH ECONOMIC EXPERTS RE: ANALYSIS OF UMG DOCUMENTS. (1.1) PREPARE TO MEET WITH SOUND RECORDING EXPERT TO PREPARE FOR DEPOSITION. (2.8) REVIEW AND REVISE OBJECTIONS TO DEPOSITION NOTICE AND DOCUMENT REQUESTS TO GELUSO. (1.2) REVIEW AND FINALIZE EXPERT REPORT OF ABBEY KONOWITCH. (2.3) | 8.3 | 4,565.00 |
| | 12/15/2011 | MEET WITH EXPERT SOUND ENGINEER PAUL GELUSO TO PREPARE FOR DEPOSITION. (2.8) EMAILS WITH COUNSEL FOR BEPS RE: FOREIGN REVENUE. (.2) REVIEW AND ANALYZE REBUTTAL REPORT OF EXPERT MUSICOLOGIST DR. FERRARA; MEET WITH TOM NOLAN RE: SAME; EMAIL TO DR. FERRARA RE: SAME. (3.5) | 5.5 | 3,025.00 |
| | 12/16/2011 | PREPARE FOR AND DEFEND DEPOSITION OF SOUND RECORDING EXPERT, PAUL GELUSO. (8) REVIEW AND ANALYZE REBUTTAL EXPERT REPORT OF ERIK LAYKIN; EMAILS AND CALL WITH LAYKIN RE: SAME. (3.1) REVIEW, ORGANIZE AND SERVE VARIOUS REBUTTAL EXPERT REPORTS. (1.4) | 12.5 | 6,875.00 |
| | 12/17/2011 | CALL WITH ECONOMIC EXPERTS RE: DAMAGES ANALYSIS | 0.4 | 220.00 |
| | 12/18/2011 | EMAILS WITH KARA CENAR AND BRYAN CAVE ATTORNEYS RE: PLAINTIFF'S REBUTTAL EXPERT REPORTS. (.8) CALL WITH ERIK LAYKIN RE: SAME. (.3) | 1.1 | 605.00 |
| | 12/19/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE REPORTS FROM PLAINTIFF'S COMPUTER EXPERT, DAVID GALLANT; DRAFT OUTLINE FOR DEPOSITION OF GALLANT. (1.4) EMAIL TO CLIENT RE: FINANCIAL INFORMATION. (.2) MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: DEPOSITION OF PAUL GELUSO AND CASE STATUS. (.4) REVIEW AND REVISE NOTICE OF DEPOSITION AND DOCUMENT REQUESTS TO PLAINTIFF'S DAMAGES EXPERT, ARTHUR COBB. (1.3) REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S NEW COMPUTER EXPERT REPORT; EMAIL TO PAUL GELUSO RE: SAME. (.5) | 3.6 | 1,980.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 12/20/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION, INCLUDING INITIAL REVIEW AND ANALYSIS OF VOLUMINOUS DECLARATION BY PRINGLE. (6.5) CALLS WITH UMG REPRESENTATIVE AND ECONOMIC EXPERTS. (1) | 7.5 | 4,125.00 |
| | 12/21/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE LENGTHY DECLARATION FROM PRINGLE ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. (4.5) EMAILS WITH PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: COUNSEL'S FALSE DECLARATIONS FILED WITH COURT. (.3) EMAILS WITH COUNSEL FOR BEPS RE: PRINGLE'S SUMMARY JUDGMENT OPPOSITION PAPERS; ANALYSIS OF POSSIBLE ADDITIONAL DEFENSES. (1.3) EMAILS WITH COUNSEL RE: PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL'S BREACH OF CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT AND REQUEST TO SEAL CONFIDENTIAL DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPTS. (.5) | 6.7 | 3,685.00 |
| | 12/22/2011 | MEET WITH EXPERT ECONOMIST BARRY MASSARSKY AND NARI MATSURA RE: DAMAGES ANALYSIS; REVIEW AND ANALYZE SAME. (4.4) ORGANIZE AND SERVE SUPPLEMENTAL REBUTTAL EXPERT DISCLOSURES. (.5) | 4.9 | 2,695.00 |
| | 12/23/2011 | CALL WITH SOUND RECORDING EXPERT PAUL GELUSO RE: INITIAL ANALYSIS OF PRINGLE'S LENGTHY DECLARATION. (.4) REVIEW AND ANALYZE PRINGLE'S DECLARATION AND DRAFT EMAIL TO CLIENTS RE: SAME. (3.8) EMAIL TO EXPERT ECONOMISTS. (.1) EMAIL TO MUSICOLOGY EXPERT RE: AUDIO EXHIBITS TO PRINGLE'S DECLARATION. (.2) REVIEW AND ANALYZE PRINGLE'S MOTION TO SEAL CONFIDENTIAL DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPTS; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (1.2) | 5.7 | 3,135.00 |
| | 12/26/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE PRINGLE'S SUMMARY JUDGMENT OPPOSITION PAPERS; CONTINUE DRAFTING REPLY PAPERS. (3.5) REVIEW AND ANALYZE PRINGLE'S LENGTHY DECLARATION; DRAFT EMAIL TO SOUND RECORDING EXPERT RE: SAME. (5.7) | 9.2 | 5,060.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 12/27/2011 | CALL WITH EXPERT MUSICOLOGIST RE: ANALYSIS OF SONGS AND PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING DEPOSITION. (.7) CALL WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (.2) REVIEW AND ANALYZE LENGTHY DECLARATION FROM PRINGLE; DRAFT EMAIL TO SOUND RECORDING EXPERT RE: SAME. (6.6) EMAIL AND MEETING WITH LIBRARIAN RE: INVESTIGATION OF POTENTIAL THIRD PARTY WITNESS. (.1) EMAIL TO CO-DEFENDANTS RE: UPCOMING DEPOSITION OF PRINGLE'S NEW COMPUTER EXPERT. (.4) REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF ERRATA RE: SUMMARY JUDGMENT OPPOSITION BRIEF. (.2) LETTER FROM PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: VIOLATION OF CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT; EMAIL TO PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: SAME. (.2) | 8.4 | 4,620.00 |
| | 12/28/2011 | CONFER WITH TOM NOLAN RE: CASE STATUS AND REPLY STATEMENT OF FACTS ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. (.3) CALL WITH SOUND RECORDING EXPERT RE: PRINGLE'S DECLARATION AND REPORT BY PLAINTIFF'S NEW COMPUTER EXPERT. (2.4) MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK AND TOM NOLAN RE: ANALYSIS OF PLAINTIFF'S SUMMARY JUDGMENT OPPOSITION PAPERS AND REPLY TO SAME. (1.3) BEGIN OUTLINING RESPONSE TO LENGTHY PRINGLE DECLARATION. (3) | 7.0 | 3,850.00 |
| | 12/29/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE MUSIC CREATION FILES IN CONJUNCTION WITH PRINGLE'S DECLARATION IN OPPOSITION TO SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; EMAIL TO CLIENT RE: SAME. (2.3) REVIEW AND ANALYZE PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO SEAL CONFIDENTIAL DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPTS; DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO SEAL; EMAILS WITH CO-COUNSEL RE: SAME. (7.9) EMAIL TO BEATPORT REPRESENTATIVES. (.2) | 10.3 | 5,665.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 12/30/2011 | REVIEW, REVISE AND FINALIZE RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO SEAL DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPTS. (3.2) REVIEW AND REVISE DRAFT REBUTTAL EXPERT REPORT BY PAUL GELUSO. (4) | 7.2 | 3,960.00 |
| | 1/1/2012 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT OF PLAINTIFF'S COMPUTER EXPERT, DAVID GALLANT. | 1.5 | 892.50 |
| | 1/2/2012 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE EXPERT REPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S NEW COMPUTER, FREDERIKSEN-CROSS; DRAFT OUTLINE FOR DEPOSITION OF FREDERIKSEN-CROSS. (3.7) REVIEW AND REVISE DRAFT REBUTTAL EXPERT REPORT OF PAUL GELUSO; ANALYZE PLAINTIFF'S LENGTHY DECLARATION AND EXHIBITS. (6.9) | 10.6 | 6,307.00 |
| | 1/3/2012 | DRAFT CLIENT DECLARATION IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT; COORDINATE TRANSLATION OF SAME. (4.1) MEET WITH BARRY RE: SAME. (.2) REVIEW AND ANALYZE DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF PLAINTIFF'S COMPUTER EXPERT, DAVID GALLANT, FOR USE IN SUMMARY JUDGMENT REPLY PAPERS. (5.8) EMAILS WITH KARA CENAR RE: DEPOSITION OF PLAINTIFF'S OTHER COMPUTER EXPERT, FREDERIKSEN-CROSS; REVIEW PRINGLE DEPOSITION TESTIMONY FOR SAME. (1.3) | 11.4 | 6,783.00 |
| | 1/4/2012 | CONTINUE REVIEWING AND ANALYZING DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF PLAINTIFF'S COMPUTER EXPERT DAVE GALLANT FOR USE IN SUMMARY JUDGMENT REPLY PAPERS. (2.1) CONTINUE DRAFTING AND REVISING SUMMARY JUDGMENT REPLY BRIEF. (8.4) EMAIL TO CLIENT RE: SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION. (.4) INITIAL REVIEW OF DRAFT EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO PRINGLE'S DECLARATION. (.3) | 11.2 | 6,664.00 |
| | 1/5/2012 | CALL WITH PAUL GELUSO RE: REBUTTAL EXPERT REPORT, REVIEW AND REVISE SAME. (2.5) REVIEW AND REVISE CLIENT DECLARATION. (.7) DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE REPLY BRIEF ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. (9.5) | 12.7 | 7,556.50 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 1/6/2012 | CALL WITH PAUL GELUSO RE: REBUTTAL EXPERT REPORT; REVIEW AND REVISE SAME. (2.3) RESEARCH, DRAFT AND REVISE SUMMARY JUDGMENT REPLY BRIEF. (7.8) | 10.1 | 6,009.50 |
| | 1/7/2012 | DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE REPLY BRIEF ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT. | 5.7 | 3,391.50 |
| | 1/8/2012 | MEET WITH TOM NOLAN RE: EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO COUNTER STATEMENTS OF FACT ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT. (.5) DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE SUMMARY JUDGMENT REPLY BRIEF; REVIEW AND ANALYZE CASES CITED BY PLAINTIFF; CONDUCT OTHER LEGAL RESEARCH. (11.3) | 11.8 | 7,021.00 |
| | 1/9/2012 | REVIEW ANALYZE AND REVISE EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFF'S DECLARATIONS AND EXPERT REPORTS IN OPPOSITION TO SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. (5.2) REVIEW AND REVISE REPLY BRIEF ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. (5.6) REVIEW AND REVISE ATTORNEY DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. (.3) ORGANIZE SUMMARY JUDGMENT REPLY MATERIALS AND OVERSEE ELECTRONIC FILING OF SAME. (1.2) REVIEW AND ORGANIZE TRANSLATIONS OF FRENCH DECLARATIONS. (.3) REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED ORDER TO RE-FILE DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPTS UNDER SEAL. (.2) | 12.8 | 7,616.00 |
| | 1/10/2012 | REVIEW SUMMARY JUDGMENT REPLY PAPERS FILED WITH COURT AND ARRANGE FOR COURTESY COPY TO BE SENT TO JUDGE. (1.5) REVIEW AND ANALYZE REPORT BY PLAINTIFF'S DAMAGES EXPERT AND PREPARE TO TAKE HIS DEPOSITION. (5.6) | 7.1 | 4,224.50 |
| | 1/11/2012 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE EXPERT REPORT AND RELATED EXHIBITS OF PLAINTIFF'S DAMAGES EXPERT; REVIEW AND ANALYZE PRIOR TESTIMONY IN PREPARATION FOR TAKING EXPERT'S DEPOSITION. | 5.3 | 3,153.50 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 1/12/2012 | PREPARE FOR AND TAKE DEPOSITION OF PLAINTIFF'S DAMAGES EXPERT; CONFER WITH COUNSEL FOR BLACK EYED PEAS RE: SAME. | 9.5 | 5,652.50 |
| | 1/13/2012 | MEET WITH TOM NOLAN RE: DEPOSITION OF PLAINTIFF'S DAMAGES EXPERT. (.3) EMAIL TO CLIENT RE: REPLY PAPERS ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT. (.3) EMAIL WITH PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: SCHEDULING PRE-TRIAL MEETING, AND VIOLATION OF CONFIDENTIALITY STIPULATION. (.4) | 1.0 | 595.00 |
| | 1/16/2012 | CONSIDER MOTIONS AND VARIOUS TASKS NEEDED BEFORE TRIAL; REVIEW AND REVISE LIST AND SCHEDULE OF SAME. | 0.6 | 357.00 |
| | 1/17/2012 | MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK AND TOM NOLAN RE: PREPARATION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING, VARIOUS PRE-TRIAL DEADLINES AND MOTIONS IN LIMINE. (1) CALL WITH CO-DEFENDANTS' RE: PRE-TRIAL DEADLINES AND SCHEDULING, AND MOTIONS IN LIMINE. (.7) BEGIN DRAFTING ARGUMENT OUTLINE FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING. (1.6) | 3.3 | 1,963.50 |
| | 1/18/2012 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE GELUSO DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT FOR CHANGES TO ERRATA SHEET. (2.4) MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK AND TOM NOLAN RE: PRE-TRIAL SCHEDULING AND PROPOSED ADJOURNMENT OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING DATE; EMAILS TO CO-DEFENDANTS' COUNSEL AND PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: SAME (.7) CONTINUE DRAFTING ARGUMENT OUTLINE FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING. (4) | 7.1 | 4,224.50 |
| | 1/19/2012 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE VARIOUS FILINGS ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; DRAFT REVIEW AND REVISE ARGUMENT OUTLINE FOR HEARING ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. (8.8) REVIEW PAUL GELUSO DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT; DRAFT ERRATA SHEET; EMAIL WITH GELUSO RE: SAME. (.3) | 9.1 | 5,414.50 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 1/20/2012 | MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: HEARING ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; EMAILS WITH COUNSEL RE: PROPOSED ADJOURNMENT OF SAME; DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE APPLICATION TO CONTINUE HEARING DATE AND PRETRIAL/TRIAL DATES; OVERSEE ELECTRONIC FILING OF SAME. | 6.5 | 3,867.50 |
| | 1/23/2012 | LETTER TO JUDGE TUCKER RE: REQUEST FOR ADJOURNMENT OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING DATE AND PRETRIAL DATES. | 0.2 | 119.00 |
| | 1/24/2012 | CONTINUE REVIEWING AND REVISING MOTION FOR SANCTIONS. | 1.0 | 595.00 |
| | 1/25/2012 | CONTINUE DRAFTING AND REVISING MOTION FOR SANCTIONS, INCLUDING FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY OF CASE. (5.2) REVIEW COURT ORDER ADJOURNING SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING DATE AND PRETRIAL/TRIAL DATES; EMAIL TO CLIENT AND POTENTIAL WITNESSES RE: SAME. (.7) | 5.9 | 3,510.50 |
| | 1/26/2012 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE CASE FILE, INCLUDING PLAINTIFF'S PLEADINGS AND DISCOVERY RESPONSES; DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST PRINGLE'S ATTORNEYS. | 8.4 | 4,998.00 |
| | 1/27/2012 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE CASE FILE, INCLUDING PLEADINGS AND DISCOVERY RESPONSES; CONTINUE DRAFTING, REVIEWING AND REVISING MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST PRINGLE'S ATTORNEYS. | 6.2 | 3,689.00 |
| | 1/30/2012 | EMAIL TO DAMAGES EXPERT RE: CASE STATUS AND SCHEDULING. (.2) CONTINUE DRAFTING AND REVISING SANCTIONS MOTION. (8.8) | 9.0 | 5,355.00 |
| | 1/31/2012 | CONTINUE DRAFTING, REVIEWING AND REVISING MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST PRINGLE'S ATTORNEYS; EMAIL RE: SAME TO BARRY SLOTNICK; MEET WITH TOM NOLAN RE: SAME AND REMAINING RESEARCH ISSUES. | 2.9 | 1,725.50 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 2/6/2012 | REVIEW, REVISE AND FINALIZE MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEYS; DRAFT SUPPORTING DECLARATION AND REVIEW SUPPORTING EXHIBITS. (8.3) CONFER WITH COUNSEL FOR BLACK EYED PEAS RE: SAME AND JOINDER TO MOTION. (.4) | 8.7 | 5,176.50 |
| | 2/8/2012 | CALL WITH PAUL GELUSO RE: ANALYSIS OF PLAINTIFF'S MUSIC CREATION DISC. | 0.2 | 119.00 |
| | 2/13/2012 | EMAIL TO CLIENTS RE: SCHEDULING AND PLANNING FOR TRIAL. (.5) EMAILS WITH PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: EXPERT WITNESS FEES. (.5) | 1.0 | 595.00 |
| | 2/14/2012 | REVIEW PRIOR CORRESPONDENCE AND EXPERT REPORTS RE: EXPERT WITNESS FEES; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. | 1.0 | 595.00 |
| | 2/15/2012 | EMAILS WITH CO-DEFENDANTS AND BARRY SLOTNICK RE: EXPERT WITNESS FEES. | 0.6 | 357.00 |
| | 2/16/2012 | MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: PAYMENT OF EXPERT WITNESSES DEPOSITION FEES AND RE: PREPARATION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING. (.7) EMAIL TO PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE: CLAIM FOR REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPERT WITNESS FEES. (.3) | 1.0 | 595.00 |
| | 2/24/2012 | INITIAL REVIEW OF COPYRIGHT TREATISE ON RULE 11 SANCTIONS. | 0.2 | 119.00 |
| | 2/27/2012 | REVIEW RECENT CASE LAW ON AWARD OF ATTORNEYS FEES IN COPYRIGHT CASE. (.7) REVIEW RECENT CASE LAW ON COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT FROM NINTH CIRCUIT IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING. (1) | 1.7 | 1,011.50 |
| | 2/28/2012 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE SUMMARY JUDGMENT RECORD, AND CONDUCT FOLLOW UP RESEARCH, IN PREPARATION FOR ORAL ARGUMENT ON MOTION. | 8.2 | 4,879.00 |
| | 2/29/2012 | REVIEW, ANALYZE AND OUTLINE SUMMARY JUDGMENT RECORD IN PREPARATION FOR ORAL ARGUMENT. | 6.4 | 3,808.00 |
| | 3/1/2012 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE SUMMARY JUDGMENT RECORD AND CITED CASE LAW, AND OUTLINE SAME, IN PREPARATION FOR ORAL ARGUMENT. | 8.6 | 5,117.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 3/2/2012 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE EXPERT WITNESS REPORTS AND DEPOSITION TESTIMONY; SUMMARIZE SAME IN PREPARATION FOR ORAL ARGUMENT ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; ORGANIZE MATERIALS FOR SAME. | 5.2 | 3,094.00 |
| | 3/3/2012 | DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE SUMMARY OF EXPERT WITNESS ISSUES AND ARGUMENT OUTLINE IN PREPARATION FOR ORAL ARGUMENT ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. | 1.3 | 773.50 |
| | 3/4/2012 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE EXPERT WITNESS DEPOSITION TESTIMONY AND RECENT CASE LAW IN PREPARATION FOR ORAL ARGUMENT ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT. (3) MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (1.5) | 4.5 | 2,677.50 |
| | 3/5/2012 | PREPARE FOR ORAL ARGUMENT ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (2) APPEAR FOR AND PARTICIPATE IN ORAL ARGUMENT. (.5) | 2.5 | 1,487.50 |
| | 3/6/2012 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE PLAINTIFF'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; REVIEW RELEVANT PORTIONS OF DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPTS; DRAFT APPLICATION TO STRIKE AND RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE. | 5.6 | 3,332.00 |
| | 3/7/2012 | REVIEW AND REVISE APPLICATION TO STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; AND RESPONSE THERETO. | 1.2 | 714.00 |
| | 3/8/2012 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO APPLICATION TO STRIKE UNAUTHORIZED SUR-REPLY ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND CASE LAW CITED THEREIN. (1.2) EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK AND TOM NOLAN RE: SAME. (.3) REVIEW DEPOSITION INVOICES; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (.4) | 1.9 | 1,130.50 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 3/9/2012 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE TRANSCRIPT OF PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION HEARING FOLLOWING PLAINTIFF'S MISREPRESENTATION THEREOF AT SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (.8) | 0.8 | 476.00 |
| | 3/26/2012 | REVIEW CASLAW CITED ON MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST PLAINTIFF'S LAWYERS. (3.4) PRELIMINARY REVIEW OF PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO SANCTIONS MOTION. (.7) | 4.1 | 2,439.50 |
| | 3/27/2012 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO SANCTIONS MOTIONS AND VARIOUS SUPPORTING DECLARATIONS AND EXHIBITS. (8.3) MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK AND TOM NOLAN RE: SAME. (.8) | 9.1 | 5,414.50 |
| | 3/28/2012 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SANCTIONS; DRAFT AND REVISE REPLY TO SAME. | 8.7 | 5,176.50 |
| | 3/29/2012 | CONTINUE REVIEWING AND ANALYZING PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND CASES CITED THEREIN; CONTINUE DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF. | 10.2 | 6,069.00 |
| | 3/31/2012 | CONTINUE DRAFTING AND REVISING REPLY ON MOTION FOR SANCTIONS. | 3.0 | 1,785.00 |
| | 4/1/2012 | CONTINUE DRAFTING, REVIEWING AND REVISING REPLY ON MOTION FOR SANCTIONS; REVIEW AND ANALYZE CASE LAW. | 8.4 | 4,998.00 |
| | 4/2/2012 | CONTINUE DRAFTING, REVIEWING AND REVISING REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SANCTIONS ON PLAINTIFF'S LAWYERS; LEGAL RESEARCH RE: SAME. (2.5) DRAFT, REVIEW AND REVISE SUPPORTING DECLARATION AND REVIEW EXHIBITS. (1.1) REVIEW AND ANALYZE COURT ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT. (.5) MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK AND TOM NOLAN RE: SAME. (.3) REVISE, FINALIZE AND COORDINATE ECF FILING OF REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SANCTIONS. (4) | 8.4 | 4,998.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 4/3/2012 | REVIEW ORDER REQUESTING STATUS REPORT; EMAILS WITH COUNSEL RE: SAME. (.3) EMAILS WITH CLIENT RE: SUMMARY JUDGMENT ORDER. (.2) | 0.5 | 297.50 |
| | 4/5/2012 | CALL WITH COUNSEL FOR CO-DEFENDANTS AND PLAINTIFF RE: COURT ORDER FOR JOINT STATUS REPORT AND ANTICIPATED MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS FEES AND SANCTIONS. | 0.5 | 297.50 |
| | 4/9/2012 | REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED JOINT STATUS REPORT. (.5) REVIEW PRIOR FILINGS AND ORDERS RE: SERVICE ON RISTER EDITIONS; DRAFT STATEMENT OF RISTER EDITIONS FOR STATUS REPORT. (1.7) | 2.2 | 1,309.00 |
| | 4/10/2012 | REVIEW COURT-ORDERED JOINT STATUS REPORT. | 0.4 | 238.00 |
| | 4/11/2012 | REVIEW, ANALYZE AND REVISE OUTLINE FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR SANCTIONS. | 1.0 | 595.00 |
| | 4/13/2012 | REVIEW AND ORGANIZE MATERIALS IN PREPARATION FOR ORAL ARGUMENT ON MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEYS. | 1.8 | 1,071.00 |
| | 4/15/2012 | PREPARE FOR HEARING ON MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEYS; MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. | 5.0 | 2,975.00 |
| | 4/16/2012 | PREPARE FOR AND APPEAR AT COURT HEARING ON MOTION FOR SANCTIONS ON PLAINTIFF'S LAWYERS. | 4.5 | 2,677.50 |
| | 4/19/2012 | CALL WITH JUSTIN RIGHETTINI RE: ATTORNEYS FEES MOTION. | 0.5 | 297.50 |
| | 4/20/2012 | MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK AND TOM NOLAN RE: OMNIBUS MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS FEES. | 0.5 | 297.50 |
| | 4/23/2012 | REVIEW BEP'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS WITHOUT PREJUDICE. (.8) RESEARCH LEGAL STANDARDS FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST COUNSEL. (2.9) | 3.7 | 2,201.50 |
| | 4/24/2012 | CONTINUE RESEARCHING VARIOUS STANDARDS FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST COUNSEL. | 3.7 | 2,201.50 |
| | 4/25/2012 | CONTINUE DRAFTING COMBINED MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS FEES. | 3.4 | 2,023.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 4/30/2012 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE PLAINTIFF'S REPLY BRIEF ON MOTION TO DISMISS REMAINING DEFENDANTS WITHOUT PREJUDICE; EMAIL TO BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (4) CONTINUE DRAFTING COMBINED MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS FEES. (1.6) | 2.0 | 1,190.00 |
| | 5/16/2012 | EMAILS WITH CO-DEFENDANTS RE: PROCEDURAL ISSUES ON MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS FEES; MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: SAME. (.3) CONTINUE DRAFTING, REVIEWING AND REVISING OMNIBUS MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS FEES; REVIEW PRIOR BRIEFING ON RULE 11 MOTION. (6.1) | 6.4 | 3,808.00 |
| | 5/1/2012 | CONTINUE DRAFTING COMBINED MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS FEES; REVIEW VARIOUS PLEADINGS AND COURT FILINGS RE: SAME. | 1.5 | 892.50 |
| | 5/2/2012 | CONTINUE DRAFTING COMBINED MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS FEES; REVIEW AND ANALYZE VARIOUS COURT FILINGS, ORDERS AND CORRESPONDENCE RE: SAME. | 7.1 | 4,224.50 |
| | 5/4/2012 | REVIEW AND REVISE PROPOSED JUDGMENT; EMAIL TO CO-DEFENDANTS COUNSEL RE: SAME. | 0.4 | 238.00 |
| | 5/7/2012 | REVIEW AND REVISE PROPOSED JUDGMENT, CONFER WITH CO-DEFENDANTS COUNSEL RE: SAME. (1.4) CONTINUE RESEARCHING, DRAFTING AND REVISING COMBINED MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS FEES AND SANCTIONS. (5.1) | 6.5 | 3,867.50 |
| | 5/8/2012 | PARTICIPATE IN CONFERENCE CALL WITH COUNSEL RE: MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS FEES AND SANCTIONS. (.2) RESEARCH PROCEDURE FOR ATTORNEYS FEE MOTION; EMAIL TO CO-DEFENDANTS RE: SAME. (.7) CALL WITH ERIC SCHWARTZ RE: BILL OF COSTS. (.3) CONTINUE DRAFTING AND REVISING OMNIBUS MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS FEES AND SANCTIONS. (3.4) | 4.6 | 2,737.00 |
| | 5/10/2012 | CONTINUE DRAFTING OMNIBUS MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS FEES. | 1.0 | 595.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------------------|-------------|---|----------------|-------------------|
| | 5/11/2012 | MEET WITH BARRY SLOTNICK RE: OMNIBUS MOTION ON ATTORNEYS FEES. (.4) CONFERENCE CALL WITH CO-DEFENDANTS RE: SAME. (.3) CONTINUE RESEARCHING AND DRAFTING ATTORNEY FEE MOTION. (2.1) | 2.8 | 1,666.00 |
| | 5/15/2012 | CALL WITH ERIC SCHWARTZ RE: BILL OF COSTS AND ATTORNEYS FEES MOTION. (.2) CONTINUE DRAFTING AND REVISING OMNIBUS MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS FEES. (1.7) | 1.9 | 1,130.50 |
| | 5/17/2012 | FINISH DRAFTING OMNIBUS MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS FEES. | 3.4 | 2,023.00 |
| | 5/18/2012 | REVIEW INVOICE FOR EXPERT WITNESS FEES; EMAIL TO KARA CENAR AND BARRY SLOTNICK RE: PAYMENT OF SAME. (.4) EMAIL WITH CO-DEFENDANTS RE: PROCEDURE FOR OMNIBUS MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS FEES. (.3) | 0.7 | 416.50 |
| | 5/21/2012 | CALL WITH BLACK EYED PEAS' COUNSEL RE: OMNIBUS ATTORNEYS FEES MOTION. (.5) REVIEW AND REVISE PROPOSED BILL OF COSTS; CALL WITH ERIC SCHWARTZ RE: SAME. (1.3) | 1.8 | 1,071.00 |
| | 5/22/2012 | REVIEW AND REVISE OMNIBUS MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS FEES PER COMMENTS FROM CO-DEFENDANTS AND BARRY SLOTNICK (3.7) RESEARCH CASE LAW AND INDUSTRY PUBLICATIONS ON REASONABLENESS OF HOURLY FEES. (3.4) BEGIN DRAFTING DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS FEES AND FULL COSTS. (2.7) | 9.8 | 5,831.00 |
| DICKSTEIN Total | | | 1,324.6 | 742,690.00 |
| NOLAN | 1/7/2011 | DRAFT AND REVISE DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION; LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING SAME; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING SAME; REVIEW PRIOR PLEADINGS. | 6.4 | 3,200.00 |
| | 1/8/2011 | DRAFT AND REVISE DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING SAME; CONFERENCE CALL REGARDING SAME. | 2.9 | 1,450.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 1/9/2011 | DRAFT AND REVISE DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING SAME; CONFERENCE CALL WITH EXPERT REGARDING TECHNICAL ISSUES. | 9.7 | 4,850.00 |
| | 1/10/2011 | REVISE, FINALIZE, AND COORDINATE FILING OF DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND RELATED PLEADINGS; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING SAME. | 7.9 | 3,950.00 |
| | 1/11/2011 | REVIEW DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITIONS TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND RELATED PLEADINGS; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING SAME. | 1.8 | 900.00 |
| | 2/4/2011 | DRAFT ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT. | 1.2 | 600.00 |
| | 2/7/2011 | DRAFT ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT. | 2.3 | 1,150.00 |
| | 2/28/2011 | REVIEW INITIAL DISCLOSURES; DRAFT PROOF OF SERVICE. | 0.6 | 300.00 |
| | 3/14/2011 | REVIEW WRITTEN DISCOVERY SERVED BY CO-DEFENDANTS. | 0.4 | 200.00 |
| | 3/21/2011 | REVIEW PAST CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING IMPROPER SERVICE ON RISTER EDITIONS. | 0.8 | 400.00 |
| | 3/24/2011 | DRAFT RISTER EDITIONS' MOTION TO DISMISS FOR IMPROPER SERVICE; LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING SAME; RESEARCH REGARDING PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS FOR SAME. | 3.8 | 1,900.00 |
| | 3/25/2011 | DRAFT RISTER EDITIONS' MOTION TO DISMISS FOR IMPROPER SERVICE; RESEARCH REGARDING SAME; CONFERENCE OF COUNSEL REGARDING SAME; RESEARCH REGARDING SERVICE OF PROCESS IN FRANCE UNDER THE HAGUE CONVENTION AND THROUGH OTHER METHODS. | 5.6 | 2,800.00 |
| | 3/26/2011 | REVISE RISTER EDITIONS' MOTION TO DISMISS FOR IMPROPER SERVICE. | 1.1 | 550.00 |
| | 3/28/2011 | REVISE, FINALIZE, AND COORDINATE FILING OF RISTER EDITIONS' MOTION TO DISMISS FOR IMPROPER SERVICE. | 2.1 | 1,050.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 3/29/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE PROPOSED PROTECTIVE ORDER. | 0.4 | 200.00 |
| | 3/30/2011 | DRAFT TIMELINE OF CASE HISTORY AND UNDERLYING FACTS; REVIEW DOCUMENTS IN CONNECTION WITH SAME. | 0.4 | 200.00 |
| | 4/4/2011 | REVIEW OPPOSITION TO RISTER EDITIONS' MOTION TO DISMISS; DRAFT REPLY IN SUPPORT OF SAME; MEETING AND CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING SAME | 2.7 | 1,350.00 |
| | 4/5/2011 | LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING METHODS OF SERVICE IN FRANCE UNDER THE HAGUE CONVENTION AND FEDERAL RULES. | 1.8 | 900.00 |
| | 4/6/2011 | DRAFT AND REVISE REPLY IN SUPPORT OF RISTER EDITIONS' MOTION TO DISMISS AND BRETTLER DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF SAME. | 3.9 | 1,950.00 |
| | 4/7/2011 | REVISE REPLY IN SUPPORT OF RISTER EDITIONS' MOTION TO DISMISS; RESEARCH REGARDING SAME; REVISE AND SEND BRETTLER DECLARATION. | 4.1 | 2,050.00 |
| | 4/8/2011 | DRAFT AND REVISE REPLY IN SUPPORT OF RISTER EDITIONS' MOTION TO DISMISS; LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING SAME. | 2.9 | 1,450.00 |
| | 4/10/2011 | REVISE REPLY IN SUPPORT OF RISTER EDITIONS' MOTION TO DISMISS; RESEARCH REGARDING SAME. | 2.8 | 1,400.00 |
| | 4/11/2011 | REVISE, CITE-CHECK, AND COORDINATE FILING OF REPLY IN SUPPORT OF RISTER EDITIONS' MOTION TO DISMISS. | 3.7 | 1,850.00 |
| | 4/12/2011 | DRAFT ANSWER FOR FREDERIC RIESTERER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT; DRAFT TIMELINE AND SUMMARY OF KEY CASE EVENTS. | 4.1 | 2,050.00 |
| | 4/13/2011 | DRAFT TIMELINE AND SUMMARY OF KEY CASE EVENTS. | 0.4 | 200.00 |
| | 4/15/2011 | FINALIZE AND COORDINATE FILING OF ANSWER FOR FREDERIC RIESTERER. | 0.7 | 350.00 |
| | 4/18/2011 | DRAFT TIMELINE AND SUMMARY OF KEY CASE EVENTS; REVIEW DOCKET AND CASE FILES. | 2.8 | 1,400.00 |
| | 4/27/2011 | REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S DECLARATIONS OBJECTING TO RISTER EDITIONS' FEE APPLICATION; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING SAME. | 0.6 | 300.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 4/28/2011 | DRAFT AND REVISE SUPPLEMENTARY SLOTNICK DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF FEE APPLICATION; REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTING DECLARATIONS. | 1.2 | 600.00 |
| | 5/2/2011 | DRAFT RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFF'S DOCUMENT DEMANDS. | 1.4 | 700.00 |
| | 5/11/2011 | DRAFT AND REVISE RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR DOCUMENTS TO SHAPIRO BERNSTEIN AND DAVID GUETTA. | 3.7 | 1,850.00 |
| | 6/23/2011 | REVIEW STIPULATION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER, AND COURT ORDER DENYING SAME. | 0.8 | 400.00 |
| | 6/24/2011 | CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING DOCUMENT PRODUCTION; DRAFT SLOTNICK DECLARATION REGARDING CONFIDENTIALITY ISSUES. | 1.2 | 600.00 |
| | 6/27/2011 | CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING DOCUMENT PRODUCTION AND CASE STRATEGY. | 0.4 | 200.00 |
| | 6/28/2011 | REVISE SLOTNICK DECLARATION REGARDING CONFIDENTIALITY ISSUES; DRAFT BMI DECLARATION REGARDING PRINGLE ACCOUNT. | 1.1 | 550.00 |
| | 7/5/2011 | FINALIZE AND CIRCULATE BMI DECLARATION REGARDING PRINGLE ACCOUNT; DRAFT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; RESEARCH REGARDING SAME. | 3.9 | 1,950.00 |
| | 7/6/2011 | DRAFT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING SAME; REVIEW CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING DISCOVERY ISSUES. | 4.7 | 2,350.00 |
| | 7/7/2011 | DRAFT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING SAME; REVIEW CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING DISCOVERY ISSUES; CORRESPONDENCE WITH EXPERT REGARDING SONG COMPOSITION COMPARISON. | 4.8 | 2,400.00 |
| | 7/8/2011 | REVIEW DOCUMENTS PRODUCED BY THE BLACK EYED PEAS; DRAFT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING SAME. | 3.9 | 1,950.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 7/11/2011 | DRAFT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING SAME; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING SAME; REVIEW CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING DISCOVERY ISSUES; LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING SAME. | 4.2 | 2,100.00 |
| | 7/12/2011 | DRAFT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING SAME; REVIEW PLEADINGS IN PRIOR LITIGATION AGAINST BRYAN PRINGLE | 3.7 | 1,850.00 |
| | 7/13/2011 | DRAFT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING SAME. | 2.5 | 1,250.00 |
| | 7/14/2011 | DRAFT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING SAME. | 2.1 | 1,050.00 |
| | 7/18/2011 | DRAFT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING SAME; REVIEW AND REVISE DRAFT MUSICOLOGY EXPERT REPORT; REVIEW DOCUMENTS PRODUCED BY CO-DEFENDANTS; REVIEW DOCUMENTS COLLECTED INTERNALLY FOR PRODUCTION. | 4.2 | 2,100.00 |
| | 7/19/2011 | DRAFT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING SAME; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING SAME; CORRESPONDENCE WITH MUSICOLOGY EXPERT; REVIEW AND REVISE DRAFT EXPERT REPORT; REVIEW DOCUMENTS PRODUCED BY PLAINTIFF. | 4.4 | 2,200.00 |
| | 7/20/2011 | DRAFT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING SAME; MEETING WITH FORENSIC EXPERT; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING SAME; REVIEW MUSICOLOGY EXPERT'S REPORT; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING SAME; DRAFT TIMELINE AND CASE CHRONOLOGY. | 4.9 | 2,450.00 |
| | 7/21/2011 | DRAFT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING SAME; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING SAME; CORRESPONDENCE WITH MUSICOLOGY EXPERT; REVIEW AND REVISE DRAFT EXPERT REPORT; MEETING REGARDING CASE STATUS AND STRATEGY. | 5.9 | 2,950.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 7/22/2011 | DRAFT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING SAME; REVIEW INTERNAL DOCUMENTS; REVIEW DOCUMENT PRODUCTIONS OF CO-DEFENDANTS; LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING RULE 11 MOTION AGAINST PLAINTIFF AND PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL. | 4.9 | 2,450.00 |
| | 7/25/2011 | DRAFT RULE 11 MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST PLAINTIFF AND PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL; LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING SAME; DRAFT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; REVIEW RIESTERER DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT. | 4.9 | 2,450.00 |
| | 7/26/2011 | DRAFT RULE 11 MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST PLAINTIFF AND PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL; LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING SAME; REVIEW INTERNAL DOCUMENTS; REVIEW TRANSCRIPT OF GOMEZ DEPOSITION; RESEARCH AND CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING PRIOR LITIGATIONS INVOLVING BRYAN PRINGLE. | 5.7 | 2,850.00 |
| | 7/27/2011 | DRAFT RULE 11 MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST PLAINTIFF AND PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL; LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING SAME; REVIEW INTERNAL DOCUMENTS; REVIEW TRANSCRIPT OF ADAMS DEPOSITION; RESEARCH AND CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING PRIOR LITIGATIONS INVOLVING BRYAN PRINGLE. | 4.4 | 2,200.00 |
| | 7/28/2011 | DRAFT RULE 11 MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST PLAINTIFF AND PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL; LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING SAME; REVIEW TRANSCRIPT OF FERGUSON DEPOSITION; RESEARCH AND CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING PRIOR LITIGATIONS INVOLVING BRYAN PRINGLE. | 3.4 | 1,700.00 |
| | 8/8/2011 | RESEARCH AND CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING PRIOR LITIGATIONS INVOLVING BRYAN PRINGLE; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING INSPECTION OF PLAINTIFF'S ELECTRONIC FILES AND EQUIPMENT. | 1.2 | 600.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 8/22/2011 | REVISE AND CIRCULATE FERRARA EXPERT REPORT; REVIEW CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING DISCOVERY ISSUES; | 0.9 | 450.00 |
| | 8/23/2011 | REVISE FERRARA EXPERT REPORT. | 1.8 | 900.00 |
| | 8/24/2011 | REVISE AND CIRCULATE FERRARA EXPERT REPORT; DRAFT AND REVISE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; REVIEW AND CIRCULATE EXHIBITS TO PRINGLE DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT. | 3.7 | 1,850.00 |
| | 8/25/2011 | REVIEW TRANSCRIPT OF DEPOSITION OF BRYAN PRINGLE; DRAFT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; DRAFT MOTION FOR UNDERTAKING AND BOND. | 4.8 | 2,400.00 |
| | 8/26/2011 | REVIEW TRANSCRIPT OF DEPOSITION OF BRYAN PRINGLE; DRAFT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; DRAFT MOTION FOR UNDERTAKING AND BOND. | 3.4 | 1,700.00 |
| | 8/29/2011 | REVIEW TRANSCRIPT OF DEPOSITION OF BRYAN PRINGLE; DRAFT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; DRAFT MOTION FOR UNDERTAKING AND BOND; RESEARCH REGARDING SAME. | 5.6 | 2,800.00 |
| | 8/30/2011 | REVIEW MUSICOLOGY EXPERT REPORT; REVIEW PRINGLE TESTIMONY REGARDING CREATION OF THE GUITAR TWANG SEQUENCE; DRAFT MOTION FOR UNDERTAKING AND BOND; REVIEW DOCUMENT PRODUCTION OF BRYAN PRINGLE; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING SAME. | 3.8 | 1,900.00 |
| | 8/31/2011 | CORRESPONDENCE WITH MUSICOLOGY EXPERT; REVIEW MUSICOLOGY EXPERT REPORT; REVIEW PRINGLE TESTIMONY REGARDING CREATION OF THE GUITAR TWANG SEQUENCE; REVISE MOTION FOR UNDERTAKING AND BOND. | 3.8 | 1,900.00 |
| | 9/1/2011 | RESEARCH REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S EXPERT MUSICOLOGISTS; REVIEW DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF BRYAN PRINGLE; REVISE MOTION FOR UNDERTAKING AND BOND; REVIEW DECISION IN [REDACTED]. | 4.1 | 2,050.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 9/2/2011 | RESEARCH REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S EXPERT MUSICOLOGISTS; REVIEW DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF BRYAN PRINGLE; REVISE MOTION FOR UNDERTAKING AND BOND; REVIEW DRAFT GARRAUD DECLARATION. | 2.4 | 1,200.00 |
| | 9/6/2011 | REVIEW AND FINALIZE EXPERT REPORT OF LAWRENCE FERRARA, AND CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING SAME; RESEARCH REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S EXPERT MUSICOLOGISTS; REVIEW DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF BRYAN PRINGLE. | 4.7 | 2,350.00 |
| | 9/7/2011 | REVISE EXPERT REPORT OF LAWRENCE FERRARA; DRAFT MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST PLAINTIFF AND PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL; RESEARCH REGARDING SAME; REVIEW AND ANALYZE DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF BRYAN PRINGLE. | 5.1 | 2,550.00 |
| | 9/8/2011 | REVISE EXPERT REPORT OF LAWRENCE FERRARA; DRAFT MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST PLAINTIFF AND PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL; RESEARCH REGARDING SAME; MEETING REGARDING CASE STRATEGY. | 5.4 | 2,700.00 |
| | 9/9/2011 | CORRESPONDENCE WITH LAWRENCE FERRARA; REVISE EXPERT REPORT; DRAFT MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST PLAINTIFF AND PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL; RESEARCH REGARDING SAME; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING CASE STRATEGY. | 4.9 | 2,450.00 |
| | 9/12/2011 | CORRESPONDENCE WITH LAWRENCE FERRARA; DRAFT MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST PLAINTIFF AND PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL; RESEARCH REGARDING SAME; DRAFT AND REVISE SUBPOENA TO WESTERN DIGITAL; RESEARCH AND CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING SAME; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING CASE STRATEGY. | 5.3 | 2,650.00 |
| | 9/13/2011 | DRAFT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND MOTION FOR SANCTIONS; LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING SAME; REVIEW DOCUMENTS PRODUCED BY CO-DEFENDANTS; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING SAME. | 3.7 | 1,850.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 9/14/2011 | DRAFT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; RESEARCH REGARDING SAME; DRAFT MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST PLAINTIFF AND PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL; RESEARCH REGARDING SAME; REVIEW DOCUMENTS PRODUCED BY CO-DEFENDANTS; LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING MODIFICATIONS OF CASE MANAGEMENT ORDERS AND TIMING OF EXPERT DISCLOSURES. | 6.4 | 3,200.00 |
| | 9/15/2011 | DRAFT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND MOTION FOR SANCTION; LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING SAME; REVIEW DOCUMENTS PRODUCED BY CO-DEFENDANTS; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING SAME. | 4.1 | 2,050.00 |
| | 9/16/2011 | LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING [REDACTED] AND OTHER LEGAL ISSUES RELATING TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND MOTION FOR SANCTIONS. | 5.9 | 2,950.00 |
| | 9/19/2011 | RESEARCH FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND MOTION FOR SANCTIONS; DRAFT MOTION FOR SANCTIONS. | 4.1 | 2,050.00 |
| | 9/20/2011 | LEGAL RESEARCH FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND MOTION FOR SANCTIONS; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING SAME; RESEARCH REGARDING TIMING AND PROCEDURE FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTIONS. | 2.4 | 1,200.00 |
| | 9/21/2011 | CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING EXPERT REPORTS AND THIRD-PARTY WITNESSES; RESEARCH FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND MOTION FOR SANCTIONS; DRAFT MOTION FOR SANCTIONS. | 4.7 | 2,350.00 |
| | 9/26/2011 | DEPOSITION OF DAVID GUETTA. | 9.1 | 4,550.00 |
| | 9/27/2011 | RESEARCH REGARDING MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES; REVIEW EXPERT REPORT OF LAWRENCE FERRARA. | 7.1 | 3,550.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 9/29/2011 | REVISE MEMORANDUM OF LAW FOR MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES; REVISE SUPPORTING DECLARATION; RESEARCH AND CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING SAME; REVISE MEMORANDUM OF LAW FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT. | 2.4 | 1,200.00 |
| | 9/30/2011 | REVISE MEMORANDUM OF LAW FOR MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES; REVISE SUPPORTING DECLARATION; RESEARCH AND CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING SAME; REVISE MEMORANDUM OF LAW FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT. | 5.1 | 2,550.00 |
| | 10/4/2011 | REVISE AND FINALIZE MEMORANDUM OF LAW, NOTICE OF MOTION, AND DECLARATION FOR MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES; PREPARATIONS FOR ELECTRONIC FILING. | 4.1 | 2,050.00 |
| | 10/7/2011 | REVISE MEMORANDUM OF LAW FOR MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES; REVIEW TRANSCRIPT OF JANUARY 31 HEARING; REVIEW DISCOVERY SERVED BY CO-DEFENDANTS. | 1.3 | 650.00 |
| | 10/10/2011 | FINALIZE AND COORDINATE SERVICE OF SUBPOENA FOR DOCUMENTS TO WESTERN DIGITAL; REVIEW DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPTS. | 1.4 | 700.00 |
| | 10/11/2011 | REVIEW DOCUMENTS FOR PRODUCTION; LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING ATTORNEY'S FEE AWARDS. | 4.8 | 2,400.00 |
| | 10/12/2011 | REVIEW DOCUMENTS FOR PRODUCTION; LEGAL RESEARCH FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND SANCTIONS MOTIONS; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING SUBPOENA TO WESTERN DIGITAL; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING DOCUMENT PRODUCTION. | 4.3 | 2,150.00 |
| | 10/13/2011 | REVIEW AND COORDINATE SERVICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL DOCUMENT PRODUCTION FOR SHAPIRO BERNSTEIN; RESEARCH REGARDING CASE SCHEDULING AND TRIAL PREPARATION; DRAFT COMPREHENSIVE CASE SCHEDULE THROUGH TRIAL; REVIEW CORRESPONDENCE RE. EXPERT DISCOVERY; LEGAL RESEARCH FOR MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND ATTORNEY'S FEES. | 4.2 | 2,100.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 10/14/2011 | REVIEW AND FINALIZE EXPERT REPORT OF LAWRENCE FERRARA; LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING ATTORNEY'S FEE AWARDS; LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING SANCTIONS AWARDS AGAINST COUNSEL; REVISE MOTION FOR SANCTIONS; DRAFT APPLICATION TO FILE UNDER SEAL FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; REVIEW DISCOVERY REQUESTS TO PRINGLE; REVIEW CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING MODIFICATIONS TO COURT SCHEDULE. | 5.1 | 2,550.00 |
| | 10/17/2011 | LEGAL RESEARCH FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND SANCTIONS MOTION; DRAFT AND REVISE MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND ATTORNEY'S FEES. | 3.8 | 1,900.00 |
| | 10/18/2011 | LEGAL RESEARCH FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND SANCTIONS MOTION; DRAFT AND REVISE MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND ATTORNEY'S FEES. | 2.4 | 1,200.00 |
| | 10/19/2011 | REVIEW EXPERT REPORTS AND DEPOSITION TESTIMONY FROM PRIOR LITIGATIONS; CORRESPONDENCE; LEGAL RESEARCH; REVISE RIESTERER DECLARATION. | 4.1 | 2,050.00 |
| | 10/20/2011 | RESEARCH FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND SANCTIONS MOTION; REVIEW GUETTA AND RIESTERER DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPTS; DRAFT MEET-AND-CONFER LETTER FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. | 2.8 | 1,400.00 |
| | 10/21/2011 | DRAFT MEET-AND-CONFER LETTER FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; RESEARCH FOR SAME; REVIEW SUPPLEMENTAL DOCUMENT PRODUCTION OF BRYAN PRINGLE; RESEARCH AND CORRESPONDENCE. | 4.3 | 2,150.00 |
| | 10/25/2011 | RESEARCH REGARDING AWARDS OF SANCTIONS AND ATTORNEY'S FEES; REVISE SANCTIONS MOTION; DRAFT APPLICATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER TO FILE UNDER SEAL; RESEARCH REGARDING PROCEDURES FOR FILING UNDER SEAL. | 3.8 | 1,900.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 10/26/2011 | LEGAL RESEARCH FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND SANCTIONS MOTION; DRAFT AND REVISE MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND ATTORNEY'S FEES; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING SUBPOENA TO WESTERN DIGITAL; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING DECLARATION FROM PLUGSOUND; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING CASE STATUS AND SUMMARY JUDGMENT STRATEGY. | 3.1 | 1,550.00 |
| | 10/27/2011 | DRAFT DECLARATION REGARDING PLUGSOUND; RESEARCH FOR SAME; CORRESPONDENCE AND ANALYSIS REGARDING CONFIDENTIALITY DESIGNATIONS AND FILING UNDER SEAL; REVIEW AND REVISE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; LEGAL RESEARCH. | 4.2 | 2,100.00 |
| | 10/28/2011 | DRAFT NOTICE OF MOTION AND PROPOSED ORDER FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; DRAFT NOTICE OF MOTION AND PROPOSED ORDER FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES MOTION; LEGAL RESEARCH; REVISE ATTORNEY'S FEES MOTION; FINALIZE PLUGSOUND DECLARATION. | 5.1 | 2,550.00 |
| | 10/31/2011 | LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING CLAIMS OF STRIKING SIMILARITY; LEGAL RESEARCH FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND SANCTIONS MOTIONS; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING SPOILIATION AND DISCOVERY DISPUTES; REVISE MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND ATTORNEY'S FEES. | 4.7 | 2,350.00 |
| | 11/1/2011 | LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING CLAIMS OF STRIKING SIMILARITY; LEGAL RESEARCH FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND SANCTIONS MOTIONS; CONFERENCE OF COUNSEL REGARDING SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; REVIEW DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT OF ARTHUR COBB. | 5.7 | 2,850.00 |
| | 11/2/2011 | LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS FOR REGISTRATION AND INFRINGEMENT ACTIONS; REVIEW RIESTERER DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT; RESEARCH FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; REVISE EXPERT DECLARATION OF LAWRENCE FERRARA; DRAFT AND REVISE MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES; LEGAL RESEARCH FOR SAME. | 5.3 | 2,650.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 11/3/2011 | REVIEW AND REVISE FERRARA DECLARATION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; DRAFT GUETTA AND CARRE DECLARATIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; RESEARCH FOR SAME; RESEARCH AND CORRESPONDENCE RE. CASE SCHEDULE. | 6.2 | 3,100.00 |
| | 11/4/2011 | REVISE MEMORANDUM OF LAW FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; DRAFT AND REVISE GUETTA AND CARRE DECLARATIONS; RESEARCH FOR SAME; REVIEW UNIVERS-SONS DECLARATION. | 5.7 | 2,850.00 |
| | 11/7/2011 | REVISE MEMORANDUM OF LAW FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; DRAFT RULE 56.1 STATEMENT; CIRCULATE ERRATA STATEMENT FROM GUETTA DEPOSITION; REVIEW CORRESPONDENCE ON DISCOVERY ISSUES. | 5.1 | 2,550.00 |
| | 11/8/2011 | REVISE MEMORANDUM OF LAW FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; DRAFT RULE 56.1 STATEMENT; REVISE DECLARATION OF JEAN-CHARLES CARREE; TELEPHONIC CONFERENCE WITH LAWRENCE FERRARA. | 6.6 | 3,300.00 |
| | 11/9/2011 | DRAFT AND REVISE RULE 56.1 STATEMENT FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; REVISE MEMO OF LAW FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; COLLECT EXHIBITS FOR DICKSTEIN DECLARATION; REVIEW THIRD-PARTY SUBPOENA; RESEARCH REGARDING NOTICE REQUIREMENTS FOR DEPOSITION SUBPOENAS; CORRESPONDENCE. | 8.4 | 4,200.00 |
| | 11/10/2011 | DRAFT AND REVISE RULE 56.1 STATEMENT FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING AUTHENTICATION OF EXHIBITS, PRESUMPTION OF ACCESS FROM STRIKING SIMILARITY, AND STANDARDS FOR SUBSTANTIAL SIMILARITY; REVISE AND CIRCULATE EXPERT DECLARATION OF LAWRENCE FERRARA; REVIEW EXPERT REPORT OF PAUL GELUSO. | 5.2 | 2,600.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 11/11/2011 | DRAFT AND REVISE RULE 56.1 STATEMENT AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; RESEARCH AND CORRESPONDENCE FOR SAME; COLLECT AND REVIEW EXHIBITS TO DICKSTEIN DECLARATION; REVIEW LAYKIN DECLARATION; REVIEW EXPERT REPORT OF DAVID GALLANT; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING FERRARA AND CARRE DECLARATIONS. | 6.3 | 3,150.00 |
| | 11/13/2011 | REVIEW EXPERT DECLARATION OF LAWRENCE FERRARA; DRAFT DICKSTEIN DECLARATION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; CORRESPONDENCE; REVIEW MEMORANDUM OF LAW FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT. | 2.8 | 1,400.00 |
| | 11/14/2011 | DRAFT AND REVISE MEMORANDUM OF LAW, RULE 56.1 STATEMENT, AND ATTORNEY DECLARATION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; REVIEW AND FINALIZE EXPERT DECLARATION OF LAWRENCE FERRARA; REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S DOCUMENT PRODUCTION; CORRESPONDENCE. | 7.1 | 3,550.00 |
| | 11/15/2011 | DRAFT AND REVISE MEMORANDUM OF LAW, RULE 56.1 STATEMENT, AND ATTORNEY DECLARATION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S DOCUMENT PRODUCTION; CORRESPONDENCE. | 6.6 | 3,300.00 |
| | 11/16/2011 | DRAFT AND REVISE SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND SUPPORTING PAPERS; REVIEW JOINT STIPULATIONS ON MOTIONS TO COMPEL DISCOVERY RESPONSES; RESEARCH FOR SAME; REVIEW PRINGLE'S SUPPLEMENTAL DISCOVERY RESPONSES. | 5.9 | 2,950.00 |
| | 11/17/2011 | REVISE AND COORDINATE FILING OF MEMORANDUM OF LAW, RULE 56.1 STATEMENT, AND SUPPORTING DECLARATIONS AND PAPERS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; RESEARCH AND CORRESPONDENCE FOR SAME; REVIEW DISCOVERY MOTIONS. | 6.8 | 3,400.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 11/18/2011 | REVIEW SUMMARY JUDGMENT FILINGS; CONFERENCE OF COUNSEL ON SCHEDULING ISSUES; CORRESPONDENCE AND RESEARCH REGARDING SCHEDULING ISSUES AND EX PARTE PROCEDURES. | 3.1 | 1,550.00 |
| | 11/21/2011 | CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING STIPULATION EXTENDING CASE SCHEDULE; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING FILING OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; RESEARCH FOR MOTIONS FOR SANCTIONS AND ATTORNEY'S FEES; RESEARCH FOR REPLY FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; CORRESPONDENCE WITH LAWRENCE FERRARA REGARDING EXPERT REBUTTAL REPORTS AND DEPOSITION SCHEDULING. | 3.6 | 1,800.00 |
| | 11/22/2011 | RESEARCH FOR MOTIONS FOR SANCTIONS AND ATTORNEY'S FEES; RESEARCH FOR REPLY FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; RESEARCH REGARDING MOTIONS TO COMPEL; PREPARE EXPERT WITNESS DISCLOSURES; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING CASE SCHEDULING AND STRATEGY. | 4.8 | 2,400.00 |
| | 11/23/2011 | PREPARE AND SERVE EXPERT WITNESS DISCLOSURES; REVIEW MITCHELL DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT; RESEARCH FOR REPLY FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. | 3.9 | 1,950.00 |
| | 11/28/2011 | REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S EXPERT WITNESS DISCLOSURES; RESEARCH REGARDING CASE SCHEDULING AND TRIAL DEADLINES; RESEARCH FOR REPLY FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. | 2.1 | 1,050.00 |
| | 11/29/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE PLAINTIFF'S EXPERT WITNESS DISCLOSURES; RESEARCH REGARDING CASE SCHEDULING AND TRIAL DEADLINES; RESEARCH FOR REPLY FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; DRAFT PROPOSED MATERIALS FOR REPLY BRIEF; CORRESPONDENCE. | 4.9 | 2,450.00 |
| | 11/30/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE EXPERT REPORTS OF NORRIS AND STEWART; RESEARCH FOR REPLY FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; DRAFT PROPOSED MATERIALS FOR REPLY BRIEF; CORRESPONDENCE. | 4.7 | 2,350.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 12/1/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE EXPERT REPORTS OF NORRIS AND STEWART; RESEARCH FOR REPLY FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; DRAFT PROPOSED MATERIALS FOR REPLY BRIEF; CORRESPONDENCE. | 3.7 | 1,850.00 |
| | 12/2/2011 | CONFERENCE CALL WITH LAWRENCE FERRARA; REVIEW AND ANALYZE EXPERT REPORTS OF NORRIS AND STEWART; RESEARCH FOR REPLY FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING CASE SCHEDULING AND STRATEGY. | 4.7 | 2,350.00 |
| | 12/5/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE EXPERT REPORTS OF NORRIS AND STEWART; RESEARCH FOR REPLY FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING CASE SCHEDULING AND STRATEGY; DRAFT RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO DOCUMENT REQUESTS IN NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF ERIK LAYKIN; LEGAL RESEARCH ON DISCOVERABILITY OF EXPERT WITNESS MATERIALS; | 5.8 | 2,900.00 |
| | 12/6/2011 | REVIEW AND ANALYZE EXPERT REPORTS OF NORRIS AND STEWART; DRAFT DEPOSITION OUTLINE FOR STEWART; REVISE RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO DOCUMENT REQUESTS TO LAYKIN; RESEARCH FOR REPLY FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; DRAFT STIPULATION CONTINUING HEARING DATE ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. | 5.1 | 2,550.00 |
| | 12/7/2011 | REVIEW LAYKIN DOCUMENT PRODUCTION; REVIEW DEPOSITION NOTICES TO STEWART AND NORRIS; DRAFT STEWART DEPOSITION OUTLINE; REVIEW FERRARA AND STEWART EXPERT REPORTS IN BATTs. | 4.9 | 2,450.00 |
| | 12/8/2011 | DRAFT STEWART DEPOSITION OUTLINE; DRAFT NORRIS DEPOSITION OUTLINE; REVIEW FERRARA AND STEWART EXPERT REPORTS IN BATTs; REVIEW DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPTS FORE STEWART AND NORRIS IN BATTs. | 5.3 | 2,650.00 |
| | 12/9/2011 | DRAFT STEWART DEPOSITION OUTLINE; DRAFT NORRIS DEPOSITION OUTLINE; CONFERENCE CALL REGARDING FERRARA EXPERT REPORT. | 2.7 | 1,350.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 12/12/2011 | DRAFT STEWART DEPOSITION OUTLINE; DRAFT NORRIS DEPOSITION OUTLINE; REVIEW FERRARA REBUTTAL REPORT; LEGAL RESEARCH; CORRESPONDENCE. | 4.2 | 2,100.00 |
| | 12/13/2011 | REVISE FERRARA REBUTTAL REPORT; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING SAME; REVIEW NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF PAUL GELUSO AND OTHER DISCOVERY PLEADINGS; DRAFT OUTLINE FOR NORRIS AND STEWART DEPOSITIONS. | 6.2 | 3,100.00 |
| | 12/14/2011 | REVISE FERRARA REBUTTAL REPORT; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING SAME; DRAFT OUTLINE FOR NORRIS AND STEWART DEPOSITIONS; DRAFT OBJECTIONS TO GELUSO DOCUMENT REQUESTS; DRAFT REBUTTAL EXPERT DISCLOSURES. | 7.1 | 3,550.00 |
| | 12/15/2011 | REVISE FERRARA REBUTTAL REPORT; CORRESPONDENCE AND CONFERENCE CALLS REGARDING SAME; DRAFT OUTLINE FOR NORRIS AND STEWART DEPOSITIONS; | 4.4 | 2,200.00 |
| | 12/16/2011 | FINALIZE FERRARA REBUTTAL REPORT AND PREPARE REBUTTAL EXPERT REPORTS FOR SERVICE; RESEARCH FOR REPLY FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; DRAFT OUTLINES FOR DEPOSITIONS OF NORRIS AND STEWART; REVIEW PRINGLE'S REBUTTAL EXPERT REPORTS; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING SAME. | 5.8 | 2,900.00 |
| | 12/19/2011 | COMPLETE OUTLINES FOR DEPOSITIONS OF NORRIS AND STEWART; REVIEW PRINGLE'S REBUTTAL EXPERT REPORTS; REVIEW PRINGLE'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, AND SUPPORTING PAPERS; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING SAME; | 4.8 | 2,400.00 |
| | 12/20/2011 | REVIEW PRINGLE'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, AND SUPPORTING PAPERS; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING SAME; DRAFT RESPONSE TO PRINGLE'S STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL FACTS; LEGAL RESEARCH; REVISE COBB DEPOSITION NOTICE. | 5.2 | 2,600.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 12/21/2011 | REVIEW PRINGLE'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, AND SUPPORTING PAPERS; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING SAME; DRAFT RESPONSE TO PRINGLE'S STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL FACTS; LEGAL RESEARCH. | 2.7 | 1,350.00 |
| | 12/22/2011 | DRAFT RESPONSE TO PRINGLE'S STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL FACTS; LEGAL RESEARCH; CONFERENCE CALL WITH LAWRENCE FERRARA; PREPARATION FOR DEPOSITIONS OF STEWART AND NORRIS; PREPARE SUPPLEMENTAL EXPERT DISCLOSURES; MEETING REGARDING CASE STRATEGY; MEETING WITH EXPERT ECONOMISTS; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING PRINGLE'S EXPERT SUBMISSIONS. | 5.4 | 2,700.00 |
| | 12/28/2011 | DRAFT RESPONSE TO PRINGLE'S STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL FACTS; LEGAL RESEARCH; MEETING REGARDING CASE STRATEGY; DRAFT EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO PRINGLE DECLARATION. | 8.9 | 4,450.00 |
| | 12/29/2011 | DRAFT RESPONSE TO PRINGLE'S STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL FACTS; DRAFT EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO PRINGLE DECLARATION; LEGAL RESEARCH. | 9.2 | 4,600.00 |
| | 12/30/2011 | DRAFT RESPONSE TO PRINGLE'S STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL FACTS; DRAFT EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO PRINGLE DECLARATION; LEGAL RESEARCH; REVIEW RESPONSE TO MOTION TO SEAL; PREPARATION FOR NORRIS AND STEWART DEPOSITIONS. | 7.7 | 3,850.00 |
| | 1/2/2012 | REPARATION FOR NORRIS AND STEWART DEPOSITIONS; DRAFT DEPOSITION OUTLINE FOR NORRIS DEPOSITION; DRAFT RESPONSE TO PRINGLE'S STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL FACTS; DRAFT EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO PRINGLE DECLARATION; LEGAL RESEARCH. | 9.8 | 5,390.00 |
| | 1/3/2012 | NORRIS DEPOSITION; PREPARATION FOR STEWART DEPOSITION; DRAFT EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO PRINGLE DECLARATION; LEGAL RESEARCH; | 11.1 | 6,105.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 1/4/2012 | STEWART DEPOSITION; DRAFT EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO PRINGLE DECLARATION; LEGAL RESEARCH; DRAFT REPLY MEMORANDUM FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. | 11.2 | 6,160.00 |
| | 1/5/2012 | STEWART DEPOSITION; DRAFT EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO PRINGLE DECLARATION; LEGAL RESEARCH; DRAFT REPLY MEMORANDUM FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; REVIEW CROSS DEPOSITION; ANALYZE PRINGLE'S OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE; REVISE SLOTNICK AND CENAR DECLARATIONS. | 12.1 | 6,655.00 |
| | 1/6/2012 | LEGAL RESEARCH FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REPLY; REVISE EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO PRINGLE DECLARATION; DRAFT EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO JEFFREY PRINGLE DECLARATION. | 8.2 | 4,510.00 |
| | 1/7/2012 | LEGAL RESEARCH FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REPLY; REVISE RESPONSE TO PRINGLE'S STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL FACTS. | 4.8 | 2,640.00 |
| | 1/8/2012 | LEGAL RESEARCH FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REPLY; REVISE RESPONSE TO PRINGLE'S STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL FACTS; REVISE EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO PRINGLE DECLARATION. | 4.9 | 2,695.00 |
| | 1/9/2012 | LEGAL RESEARCH FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REPLY; REVISE AND FINALIZE RESPONSE TO PRINGLE'S STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL FACTS, EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO PRINGLE DECLARATIONS, REPLY BRIEF, AND DICKSTEIN DECLARATION; ELECTRONIC FILING OF REPLY PAPERS. | 13.8 | 7,590.00 |
| | 1/10/2012 | REVIEW REPLY PAPERS FILED IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; REVIEW COBB EXPERT REPORT; DRAFT QUESTIONS FOR COBB DEPOSITION. | 2.3 | 1,265.00 |
| | 1/11/2012 | PREPARATION FOR COBB DEPOSITION; RESEARCH REGARDING PRETRIAL SUBMISSIONS AND DEADLINES; RESEARCH FOR MOTIONS IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE FROM TRIAL. | 2.4 | 1,320.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 1/12/2012 | REVIEW OBJECTIONS TO COBB DEPOSITION NOTICE; RESEARCH REGARDING PRETRIAL SUBMISSIONS AND DEADLINES; RESEARCH FOR MOTIONS IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE FROM TRIAL. | 1.7 | 935.00 |
| | 1/13/2012 | REVIEW COBB DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT; RESEARCH FOR MOTIONS IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE FROM TRIAL; DRAFT TRIAL PREPARATION SCHEDULE; REVIEW FILINGS; CORRESPONDENCE. | 3.9 | 2,145.00 |
| | 1/17/2012 | MEETINGS REGARDING CASE SCHEDULING AND TRIAL PREPARATION; CORRESPONDENCE; DRAFT MOTION FOR RULE 11 SANCTIONS AGAINST PLAINTIFF AND COUNSEL; RESEARCH FOR SAME. | 7.2 | 3,960.00 |
| | 1/18/2012 | DRAFT MOTION FOR RULE 11 SANCTIONS AGAINST PLAINTIFF AND COUNSEL; RESEARCH FOR SAME; RESEARCH AND CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING CASE SCHEDULING. | 6.7 | 3,685.00 |
| | 1/19/2012 | DRAFT MOTION FOR RULE 11 SANCTIONS AGAINST PLAINTIFF AND COUNSEL; RESEARCH FOR SAME. | 1.1 | 605.00 |
| | 1/20/2012 | DRAFT MOTION FOR RULE 11 SANCTIONS AGAINST PLAINTIFF AND COUNSEL; RESEARCH FOR SAME; REVIEW AND E-FILE APPLICATION TO CONTINUE SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING AND PRE-TRIAL DATES. | 1.8 | 990.00 |
| | 1/23/2012 | DRAFT MOTION FOR RULE 11 SANCTIONS AGAINST PLAINTIFF AND COUNSEL; RESEARCH FOR SAME. | 4.8 | 2,640.00 |
| | 1/24/2012 | DRAFT MOTION FOR RULE 11 SANCTIONS AGAINST PLAINTIFF AND COUNSEL; RESEARCH FOR SAME; DRAFT PROPOSED STIPULATED FACTS; RESEARCH RE. TRIAL PREPARATION. | 3.1 | 1,705.00 |
| | 1/25/2012 | DRAFT MOTION FOR RULE 11 SANCTIONS AGAINST PLAINTIFF AND COUNSEL; RESEARCH FOR SAME. | 1.2 | 660.00 |
| | 1/30/2012 | RESEARCH FOR MOTION FOR RULE 11 SANCTIONS AGAINST PLAINTIFF AND COUNSEL. | 0.7 | 385.00 |
| | 1/31/2012 | REVIEW MOTION FOR RULE 11 SANCTIONS AGAINST PLAINTIFF AND COUNSEL. | 0.3 | 165.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 2/2/2012 | LEGAL RESEARCH FOR MOTION FOR RULE 11 SANCTIONS AGAINST PLAINTIFF AND COUNSEL. | 1.2 | 660.00 |
| | 2/3/2012 | REVIEW MOTION FOR RULE 11 SANCTIONS AGAINST PLAINTIFF AND COUNSEL AND BLACK EYED PEAS JOINDER. | 0.4 | 220.00 |
| | 2/6/2012 | REVIEW MOTION FOR RULE 11 SANCTIONS AND PRINGLE'S SUPPLEMENTAL DISCOVERY RESPONSES. | 0.4 | 220.00 |
| | 3/1/2012 | REVIEW MOTION FOR RULE 11 SANCTIONS FOR FILING; CORRESPONDENCE. | 0.4 | 220.00 |
| | 3/2/2012 | CORRESPONDENCE RE. MOTION FOR RULE 11 SANCTIONS AND HEARING PREPARATION. | 0.3 | 165.00 |
| | 3/6/2012 | REVIEW PRINGLE SUR-REPLY ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; RESEARCH AND CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING RESPONSE THERETO. | 1.1 | 605.00 |
| | 3/7/2012 | FINALIZE AND COORDINATE FILING OF APPLICATION TO STRIKE PRINGLE SUR-REPLY ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; RESEARCH AND CORRESPONDENCE. | 2.3 | 1,265.00 |
| | 3/8/2012 | REVIEW PRINGLE RESPONSE TO MOTION TO STRIKE; CORRESPONDENCE. | 0.3 | 165.00 |
| | 3/12/2012 | REVIEW APPLICATION TO CONTINUE TRIAL DATES; CORRESPONDENCE. | 0.3 | 165.00 |
| | 3/13/2012 | DRAFT MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND OTHER SANCTIONS; RESEARCH FOR SAME. | 4.1 | 2,255.00 |
| | 3/14/2012 | DRAFT MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND OTHER SANCTIONS; RESEARCH FOR SAME. | 1.9 | 1,045.00 |
| | 3/26/2012 | REVIEW OPPOSITION TO RULE 11 MOTION. | 0.4 | 220.00 |
| | 3/27/2012 | RESEARCH FOR REPLY FOR SANCTIONS MOTION. | 0.8 | 440.00 |
| | 3/28/2012 | RESEARCH FOR REPLY FOR SANCTIONS MOTION. | 1.2 | 660.00 |
| | 3/29/2012 | RESEARCH FOR REPLY FOR SANCTIONS MOTION. | 2.3 | 1,265.00 |
| | 3/30/2012 | DRAFT REPLY FOR SANCTIONS MOTION; RESEARCH FOR SAME. | 1.2 | 660.00 |
| | 4/2/2012 | REVISE AND FINALIZE REPLY FOR SANCTIONS MOTION; RESEARCH FOR SAME. | 2.1 | 1,155.00 |
| | 4/3/2012 | CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING STATUS OF REMAINING PARTIES. | 0.4 | 220.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|--------------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------------|
| | 4/9/2012 | RESEARCH REGARDING VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL OF RISTER EDITIONS; CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING STATUS OF REMAINING PARTIES. | 1.8 | 990.00 |
| | 4/10/2012 | DRAFT MEMO FOR RULE 11 HEARING. | 1.1 | 605.00 |
| | 5/3/2012 | DRAFT PROPOSED JUDGMENT; RESEARCH AND CORRESPONDENCE. | 0.7 | 385.00 |
| | 5/7/2012 | CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING PROPOSED JUDGMENT. | 0.3 | 165.00 |
| NOLAN Total | | | 692.3 | 353,055.00 |
| MILLER | 1/7/2011 | REVIEW OF FILE, MOTION TO DISMISS, MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION, COURT DOCKET; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE CASE ISSUES AND EX PARTE APPLICATION TO CONTINUE HEARING ON DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO DISMISS | 1.8 | 990.00 |
| | 1/10/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH COURT CLERK RE EX PARTE APPLICATION; PREPARATION OF EX PARTE APPLICATION; CONFERENCE AND EMAIL WITH T. DICKSTEIN AND T. NOLAN RE OPPOSITION PAPERS RE P.I. MOTION, REPLY PAPERS RE MTD, AND EX PARTE APPLICATION; FILING OF SAME; | 3.8 | 2,090.00 |
| | 1/11/2011 | REVIEW OF OPPOSITION TO EX PARTE APPLICATION AND EMAILS WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE SAME | 0.3 | 165.00 |
| | 1/12/2011 | EMAIL WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE EX PARTE REPLY; REVIEW OF ORDER ON EX PARTE APPLICATION AND EMAIL WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE SAME | 0.2 | 110.00 |
| | 1/13/2011 | EMAILS WITH B. SLOTNICK AND T. DICKSTEIN RE PREPARATION FOR HEARINGS AND PLAINTIFF'S REPLY PAPERS | 0.5 | 275.00 |
| | 1/14/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE PLAINTIFF'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF PRLIMINARY INJUNCTION; PREPARATION OF EMAIL TO PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE REPLY PAPERS AND EMAIL WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE SAME | 0.5 | 275.00 |
| | 1/19/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH B. SLOTNICK RE EX PARTE APPLICATION; REVIEW EMAILS RE SAME | 0.2 | 110.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 2/9/2011 | REVIEW OF COURT'S RULING RE MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION | 0.3 | 165.00 |
| | 3/28/2011 | REVIEW OF MOTION TO DISMISS PAPERS AND EMAILS WITH T. NOLAN AND T. DICKSTEIN RE SAME | 0.5 | 275.00 |
| | 4/11/2011 | REVIEW OF REPLY IN SUPPORT OF R.EDITIONS' MOTION TO DISMISS AND EMAIL WITH T. NOLAN RE SAME; FILING OF SAME | 0.5 | 275.00 |
| | 4/14/2011 | EMAIL AND CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE APPLICATION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES | 0.2 | 110.00 |
| | 4/15/2011 | REVIEW OF F. RISTERER'S ANSWER; EMAIL WITH T. NOLAN RE SAME; FILING OF SAME | 0.4 | 220.00 |
| | 5/10/2011 | EMAIL WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION; RESEARCH RE RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND EMAIL WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE SAME | 1.0 | 550.00 |
| | 7/1/2011 | LETTER AND EMAILS RE PRINGLE DEPOSITION; EMAIL WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE DISCOVERY BOND | 0.2 | 110.00 |
| | 7/6/2011 | RESEARCH RE CCP SECTION 1030, POSTING OF BOND FOR COSTS AND FEES | 1.3 | 715.00 |
| | 7/7/2011 | RESEARCH RE BOND REQUIREMENT FOR COSTS AND ATTORNEYS' FEE; CONFERENCE WITH R. MEYER RE SAME | 0.5 | 275.00 |
| | 7/8/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH D. COX RE MOTION TO REQUIRE PLAINTIFF TO FILE AN UNDERTAKING TO COVER COSTS AND ATTORNEYS' FEES; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE SAME; REVIEW OF MEMORANDUM AND CASE LAW RE SAME | 1.3 | 715.00 |
| | 7/11/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH D. COX RE C.C.P. SECTION 1030 MOTION AND MEMORANDUM RE SAME; EMAIL WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE SECTION 1030 MOTION AND ISSUES RE SAME | 0.8 | 440.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 7/12/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH D. COX RE SECTION 1030 MEMO AND RESEARCH RE SAME; EMAILS WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE BEP DEPOSTIONS, SECTION 1030 MOTION, INTERSCOPE DEPOSITION; REVIEW OF BRYAN CAVE MEMORANDUM RE SECTION 1030 MOTION AND EMAIL AND CONFERENCE D. COX RE SAME AND EMAIL WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE SAME | 1.3 | 715.00 |
| | 7/15/2011 | REVIEW AND PREPARATION OF MEMORANDUM RE MOTION FOR BOND UNDER C.C.P. SECTION 1030 AND EMAIL AND CONFERENCE WITH D. COX RE SAME | 1.0 | 550.00 |
| | 7/18/2011 | EMAIL WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE SECTION 1030 MOTION RESEARCH | 0.1 | 55.00 |
| | 7/27/2011 | EMAILS WITH T. NOLAN RE RULE 11 MOTION; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE CASE ISSUES; | 0.3 | 165.00 |
| | 11/9/2011 | EMAIL WITH T. NOLAN RE EXPERT DECLARATION AND EMAIL WITH L. WYTSMA RE SAME | 0.2 | 110.00 |
| | 11/14/2011 | EMAILS WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT | 0.3 | 165.00 |
| | 11/15/2011 | EMAILS WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION | 0.2 | 110.00 |
| | 11/16/2011 | EMAILS WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE DISCOVERY AND MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT | 0.2 | 110.00 |
| | 11/17/2011 | ASSISTANCE WITH FILING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; EMAILS FROM T. DICKSTEIN AND T. NOLAN RE SAME | 0.3 | 165.00 |
| | 11/18/2011 | EMAIL WITH T. NOLAN RE EX PARTE APPLICATION; RESEARCH LOCAL RULES, JUDGE TUCKER'S RULES RE SAME | 0.4 | 220.00 |
| | 11/21/2011 | EMAILS WITH T. DICKSTEIN AND T. NOLAN RE FILING | 0.2 | 110.00 |
| | 12/1/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE E. LAYKIN DEPOSITION; EMAILS WITH E. LAYKIN AND T. DICKSTEIN RE SAME | 0.5 | 275.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|---------------------|-------------|--|--------------|------------------|
| | 12/5/2011 | REVIEW OF LAYKIN DESIGNATION, LAYKIN DECLARATION/REPORT, GALANT DECLARATION/REPORT, GALANT DESIGNATION, MITCHELL DEPOSITION; LETTER RE EXPERT REPORTS; RESEARCH DISCLOSURE RULES FOR EXPERT WITNESSES; EMAIL WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE SAME AND DEPOSITION PREPARATION; CONFERENCE WITH E. LAYKIN RE DEPOSITION | 4.3 | 2,365.00 |
| | 12/6/2011 | PREPARATION FOR MEETING WITH E. LAYKIN, EMAIL WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE SAME; DEPOSITION PREPARATION MEETING WITH E. LAYKIN AND T. DICKSTEIN | 2.8 | 1,540.00 |
| | 12/7/2011 | DEPOSITION OF E. LAYKIN; CONFERENCE AND EMAIL WITH J. PINK AND T. DICKSTEIN RE SAME; | 6.5 | 3,575.00 |
| | 3/2/2012 | CONFERENCE WITH COURT CLERK RE RULE 11 MOTION AND NOTICE FOR SAME; EMAIL WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE SAME; EMAILS WITH PARALEGAL RE SAME; FILING OF NOTICE | 0.3 | 172.50 |
| | 3/7/2012 | EMAILS WITH T. NOLAN AND T. DICKSTEIN RE SUPPLEMENTAL FILING IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT | 0.2 | 115.00 |
| | 5/7/2012 | EMAIL WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE BILL OF COSTS; EMAIL WITH M. CAMPBELL, E. SCHWARTZ RE SAME | 0.2 | 115.00 |
| MILLER Total | | | 33.6 | 18,497.50 |
| SCHWARTZ | 4/15/2011 | CALL WITH T. DICKSTEIN AND CONFERENCE WITH D. MILLER RE APPLICATION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES; EMAIL WITH ACCOUNTING RE SAME. | 0.4 | 140.00 |
| | 4/17/2011 | ANALYZE BILLING RECORDS IN SUPPORT OF ATTORNEYS' FEES APPLICATION; EMAIL WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE SAME. | 0.6 | 210.00 |
| | 4/18/2011 | PREPARE DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF AWARD FOR ATTORNEYS FEES; RESEARCH RE REASONABLE ATTORNEYS FEES IN CALIFORNIA. | 3.0 | 1,050.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 4/19/2011 | RESEARCH RE COMPARABLE BILLING RATES FOR DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF ATTORNEYS' FEES; REVISE DECLARATION; EMAIL WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE SAME. | 1.3 | 455.00 |
| | 4/20/2011 | CALLS AND EMAILS WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE REVISIONS TO DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF ATTORNEYS FEES. | 0.3 | 105.00 |
| | 4/21/2011 | EMAILS AND CALLS WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES; REVIEW, ANALYZE, AND REDACT BILLING RECORDS IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION; REVISE DECLARATION. | 3.2 | 1,120.00 |
| | 4/27/2011 | RESEARCH RE ENTITLEMENT TO ATTORNEYS FEES IN SUPPORT OF RESPONSE TO PRINGLE'S OPPOSITION TO APPLICATION TO ATTORNEYS FEES. | 1.0 | 350.00 |
| | 10/4/2011 | CALL WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE DOCUMENT REQUESTS AND INTERROGATORIES TO BE SERVED ON PRINGLE (.1); EMAIL WITH ASSISTANT RE PREPARATION OF APPROPRIATE PLEADING PAPERS (.1). | 0.2 | 70.00 |
| | 10/5/2011 | REVISE INTERROGATORIES AND DOCUMENT REQUESTS. | 1.2 | 420.00 |
| | 5/16/2012 | REVIEW/ANALYZE COSTS AND INVOICES; PREPARE BILL OF COSTS; ASSIST WITH PREPARATION OF FEE MOTION. | 2.4 | 960.00 |
| | 5/7/2012 | EMAILS WITH COUNSEL RE BILL OF COSTS. | 0.2 | 80.00 |
| | 5/8/2012 | CALL WITH TAL DICKSTEIN RE BILL OF COSTS; RESEARCH RE BILL OF COSTS. | 0.3 | 120.00 |
| | 5/9/2012 | PREPARE BILL OF COSTS. | 0.2 | 80.00 |
| | 5/15/2012 | CALL WITH TAL DICKSTEIN RE BILL OF COSTS AND ATTORNEY FEES MOTION; RESEARCH RE BILL OF COSTS; REVIEW/ANALYE COSTS FROM CASE. | 0.2 | 80.00 |
| | 5/17/2012 | REVIEW/ANALYZE COSTS; REVISE BILL OF COSTS AND RELATED NOTICE; EMAIL WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE SAME; CALLS AND EMAILS WITH ACCOUNTING RE GATHERING AND ORGANIZATION OF BACK-UP MATERIALS IN SUPPORT OF FEE AND COST APPLICATIONS. | 1.0 | 400.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|-----------------------|-------------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| | 5/18/2012 | SUPERVISE PREPARATION OF EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF ATTORNEY FEE MOTION AND BILL OF COSTS; REVIEW/ANALYZE COST INVOICES; CALL WITH VENDORS RE ITEMIZED INVOICES. | 1.1 | 440.00 |
| | 5/21/2012 | CALL VENDORS RE MODIFICATION OF INVOICES; REVISE BILL OF COSTS; RESEARCH IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES.. | 3.6 | 1,440.00 |
| | 5/22/2012 | RESEARCH IN SUPPORT OF FEE MOTION; REVISE BILL OF COSTS. | 2.4 | 960.00 |
| | 5/23/2012 | REVISE BILL OF COSTS; ASSIST WITH PREPARATION OF ATTORNEYS' FEE MOTION. | 1.3 | 520.00 |
| SCHWARTZ Total | | | 23.9 | 9,000.00 |
| PEPPER | 12/9/2010 | CONFERENCE WITH B. SLOTNICK REGARDING NEW CASE; ASSEMBLING BINDER OF PLEADINGS AND DECLARATIONS FOR SAME | 2.0 | 690.00 |
| | 12/13/2010 | CITE-CHECKING AND QUOTE-CHECKING MEMO OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS SHAPIRO BERNSTEIN & CO, SQUARE RIVOLI PUBLISHING, RISTER EDITIONS AND DAVID GUETTA'S MOTION TO DISMISS THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT; EDITING AND REVISING TABLE OF CONTENTS AND TABLE OF AUTHORITIES; EDITING MEMO OF LAW | 3.6 | 1,242.00 |
| | 12/23/2010 | REVIEW OF VENDOR INVOICE REGARDING COPYRIGHT ORDER | 0.1 | 34.50 |
| | 1/3/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING REVIEW OF MOTIONS TO DISMISS AND OPPOSITIONS THERETO TO CREATE CASE LAW BINDERS; REVIEW OF SAME TO CREATE MASTER LIST OF AUTHORITIES; ASSEMBLY OF SAME | 2.7 | 958.50 |
| | 1/4/2011 | CONTINUED TO REVISE MASTER LIST OF AUTHORITIES; ASSEMBLY OF BINDER OF SAME; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING DEPOSIT COPY MEDIA OF DEAD BEAT CLUB CD AND ASSEMBLING COPIES OF SAME FOR OTHER COUNSEL; DRAFTED LETTER TO OTHER DEFENSE COUNSEL REGARDING SAME | 3.4 | 1,207.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 1/5/2011 | CONTINUED TO REVISE MASTER LIST OF AUTHORITIES; ASSEMBLY OF CASE LAW CITED IN MOTIONS TO DISMISS; CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING SAME | 5.0 | 1,775.00 |
| | 1/6/2011 | FINALIZING MOTION TO DISMISS CASE LAW BINDER; CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING RESEARCHING PLUGSOUNDPRO AUDIO CD; INTERNET RESEARCH FOR SAME; CONFERENCES WITH VENDORS REGARDING SAME; CONFERENCE WITH THOMSON REUTERS REGARDING ORDERING OF CERTIFIED DEPOSIT COPY OF DEAD BEAT CLUB CD MEDIA | 2.7 | 958.50 |
| | 1/10/2011 | CITE-CHECKING AND QUOTE-CHECKING REPLY MEMO IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' SHAPIRO, ET AL MOTION TO DISMISS FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT; EDITS TO SAME; CITE-CHECKING AND QUOTE-CHECKING DEFENDANTS' MEMO IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION; EDITS TO SAME; CONFERENCES WITH T. NOLAN AND T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING EDITS TO MEMOS AND FINALIZING SAME | 7.3 | 2,591.50 |
| | 1/18/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH B. SLOTNICK REGARDING COPYRIGHT WEBSITE SEARCH FOR CORRESPONDENCE RELATING TO REJECTION OF RENEWAL OR ASSIGNMENT; COPYRIGHT OFFICE WEBSITE SEARCH FOR SAME | 0.4 | 142.00 |
| | 1/19/2011 | UPDATING MOTION TO DISMISS BINDER FOR B. SLOTNICK; CONFERENCES WITH THOMSON REUTERS REGARDING REVIEW OF COPYRIGHT OFFICE FILES FOR CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING REJECTION OF ASSIGNMENT OR RENEWAL | 1.3 | 461.50 |
| | 1/20/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH B. SLOTNICK; REVIEW OF MEMOS OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES REGARDING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION; PREPARING MASTER AUTHORITIES LIST; CONFERENCE WITH V. VOLOVODOVSKIY REGARDING RETRIEVING CASE LAW AND ASSEMBLING BINDER FOR SAME | 0.6 | 213.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 1/24/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH B. SLOTNICK REGARDING COPYRIGHT OFFICE SEARCH FOR REJECTED RENEWAL; CONFERENCE WITH THOMSON REGARDING SAME | 0.5 | 177.50 |
| | 2/15/2011 | CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING OBTAINING UNCERTIFIED DEPOSIT COPY FOR DANCE VERSION OF TAKE A DIVE FROM COPYRIGHT OFFICE; CONFERENCE WITH THOMSON REGARDING SAME | 0.6 | 213.00 |
| | 2/16/2011 | CONFERENCES WITH THOMSON COMPUMARK REGARDING OBTAINING UNCERTIFIED DEPOSIT COPY FOR DANCE VERSION OF TAKE A DIVE FOR T. DICKSTEIN | 0.3 | 106.50 |
| | 2/17/2011 | CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING OBTAINING UNCERTIFIED DEPOSIT COPY FOR DANCE VERSION OF TAKE A DIVE FROM COPYRIGHT OFFICE; PREPARING LITIGATION STATEMENT TO OBTAIN SAME; LETTER TO THOMSON REGARDING SAME | 0.7 | 248.50 |
| | 3/21/2011 | ASSEMBLING CASE FILES FOR DOCUMENTS RECEIVED REGARDING IMPROPER SERVICE; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING SAME | 0.3 | 106.50 |
| | 3/31/2011 | REVIEWED INVOICES FOR RETRIEVAL OF COPYRIGHT MATERIALS REQUESTED BY T. DICKSTEIN | 0.2 | 71.00 |
| | 4/18/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING CREATING DISCOVERY BINDER; ASSEMBLING CASE FILES REGARDING SAME; ASSEMBLING CASE FILE FOR DEPOSIT COPIES RECEIVED FROM COPYRIGHT OFFICE | 0.7 | 248.50 |
| | 5/16/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING DOCUMENTS TO BE PROCESSED FOR PRODUCTION; CONFERENCES WITH J. GORRUSO AND S. DESUZE REGARDING UNITIZATION AND PROCESSING OF SAME | 0.6 | 213.00 |
| | 6/14/2011 | REDACTING [REDACTED] FROM DOCUMENTS TO BE PREPARED FOR PRODUCTION PER T. DICKSTEIN | 1.9 | 674.50 |
| | 6/15/2011 | REDACTING [REDACTED] FROM DOCUMENTS TO BE PREPARED FOR PRODUCTION PER T. DICKSTEIN | 2.7 | 958.50 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 6/20/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING DEPOSIT COPY TO BE ORDERED; CONFERENCE WITH THOMSON REUTERS REGARDING SAME; PREPARING LITIGATION STATEMENT FOR ORDER OF SAME; DRAFTED LETTER TO THOMSON REGARDING ORDER | 0.5 | 177.50 |
| | 6/28/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING BACKGROUND SEARCH ON B. PRINGLE | 0.2 | 71.00 |
| | 6/29/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH THOMSON REUTERS REGARDING ORDERS OF DEPOSIT MATERIALS FROM COPYRIGHT OFFICE | 0.3 | 106.50 |
| | 7/1/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING ITEMS TO BE DOCKETED; EMAIL TO DOCKETING CLERK REGARDING SAME | 0.3 | 106.50 |
| | 7/5/2011 | ASSEMBLING AND ORGANIZING CASE FILES FOR DEPOSITION MATERIALS | 0.5 | 177.50 |
| | 7/13/2011 | ORGANIZING AND ASSEMBLING CASE FILES FOR DEPOSIT COPIES OF COPYRIGHTED MATERIALS AND ASSEMBLING FILES OF CERTIFIED MAILINGS SENT TO F. RIESTERER | 0.5 | 177.50 |
| | 7/18/2011 | REVIEW OF F. RIESTERER DEPOSITION TO PREPARE ERRATA SHEET; ALSO REVIEWED DEPOSITION TO ASSEMBLE LISTING OF TESTIMONY TO BE DESIGNATED AS CONFIDENTIAL AND HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PER T. DICKSTEIN | 3.1 | 1,100.50 |
| | 7/19/2011 | CONTINUED REVIEW OF F. RIESTERER DEPOSITION TO PREPARE ERRATA SHEET; ALSO REVIEWED DEPOSITION TO ASSEMBLE LISTING OF TESTIMONY TO BE DESIGNATED AS CONFIDENTIAL AND HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PER T. DICKSTEIN; ASSEMBLED COPY OF DEPOSIT MATERIALS FOR K. CENAR; DRAFTED LETTER REGARDING SAME | 2.7 | 958.50 |
| | 7/20/2011 | ASSEMBLING CD MATERIALS TO P. GELUSO; DRAFTING LETTER TO SAME | 0.5 | 177.50 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 7/22/2011 | CREATING FILES FOR DOCUMENTS PRODUCED BY PLAINTIFF AND BEP DEFENDANTS; CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN AND J. GORRUSO REGARDING SAME; REVISING ERRATA SHEET FOR RIESTERER DEPOSITION PER T. DICKSTEIN; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING SAME; UNITIZING PRODUCTION DOCUMENTS TO BE IMPORTED TO DATABASE | 3.2 | 1,136.00 |
| | 7/28/2011 | ASSEMBLING CASE FILES FOR BEP AND UMG DEFENDANTS DOCUMENT PRODUCTIONS | 0.5 | 177.50 |
| | 8/8/2011 | REVIEW OF EMAILS REGARDING DOCUMENT PRODUCTIONS; ASSEMBLING CASE FILES FOR SAME; ASSEMBLING CASE FILES FOR DEPOSITION MATERIALS | 0.6 | 213.00 |
| | 8/15/2011 | ATTENDING TO LOGISTICS FOR DEPOSITION IN SAN ANTONIO; REVIEW OF VENDOR INVOICES FOR DEPOSITIONS; CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING EXHIBITS FOR DEPOSITION AND ASSEMBLING SAME; ORGANIZING CASE FILES FOR PRODUCTION CDS | 1.8 | 639.00 |
| | 8/18/2011 | UPDATING HOT DOCUMENTS BINDER AND INDEX FOR T. DICKSTEIN | 1.5 | 532.50 |
| | 8/22/2011 | ASSISTING T. DICKSTEIN PREPARE FOR DEPOSITION | 0.4 | 142.00 |
| | 9/8/2011 | ORGANIZING DEPOSITION MATERIALS OF B. PRINGLE; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING SAME | 0.5 | 177.50 |
| | 9/9/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING PRINGLE DEPOSITION AND VIDEO DVD | 0.2 | 71.00 |
| | 9/12/2011 | ORGANIZING AND ASSEMBLING CASE FILE FOR PRINGLE DEPOSITION | 0.2 | 71.00 |
| | 9/15/2011 | REVIEW OF EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING PRODUCTION DOCUMENTS AND IMPORT TO DATABASE OF SAME | 0.4 | 142.00 |
| | 9/16/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH M. DOUGLAS REGARDING DOCUMENT TO BE TRANSLATED; CONFERENCE WITH VENDOR REGARDING SAME | 0.4 | 142.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 9/20/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING DEPOSIT COPIES WITH COPYRIGHT OFFICE; FORWARDING LITIGATION STATEMENT FOR SAME; ORGANIZING AND ASSEMBLING CASE FILES FOR PRODUCTION DOCUMENTS | 1.6 | 568.00 |
| | 9/23/2011 | TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH COURT REPORTER TO ORDER TRANSCRIPT OF JANUARY 31, 2011 PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION HEARING PER T. DICKSTEIN; PREPARED CHECK REQUEST TO OBTAIN SAME | 0.3 | 106.50 |
| | 9/26/2011 | ORGANIZING AND ASSEMBLING CASE FILES FOR PRODUCTION DOCUMENTS | 0.5 | 177.50 |
| | 9/29/2011 | EMAIL TO COURT REPORTER REGARDING HEARING TRANSCRIPT | 0.3 | 106.50 |
| | 10/3/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING COURT HEARING TRANSCRIPT | 0.2 | 71.00 |
| | 10/5/2011 | DISTRIBUTION OF HEARING TRANSCRIPT AND GUETTA DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT TO ATTORNEYS | 0.2 | 71.00 |
| | 10/12/2011 | ORGANIZING CASE FILES FOR HEARING TRANSCRIPTS AND D. GUETTA DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT | 0.5 | 177.50 |
| | 10/13/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH T. NOLAN REGARDING PRODUCTION; REVIEW OF SAME; DRAFTING LETTER TO OPPOSING COUNSEL AND ASSEMBLING PRODUCTION COPIES | 1.0 | 355.00 |
| | 10/14/2011 | REVIEWING PRODUCTION DOCUMENTS AND PREPARING CASE FILES FOR SAME; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING PREPARING OUTLOOK CALENDAR; PREPARING SAME | 3.3 | 1,171.50 |
| | 10/17/2011 | ASSEMBLING CASE FILES FOR VIDEO DEPOSITION FILES OF D. GUETTA | 0.2 | 71.00 |
| | 10/19/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING CASE CALENDAR; DRAFTING LETTER TO P. GELUSO; REVIEW OF DVD OF BEATPORT.COM | 0.8 | 284.00 |
| | 10/21/2011 | UPDATING CASE CALENDAR WITH DEPOSITION DATES; ORGANIZING DISCOVERY DOCUMENTS ON DATABASE PER T. DICKSTEIN; REVIEW OF NEW PRODUCTION DOCUMENTS LOADED TO DATABASE | 0.5 | 177.50 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 11/2/2011 | REVIEW OF EMAILS REGARDING PRODUCTION DOCUMENTS AND TRANSLATIONS OF SAME; CONFERENCE WITH VENDOR REGARDING TRANSLATIONS | 1.0 | 355.00 |
| | 11/3/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING REVIEW OF ALL PARTIES' PRODUCTION DOCUMENTS TO CODE REVENUE DOCUMENTS TO PREPARE FOR REVIEW BY EXPERT; REVIEW AND TAGGING OF SAME; CONFERENCES WITH S. DESUZE REGARDING ISSUE TAGS TO BE SET IN DATABASE | 3.5 | 1,242.50 |
| | 11/4/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING REVIEW OF ALL PARTIES' PRODUCTION DOCUMENTS TO CODE REVENUE DOCUMENTS TO PREPARE FOR REVIEW BY EXPERT; REVIEW AND TAGGING OF SAME; CONFERENCE WITH S. DESUZE REGARDING DOCUMENT AND PAGE COUNT OF TAGGED DOCUMENTS | 6.2 | 2,201.00 |
| | 11/5/2011 | REVIEW OF ALL PARTIES' PRODUCTION DOCUMENTS TO CODE REVENUE DOCUMENTS TO PREPARE FOR REVIEW BY EXPERT | 3.5 | 1,242.50 |
| | 11/7/2011 | REVIEW OF REVENUE DOCUMENTS (MULTI-HUNDRED PAGE SPREADSHEETS) TO CULL OUT PAGES RELEVANT TO "I GOTTA FEELING" FOR USE BY EXPERT TO PREPARE DAMAGES REPORT; CONFERENCE AND EMAIL TO T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING SAME; CONFERENCE WITH S. DESUZE REGARDING DOCUMENT AND PAGE COUNT OF TAGGED DOCUMENTS | 3.8 | 1,349.00 |
| | 11/8/2011 | CONFERENCE CALL WITH T. DICKSTEIN AND DAMAGES EXPERT; REVIEW OF PRODUCTION DOCUMENTS TO DETERMINE MISSING DOCUMENTS PER T. DICKSTEIN; CONFERENCE WITH TRANSLATION VENDOR REGARDING TRANSLATED DOCUMENTS | 1.0 | 355.00 |
| | 11/9/2011 | REVIEW OF CD MEDIA FOR EXHIBITS TO GELUSO DECLARATION; REVIEW OF EMAILS FROM TRANSLATION VENDOR | 0.8 | 284.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 11/10/2011 | REVIEW OF STATEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW TO ASSEMBLE EXHIBITS TO DICKSTEIN DECLARATION; REVIEW OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY CITED AND ASSEMBLING EXHIBITS FOR SAME | 3.2 | 1,136.00 |
| | 11/11/2011 | CONTINUED REVIEW OF STATEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW TO ASSEMBLE EXHIBITS TO DICKSTEIN DECLARATION; REVIEW OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY CITED AND ASSEMBLING EXHIBITS FOR SAME | 2.1 | 745.50 |
| | 11/14/2011 | CONTINUED REVIEW OF STATEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW; ASSEMBLING EXHIBITS TO SAME AND TO DICKSTEIN DECLARATION; REVIEW OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY CITED AND ASSEMBLING EXHIBITS FOR SAME; CONFERENCE WITH T. NOLAN REGARDING STATEMENT AND EXHIBITS; EDITING AND PREPARING SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES FOR SERVICE PER T. DICKSTEIN; REVIEW OF EMAILS REGARDING PRODUCTION DOCUMENTS; CONFERENCE WITH J. GORRUSO REGARDING SAME | 5.1 | 1,810.50 |
| | 11/15/2011 | CITE-CHECKING AND QUOTE-CHECKING LEGAL CITATIONS IN DEFENDANTS' SHAPIRO, RIESTERER AND GUETTA'S MEMO OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; ASSEMBLING HARD COPIES OF GELUSO AND WARNER DECLARATIONS TO BE FILED AND SERVED; CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING EDITS TO MEMO; EDITING AND PROOFREADING MEMO; CONFERENCES WITH LA OFFICE REGARDING FILING AND SERVICE OF PAPERS; CONFERENCES WITH T. NOLAN REGARDING STATEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS AND EDITING SAME | 8.8 | 3,124.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 11/16/2011 | CITE-CHECKING AND QUOTE-CHECKING LEGAL CITATIONS IN DEFENDANTS' SHAPIRO, RIESTERER AND GUETTA'S MEMO OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; REVIEW OF MEMO OF LAW AND STATEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS TO ASSEMBLE EXHIBITS TO DICKSTEIN DECLARATION; CHECKING ACCURACY OF CITATIONS TO EXHIBITS IN MEMO OF LAW AND STATEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS | 4.8 | 1,704.00 |
| | 11/17/2011 | CITE-CHECKING AND QUOTE-CHECKING NEWLY ADDED LEGAL CITATIONS IN DEFENDANTS' SHAPIRO, RIESTERER AND GUETTA'S MEMO OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; REVIEW OF MEMO OF LAW AND STATEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS TO CONFIRM ALL CITATIONS TO EXHIBITS TO DICKSTEIN DECLARATION; EDITING TABLE OF AUTHORITIES AND TABLE OF CONTENTS; CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING EXHIBITS TO DECLARATION; CONFERENCES WITH V. MANSSOURIN REGARDING DOCUMENTS TO BE SERVED AND FILED; ORGANIZING DOCUMENTS ON NETWORK DRIVE FOR LA OFFICE TO FILE ELECTRONICALLY | 6.0 | 2,130.00 |
| | 11/18/2011 | REVIEW OF EMAILS FROM T. DICKSTEIN AND ASSEMBLING ELECTRONIC FILES FOR DOCKET ENTRIES ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; UPDATING PLEADINGS FILES ON DATABASE | 6.1 | 2,165.50 |
| | 11/21/2011 | REVIEW OF EMAILS FROM T. DICKSTEIN AND J. GORRUSO REGARDING PRODUCTION DOCUMENTS AND RESPONDING TO SAME | 0.3 | 106.50 |
| | 11/28/2011 | REVIEW OF PLAINTIFF'S PRODUCTION DOCUMENTS AND UNITIZING SAME PER T. DICKSTEIN; UPDATING DISCOVERY PLEADINGS FILES PER T. NOLAN | 4.2 | 1,491.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 11/29/2011 | REVIEWING COBB EXPERT REPORT TO ASSEMBLE DOCUMENTS RELIED TO PREPARE SAME; REVIEW OF DATABASE TO RETRIEVE DOCUMENTS; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING PRODUCTION DOCUMENTS NOT RECEIVED FROM OPPOSING COUNSEL AND OTHER DEFENSE COUNSEL | 2.2 | 781.00 |
| | 11/30/2011 | REVIEWING COBB EXPERT REPORT TO ASSEMBLE DOCUMENTS RELIED UPON TO PREPARE SAME; REVIEW OF DATABASE TO RETRIEVE DOCUMENTS; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING PRODUCTION DOCUMENTS NOT RECEIVED FROM OPPOSING COUNSEL AND OTHER DEFENSE COUNSEL; REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM OTHER DEFENSE COUNSEL TO ASSEMBLE DOCUMENTS FOR EXPERT; CONFERENCE WITH J. GORRUSO REGARDING DOCUMENTS TO BE IMPORTED TO DATABASE; CONFERENCE WITH J. GORRUSO REGARDING CD TO BE BURNED FOR EXPERT; DRAFTING LETTER TO EXPERT; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING SAME | 6.8 | 2,414.00 |
| | 12/1/2011 | REVIEWING COBB EXPERT REPORT TO ASSEMBLE DOCUMENTS RELIED UPON TO PREPARE SAME; REVIEW OF DATABASE TO RETRIEVE DOCUMENTS; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING PREPARING BINDERS FOR DEPOSITIONS AND EXHIBITS; SUMMARY JUDGMENT MATERIALS; DECLARATIONS AND DISCOVERY DOCUMENTS; CONFERENCES WITH DAMAGES EXPERT REGARDING MATERIALS CITED IN COBB REPORT; EDITING STATEMENT OF FACTS PER T. NOLAN; CONFERENCE WITH J. GORRUSO REGARDING CD TO BE BURNED FOR EXPERT; DRAFTING LETTER TO EXPERT | 5.6 | 1,988.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 12/2/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING EXHIBITS TO COBB REPORT; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING FORMAT OF DISCOVERY BINDER; ASSEMBLING SAME; CONFERENCES WITH M. CLOONAN REGARDING ASSEMBLING BINDERS FOR DEPOSITIONS AND EXPERT MATERIALS; | 4.4 | 1,562.00 |
| | 12/5/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING REVIEW OF COBB REPORT TO ASSIST EXPERTS WITH REVIEW OF EXHIBITS CITED; CONTINUED ASSEMBLING BINDER OF PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO DISCOVERY DOCUMENTS; ASSEMBLED BINDER OF EXPERT DISCLOSURES IN BATT'S CASE; CONFERENCE WITH DEPOSITION VENDORS TO ARRANGE LOGISTICS FOR UPCOMING DEPOSITION | 4.0 | 1,420.00 |
| | 12/6/2011 | REVIEW OF COBB REPORT TO CONFIRM ACCURACY OF CITATION TO PRODUCTION DOCUMENTS IN ORDER TO ASSIST EXPERTS WITH THEIR REVIEW | 1.5 | 532.50 |
| | 12/7/2011 | CONTINUED REVIEW OF COBB REPORT TO CONFIRM ACCURACY OF CITATION TO PRODUCTION DOCUMENTS IN ORDER TO ASSIST EXPERTS WITH THEIR REVIEW; EMAIL TO T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING SAME | 2.0 | 710.00 |
| | 12/8/2011 | REVIEW OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT MATERIALS TO PREPARE BINDERS OF SAME FOR B. SLOTNICK, T. DICKSTEIN & T. NOLAN; CONFERENCE WITH J. GORRUSO REGARDING PROCESSING MATERIALS CITED IN COBB EXPERT REPORT TO LOAD TO DATABASE PER T. DICKSTEIN; DRAFTED LETTER TO J. PINK TO ENCLOSE CD OF DOCUMENTS CITED IN COBB REPORT; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING SAME | 5.2 | 1,846.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 12/9/2011 | REVIEW OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT MATERIALS TO PREPARE BINDERS OF SAME FOR B. SLOTNICK, T. DICKSTEIN & T. NOLAN; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS CITED IN COBB REPORT; ASSEMBLING FILES IN BATTIS CASE FOR T. DICKSTEIN; CONFERENCE WITH M. CLOONAN REGARDING REVIEW AND ANALYSIS OF BMI DOCUMENT CITED IN COBB REPORT; UPDATED BATTIS DISCOVERY BINDER AND INDEX FOR T. DICKSTEIN | 4.1 | 1,455.50 |
| | 12/16/2011 | PREPARED DECLARATION OF SERVICE FOR DISCOVERY DOCUMENTS; REVIEW OF EMAILS REGARDING MEDIA FOR EXPERT REPORTS | 0.5 | 177.50 |
| | 12/19/2011 | UPDATING DEPOSITION, EXPERT REPORTS AND DISCOVERY BINDERS PER T. DICKSTEIN; UPDATING ELECTRONIC DATABASE REGARDING SAME | 2.7 | 958.50 |
| | 12/20/2011 | ASSEMBLING BINDER OF PLAINTIFF'S SUMMARY JUDGMENT MATERIALS; ASSEMBLING AND ORGANIZING SAME ON DATABASE; ASSEMBLING CASE FILE FOR B. SLOTNICK; CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN | 3.7 | 1,313.50 |
| | 12/21/2011 | RETRIEVING CASE LAW CITED BY PLAINTIFF IN OPPOSITION TO SUMMARY JUDGMENT BRIEF; ASSEMBLING BINDER OF SAME PER T. DICKSTEIN | 3.2 | 1,136.00 |
| | 12/22/2011 | UPDATING AND REVISING EXPERTS REPORTS/DECLARATIONS BINDER; CREATING EXPERT DEPOSITION BINDER | 3.2 | 1,136.00 |
| | 12/23/2011 | UPDATING AND REVISING EXPERTS REPORTS/DECLARATIONS BINDER; CREATING EXPERT DEPOSITION BINDER | 3.2 | 1,136.00 |
| | 12/27/2011 | CONFERENCE WITH B. SLOTNICK; ASSEMBLING BINDER OF CASE LAW CITED IN DEFENDANTS' SUMMARY JUDGMENT BRIEF; CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN; REVISING BINDERS OF EXPERT DEPOSITIONS AND EXHIBITS; REVISING BINDERS OF PLAINTIFF'S SUMMARY JUDGMENT PAPERS; DRAFTED LETTER TO P. GELUSO ENCLOSING PRINGLE AUDIO EXHIBITS | 4.6 | 1,633.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 12/28/2011 | CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING DOCUMENTS TO SEND TO EXPERT P. GELUSO; REVISING LETTER AND PREPARING SAME | 1.5 | 532.50 |
| | 1/3/2012 | REVIEW AND ASSEMBLY OF CASE FILES (EXPERT DEPOSITIONS AND DECLARATIONS AND EXHIBITS) | 0.9 | 328.50 |
| | 1/5/2012 | UPDATING DISCOVERY BINDER; ASSEMBLING CASE FILES FOR EXPERT DEPOSITIONS; UPDATING ELECTRONIC FILES FOR SAME | 2.4 | 876.00 |
| | 1/6/2012 | [REDACTED] | 1.5 | 547.50 |
| | 1/8/2012 | REVIEW OF GELUSO AND PRINGLE DECLARATIONS AND PREPARING CROSS-REFERENCE CHART FOR SAME | 1.5 | 547.50 |
| | 1/9/2012 | WESTLAW RESEARCH TO CITE-CHECK AND QUOTE-CHECK REPLY MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; ASSEMBLING EXHIBITS TO DICKSTEIN DECLARATION; REVIEW OF RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S STATEMENT OF FACTS TO ASSEMBLE DEPOSITION EXCERPTS CITED; REVIEW OF EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO GALLANT AND FREDERIKSEN-CROSS DECLARATIONS TO ASSEMBLE DEPOSITION EXCERPTS; EDITING EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS; CONFERENCES WITH T. NOLAN AND T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING SAME; DRAFTING TABLE OF AUTHORITIES; CONFERENCES WITH C. JENSEN REGARDING CITE-CHECKING FACTUAL EXHIBITS; ASSEMBLING AND FINALIZING MOTION PAPERS FOR ELECTRONIC FILING; FILING OF REPLY MEMO, DECLARATIONS, EXHIBITS, EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO STATEMENT OF GENUINE DISPUTES; ASSEMBLING HARD COPY OF GELUSO EXHIBIT FOR OVERNIGHT SERVICE UPON CO-DEFENDANTS | 13.5 | 4,927.50 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 1/10/2012 | WESTLAW RESEARCH TO CITE-CHECK AND QUOTE-CHECK REPLY MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; ASSEMBLING EXHIBITS TO DICKSTEIN DECLARATION; REVIEW OF RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S STATEMENT OF FACTS TO ASSEMBLE DEPOSITION EXCERPTS CITED; REVIEW OF EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO GALLANT AND FREDERIKSEN-CROSS DECLARATIONS TO ASSEMBLE DEPOSITION EXCERPTS; EDITING EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS; CONFERENCES WITH T. NOLAN AND T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING SAME; DRAFTING TABLE OF AUTHORITIES; CONFERENCES WITH C. JENSEN REGARDING CITE-CHECKING FACTUAL EXHIBITS; ASSEMBLING AND FINALIZING MOTION PAPERS FOR ELECTRONIC FILING; FILING OF REPLY MEMO, DECLARATIONS, EXHIBITS, EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO STATEMENT OF GENUINE DISPUTES; ASSEMBLING HARD COPY OF GELUSO EXHIBIT FOR OVERNIGHT SERVICE UPON CO-DEFENDANTS | 3.8 | 1,387.00 |
| | 1/11/2012 | ASSEMBLING DOCUMENTS FOR COBB DEPOSITION AND FORWARDING SAME TO BRYAN CAVE PER T. DICKSTEIN | 1.3 | 474.50 |
| | 1/12/2012 | REVIEW OF PACER WEBSITE TO DOWNLOAD SUMMARY JUDGMENT REPLY PAPERS PER T. DICKSTEIN | 1.0 | 365.00 |
| | 1/17/2012 | ASSEMBLING AND ORGANIZING CASE FILES FOR DEPOSITION FILES; EXPERT REPORTS AND EXHIBITS AND CORRESPONDENCE | 2.1 | 766.50 |
| | 1/18/2012 | PREPARING BINDER OF DEFENDANTS' REPLY SUMMARY JUDGMENT PAPERS; PREPARING BINDER OF CASE LAW CITED IN REPLY SUMMARY JUDGMENT MEMORANDUM | 2.9 | 1,058.50 |
| | 1/19/2012 | PREPARING BINDER OF CASE LAW CITED IN DEFENDANTS' SUMMARY JUDGMENT MEMORANDUMS; EDITING INDEX OF SAME | 2.9 | 1,058.50 |
| | 1/20/2012 | PREPARING ERRATA SHEET FOR P. GELUSO DEPOSITION | 0.8 | 292.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| | 1/23/2012 | FINALIZING BINDERS OF CASE LAW CITED IN DEFENDANTS' SUMMARY JUDGMENT MEMORANDUMS; EDITING INDEX OF SAME | 2.7 | 985.50 |
| | 1/26/2012 | REVISING AND UPDATING CASE CALENDAR WITH REVISED TRIAL RELATED DATES | 1.3 | 474.50 |
| | 2/1/2012 | WESTLAW AND LEXIS RESEARCH TO CITE-CHECK AND QUOTE-CHECK MEMO OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SANCTIONS; REVIEW AND EDITING SAME; REVIEW OF MEMO TO ASSEMBLE EXHIBITS TO DICKSTEIN DECLARATION; REVIEW OF MEMO TO CONFIRM ACCURACY OF FACTUAL CITATIONS TO EXHIBITS AND OTHER DOCKET ENTRIES CITED | 4.6 | 1,679.00 |
| | 2/2/2012 | CONTINUED REVIEW OF MEMO TO CONFIRM ACCURACY OF FACTUAL CITATIONS TO EXHIBITS AND OTHER DOCKET ENTRIES CITED | 1.7 | 620.50 |
| | 2/3/2012 | DRAFTED DICKSTEIN DECLARATION AND ASSEMBLED EXHIBITS TO SAME | 1.3 | 474.50 |
| | 2/6/2012 | CONTINUED WESTLAW AND LEXIS RESEARCH TO CITE-CHECK AND QUOTE-CHECK MEMO OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SANCTIONS; REVIEW AND EDITING SAME; REVISING EXHIBITS TO DICKSTEIN DECLARATION; REVIEW OF MEMO TO CONFIRM ACCURACY OF FACTUAL CITATIONS TO EXHIBITS AND OTHER DOCKET ENTRIES CITED; EDITING MEMO AND TABLES OF CONTENTS AND AUTHORITIES; EDITING DICKSTEIN DECLARATION | 7.3 | 2,664.50 |
| | 2/9/2012 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING LETTER AND CD TO BE SENT TO P. GELUSO; DRAFTED LETTER TO SAME | 0.5 | 182.50 |
| | 2/16/2012 | UPDATING DISCOVERY BINDER | 0.6 | 219.00 |
| | 2/29/2012 | GENERAL CASE FILING AND ORGANIZATION; REVIEW OF VENDOR INVOICES AND PROCESSING SAME; ASSEMBLING BINDER FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING FOR B. SLOTNICK | 1.6 | 584.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 3/1/2012 | ASSEMBLING MOTION FOR SANCTION PAPERS FOR FILING AND ASSEMBLING COURTESY COPY TO JUDGE; REVIEW AND EDITING TABLES OF CONTENTS AND TABLES OF AUTHORITIES | 3.9 | 1,423.50 |
| | 3/6/2012 | ASSEMBLING DEPOSITION EXCERPTS FOR T. NOLAN IN PREPARATION FOR FILING OF DEFENDANTS' APPLICATION TO STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S UNAUTHORIZED SUR-REPLY ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S UNAUTHORIZED SUR-REPLY ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; REVIEW OF COURT'S WEBSITE FOR PROCEDURE TO ORDER HEARING TRANSCRIPT; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH COURT REPORTER REGARDING SAME; COMPLETING APPLICATION FOR TRANSCRIPT | 2.8 | 1,022.00 |
| | 3/7/2012 | CITE-CHECKING, PROOFREADING AND EDITING DEFENDANTS' APPLICATION TO STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S UNAUTHORIZED SUR-REPLY ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; CITE-CHECKING, PROOFREADING AND EDITING DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S UNAUTHORIZED SUR-REPLY ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; CONFERENCES WITH T. NOLAN REGARDING SAME | 2.8 | 1,022.00 |
| | 3/9/2012 | CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING ASSEMBLY OF BINDERS TO PREPARE FOR RULE 11 HEARING | 0.2 | 73.00 |
| | 3/13/2012 | REVIEW OF MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF SANCTIONS MEMO AND PREPARING BINDER OF CASE LAW CITED IN SAME; WESTLAW RESEARCH TO RETRIEVE CASE LAW FOR SAME | 2.6 | 949.00 |
| | 3/15/2012 | ORGANIZING CASE FILES RECEIVED FROM T. DICKSTEIN | 0.8 | 292.00 |
| | 3/19/2012 | ASSEMBLING BINDER OF CASE LAW CITED IN MEMO OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SANCTIONS | 1.7 | 620.50 |
| | 3/20/2012 | REVIEW OF EMAILS REGARDING CALENDARING TRIAL DATES | 0.3 | 109.50 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 3/27/2012 | ASSEMBLING BINDERS OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION PAPERS IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR RULE 11 SANCTIONS FOR B. SLOTNICK AND T. DICKSTEIN | 1.8 | 657.00 |
| | 3/28/2012 | ASSEMBLING BINDERS OF CASE LAW CITED IN PLAINTIFF'S BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR RULE 11 SANCTIONS; CONFERENCE WITH COURT REPORTER REGARDING MARCH 5, 2012 SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING TRANSCRIPT; EMAIL TO ACCOUNTING REGARDING PROCESSING OF PAYMENT FOR SAME; CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING REDLINE OF FREDERIKSEN-CROSS DECLARATIONS; PREPARED SAME | 2.2 | 803.00 |
| | 3/29/2012 | ASSEMBLING BINDERS OF CASE LAW CITED IN PLAINTIFF'S BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR RULE 11 SANCTIONS; CONFERENCE WITH ACCOUNTING REGARDING PROCESSING OF PAYMENT FOR SAME | 0.5 | 182.50 |
| | 4/2/2012 | WESTLAW RESEARCH TO CITE-CHECK AND QUOTE-CHECK LEGAL CITATIONS IN REPLY MEMO OF LAW IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RULE 11 SANCTIONS; REVIEW OF FACTUAL CITATIONS IN MEMO AND CONFIRMING ACCURACY OF SAME; EDITING TABLE OF CONTENTS AND TABLE OF AUTHORITIES; ASSEMBLING EXHIBITS TO DICKSTEIN DECLARATION; CONFERENCES WITH T. NOLAN AND T. DICKSTEIN REGARDING FACTUAL CITATIONS IN MEMO AND EDITS TO SAME; FINALIZING DOCUMENTS FOR ECF FILING; FILING SAME | 10.5 | 3,832.50 |
| | 4/3/2012 | ASSEMBLING BINDER OF CASES CITED IN REPLY RULE 11 SANCTIONS MOTION FOR B. SLOTNICK | 1.8 | 657.00 |
| | 4/5/2012 | CONFERENCE WITH B. SLOTNICK REGARDING ASSEMBLING BINDER OF CASE LAW CITED IN REPLY MEMO IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RULE 11 SANCTIONS; ASSEMBLING SAME; DOWNLOADING FILED DOCUMENTS PER T. DICKSTEIN | 0.9 | 328.50 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
 Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|---------------------|-------------|--|--------------|------------------|
| | 4/6/2012 | FINALIZING BINDER OF CASES CITED IN REPLY RULE 11 SANCTIONS MOTION FOR B. SLOTNICK | 0.3 | 109.50 |
| PEPPER Total | | | 273.4 | 97,893.00 |
| CUMMINS | 3/3/2011 | ATTEND TO DOCKET AND FILING DEADLINES. | 0.4 | 128.00 |
| | 3/14/2011 | ATTEND TO DOCKET AND FILING DEADLINES. | 0.2 | 64.00 |
| | 3/16/2011 | CONFERENCES WITH B. SLOTNICK AND T. DICKSTEIN RE: ADVERSARY'S ATTEMPT TO SERVE PROCESS UPON CLIENT. | 0.4 | 128.00 |
| | 3/28/2011 | ATTEND TO DOCKET AND FILING DEADLINES. CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE: SERVICE OF PROCESS UPON CLIENT IN FRANCE. | 0.4 | 128.00 |
| | 4/12/2011 | ATTEND TO DOCKET AND FILING DEADLINES. | 0.2 | 64.00 |
| | 6/21/2011 | ATTEND TO DOCKET AND FILING DEADLINES. | 0.3 | 96.00 |
| | 6/24/2011 | SEARCH OF CDCA FOR SAMPLE STIPULATIONS OF CONFIDENTIALITY SIGNED BY MAGISTRATE ZAREFSKY. OBTAINED SAME FROM LOCATED ACTIONS. | 0.5 | 160.00 |
| | 7/13/2011 | ATTEND TO DOCKET AND FILING DEADLINES. | 0.3 | 96.00 |
| | 7/18/2011 | ATTEND TO DOCKET AND FILING DEADLINES. | 0.2 | 64.00 |
| | 11/9/2011 | ATTEND TO DOCKET AND FILING DEADLINES. | 0.2 | 64.00 |
| | 11/18/2011 | CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE: MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT. | 0.2 | 64.00 |
| | 11/23/2011 | SERVICE OF EXPERT WITNESS DISCLOSURES UPON ALL PARTIES FOR T. NOLAN. | 0.2 | 64.00 |
| | 11/30/2011 | LITIGATION SEARCH FOR U.S. DISTRICT COURT ACTIONS INVOLVING PRINGLE. CONFERENCE WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE: SAME. | 0.6 | 192.00 |
| | 12/28/2011 | CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE: MOTION FOR RULE 11 SANCTIONS. | 0.2 | 64.00 |
| | 12/30/2011 | ASSEMBLY OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO SEAL FOR T. DICKSTEIN. ELECTRONIC FILING OF SAME WITH CDCA. ASSEMBLY OF COURTESY COPIES. | 0.7 | 224.00 |
| | 1/10/2012 | ASSEMBLY OF COURTESY COPIES OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT FOR T. DICKSTEIN. | 1.0 | 330.00 |
| | 1/11/2012 | ATTEND TO DOCKET AND FILING DEADLINES. | 0.3 | 99.00 |
| | 1/31/2012 | ATTEND TO DOCKET AND FILING DEADLINES. | 0.3 | 99.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 2/2/2012 | CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE: MOTION FOR RULE 11 SANCTIONS. | 0.2 | 66.00 |
| | 2/3/2012 | ATTEND TO DOCKET AND FILING DEADLINES. | 0.2 | 66.00 |
| | 2/6/2012 | CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE: MOTION FOR RULE 11 SANCTIONS. ASSEMBLY OF NOTICE OF MOTION, DICKSTEIN DECLARATION AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW. SERVICE OF SAME UPON ALL COUNSEL. PREPARATION OF AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE. | 1.3 | 429.00 |
| | 2/7/2012 | CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE: MOTION FOR RULE 11 SANCTIONS. | 0.2 | 66.00 |
| | 2/10/2012 | ATTEND TO DOCKET AND FILING DEADLINES. | 0.2 | 66.00 |
| | 2/14/2012 | ATTEND TO DOCKET AND FILING DEADLINES. | 0.2 | 66.00 |
| | 3/1/2012 | CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN AND A. PEPPER RE: MOTION FOR RULE 11 SANCTIONS. E-MAILS TO GROUP RE: SAME. ASSEMBLY OF MOTION. ELECTRONIC FILING OF SAME WITH CDCA. | 1.1 | 363.00 |
| | 3/2/2012 | ATTEND TO DOCKET AND FILING DEADLINES. | 0.3 | 99.00 |
| | 3/8/2012 | CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE: MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT. | 0.2 | 66.00 |
| | 3/9/2012 | E-MAILS TO T. DICKSTEIN RE: MOTION FOR SANCTIONS. | 0.2 | 66.00 |
| | 3/15/2012 | ATTEND TO DOCKET AND FILING DEADLINES. | 0.2 | 66.00 |
| | 3/19/2012 | ATTEND TO DOCKET AND FILING DEADLINES. | 0.3 | 99.00 |
| | 3/26/2012 | CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE: MOTION FOR RULE 11 SANCTIONS. GATHERING OF CASE PLEADINGS FOR T. DICKSTEIN. | 0.4 | 132.00 |
| | 3/27/2012 | CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE: MOTION FOR RULE 11 SANCTIONS. | 0.2 | 66.00 |
| | 3/30/2012 | SEARCH OF FEDERAL COURTS FOR ACTIONS INVOLVING D. GUETTA. E-MAIL TO T. DICKSTEIN RE: SAME. | 0.3 | 99.00 |
| | 4/2/2012 | CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE: MOTION FOR RULE 11 SANCTIONS. E-MAILS TO T. DICKSTEIN RE: SAME. | 0.4 | 132.00 |
| | 4/3/2012 | ASSEMBLY OF COURTESY COPIES OF REPLY PAPERS ON MOTION FOR RULE 11 SANCTIONS FOR T. DICKSTEIN. | 0.3 | 99.00 |

LOEB & LOEB LLP: Amount Billed by Timekeeper
Pringle v. Adams, et al. , Case No. SACV 10-1656 JST(RZx)
 Matter Inception to May 23, 2012

| Timekeeper | Date Worked | Timecard Detail | Hours Worked | Fees Worked |
|-----------------------|-------------|---|----------------|---------------------|
| | 5/16/2012 | ATTEND TO DOCKET AND FILING DEADLINES. | 0.2 | 66.00 |
| | 5/21/2012 | CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE: MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES. | 0.2 | 66.00 |
| | 5/22/2012 | CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE: MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES. | 0.2 | 66.00 |
| | 5/23/2012 | CONFERENCES WITH T. DICKSTEIN RE: MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES. | 0.3 | 99.00 |
| CUMMINS Total | | | 13.7 | 4,471.00 |
| DISCOUNTS/ADJUSTMENTS | | | | (64,488.99) |
| INVOICE WRITE-OFFS | | | | (27,066.04) |
| Overall Totals | | | 2,800.9 | 1,430,646.47 |