UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JS - 6

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

has failed to respond to the Court's Order to show cause. Therefore, the Court ORDERS that this action is dismissed without prejudice for lack of	Case No.	e No. SACV 11-01373-CJC(MLGx)		Date	February 7, 2012	
Michelle Urie Deputy Clerk Court Reporter Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs: None Present None Present None Present Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER DISMISSING ACTION FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION AND NOT COMPLYING WITH THE COURT'S ORDERS Plaintiff filed this action on September 8, 2011. On January 25, 2012, this Court issued an Order to show cause why the case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. Plaintiff has failed to respond to the Court's Order to show cause. Therefore, the Court ORDERS that this action is dismissed without prejudice for lack of prosecution and for failure to comply with the Orders of the Court.	Title	Marco Castelan v. City of Santa Ana, et al				
Michelle Urie Deputy Clerk Court Reporter Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs: None Present None Present None Present Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER DISMISSING ACTION FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION AND NOT COMPLYING WITH THE COURT'S ORDERS Plaintiff filed this action on September 8, 2011. On January 25, 2012, this Court issued an Order to show cause why the case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. Plaintiff has failed to respond to the Court's Order to show cause. Therefore, the Court ORDERS that this action is dismissed without prejudice for lack of prosecution and for failure to comply with the Orders of the Court.						
Deputy Clerk Court Reporter Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs: None Present None Present Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER DISMISSING ACTION FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION AND NOT COMPLYING WITH THE COURT'S ORDERS Plaintiff filed this action on September 8, 2011. On January 25, 2012, this Court issued an Order to show cause why the case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. Plaintiff has failed to respond to the Court's Order to show cause. Therefore, the Court ORDERS that this action is dismissed without prejudice for lack of prosecution and for failure to comply with the Orders of the Court.	Present: Th	e Honorable	CORMAC J. CARN	EY, UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT JUDGE	
Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs: None Present None Present None Present Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER DISMISSING ACTION FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION AND NOT COMPLYING WITH THE COURT'S ORDERS Plaintiff filed this action on September 8, 2011. On January 25, 2012, this Court issued an Order to show cause why the case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. Plaintiff has failed to respond to the Court's Order to show cause. Therefore, the Court ORDERS that this action is dismissed without prejudice for lack of prosecution and for failure to comply with the Orders of the Court.	Michelle Urie			Not F	Not Reported	
Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER DISMISSING ACTION FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION AND NOT COMPLYING WITH THE COURT'S ORDERS Plaintiff filed this action on September 8, 2011. On January 25, 2012, this Court issued an Order to show cause why the case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. Plaintiff has failed to respond to the Court's Order to show cause. Therefore, the Court ORDERS that this action is dismissed without prejudice for lack of prosecution and for failure to comply with the Orders of the Court.	Deputy Clerk			Court	Court Reporter	
Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER DISMISSING ACTION FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION AND NOT COMPLYING WITH THE COURT'S ORDERS Plaintiff filed this action on September 8, 2011. On January 25, 2012, this Court issued an Order to show cause why the case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. Plaintiff has failed to respond to the Court's Order to show cause. Therefore, the Court ORDERS that this action is dismissed without prejudice for lack of prosecution and for failure to comply with the Orders of the Court.	Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:			Attorneys Prese	Attorneys Present for Defendants:	
PROSECUTION AND NOT COMPLYING WITH THE COURT'S ORDERS Plaintiff filed this action on September 8, 2011. On January 25, 2012, this Court issued an Order to show cause why the case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. Plaintiff has failed to respond to the Court's Order to show cause. Therefore, the Court ORDERS that this action is dismissed without prejudice for lack of prosecution and for failure to comply with the Orders of the Court.	None Present		None	None Present		
prosecution and for failure to comply with the Orders of the Court. :	Plai an Order t	PROS	ECUTION AND NOT is action on September e why the case should r	COMPLYING WITH TH 8, 2011. On January 25, 2 not be dismissed for failure	E COURT'S ORDERS 2012, this Court issued	
					ut prejudice for lack of	
						
				Initials of Preparer		