

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL MARTIN ZUREK,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Attorney General
of the United States, et al.,

Respondents.

No. SACV 11-1414 GHK (FFM)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY
PETITION SHOULD NOT BE
DISMISSED AS MOOT

On or about September 3, 2011, petitioner Michael Martin Zurek filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 which challenged his detention by U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (“ICE”). On November 3, 2011, petitioner filed a notice with the Court that he had been released from ICE custody under supervised release. On that same date, respondent filed a Notice of Petitioner’s Release from Custody and Suggestion of Mootness.

///

///

///

///

///

///

1 It appears that petitioner having been released from physical custody there is no
2 further relief this Court can provide. Therefore, petitioner is ordered to show cause
3 within 15 days of the date of this order why this action should not be dismissed for
4 mootness. Respondent may file a reply to petitioner's response within 10 days
5 thereafter.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7
8 DATED: November 9, 2011

9
10 / s / FREDERICK F. MUMM
11 FREDERICK F. MUMM
12 United States Magistrate Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28