Ι

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	<b>CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA</b>
10	
11	MARIO FRANCISCO PEDRAZA, ) Case No. SA CV 11-979-JVS (SP)
12	Petitioner.
13	v. () ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS V. () AND RECOMMENDATION OF
14	DANIEL PARAMO, Warden, ) UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE ) JUDGE
15	Respondent.
16	
16 17	
	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, records on
17	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, records on file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge.
17 18	
17 18 19	file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge.
17 18 19 20	file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. Further, the Court has engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report to
17 18 19 20 21	file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. Further, the Court has engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report to which petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the findings and recommendation
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. Further, the Court has engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report to which petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge.
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. Further, the Court has engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report to which petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that respondent's Motion to Dismiss is
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. Further, the Court has engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report to which petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that respondent's Motion to Dismiss is granted, and Judgment will be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. Further, the Court has engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report to which petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that respondent's Motion to Dismiss is granted, and Judgment will be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this action with prejudice. DATED: March 19, 2012
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge.</li> <li>Further, the Court has engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report to which petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge.</li> <li>IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that respondent's Motion to Dismiss is granted, and Judgment will be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this action with prejudice.</li> <li>DATED: March 19, 2012</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ol>	file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. Further, the Court has engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report to which petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that respondent's Motion to Dismiss is granted, and Judgment will be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this action with prejudice. DATED: March 19, 2012