1 2 3 JS-6 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SANTA ANA 10 11 JILL RANDALL, an individual, Case No. SACV11-01740 CJC (MLGx) 12 13 Plaintiff, JUDGMENT AFTER TRIAL BY JURY VS. 14 COUNTY OF ORANGE; MYESHIA HAMMOND, in her official capacity; 15 JAKE MICHEL, in his official capacity, 16 SUSAN HORN, in her official capacity, 17 IRMA SALAZAR-ALLEN, in her official capacity, MEI PAUW, in his 18 official capacity, CITY OF 19 **HUNTINGTON BEACH; STAN** WATANABE, in his official capacity, 20 and DOES 1 - 10 Inclusive, 21 22 Defendants. 23 This action came on for trial on February 10, 2015, in Courtroom 9B of the 24 United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Honorable 25 Cormac J. Carney, United States District Judge, presiding. 26 Plaintiff Jill Randall was represented by Robert R. Powell and Shawn A. 27 McMillan. 28 Defendants, City of Huntington Beach and Stan Watanabe were represented by Sr. Deputy City Attorney Neal Moore of the City of Huntington Beach.

1	A jury of eight persons was regularly empanelled and sworn. Witnesses were
2	sworn and testified. After the presentation of the evidence, the instructions of the
3	Court, and the arguments of counsel, the case was submitted to the jury. The jury
4	deliberated and, on February 13, 2015, returned to Court with its verdict as follows:
5	We, the jury in the above-captioned matter, answer the questions submitted to
6	us as follows:
7	Question 1: Has Ms. Randall proven by a preponderance of the evidence her
8	§ 1983 claim that Officer Watanabe violated her Fourteenth Amendment right of
9	familial association?
10	Answer: YES NO_X_
11	
12	If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 1, please answer Question 2. If you
13	answered "No" to Question No. 1, please sign and return this verdict.
14	
15	Question 2: Has Ms. Randall proven by a preponderance of the evidence her
16	§ 1983 claim against the City of Huntington Beach based on a failure to train
17	Officer Watanabe?
18	Answer: YES NO
19	
20	After answering Question No. 2, please proceed to answer Question No. 3.
21	
22	Question 3: What is the total amount of damages Ms. Randall suffered as a
23	result of the conduct of these Defendants?
24	\$
25	
26	After answering Question No. 3, please proceed to answer Question No. 4.
27	
28	

1	Question 4: Did Ms. Randall prove by a preponderance of the evidence that
2	Officer Watanabe engaged in the conduct with malice, oppression, or in reckless
3	disregard of Ms. Randall's constitutional rights?
4	Answer: YES NO
5	
6	Please sign and date this form, then return it to the Court.
7	
8	DATED: February 13, 2015
9	
10	/s/
11	PRESIDING JUROR
12	
13	Pursuant to the jury's verdict finding in favor of Defendants City of
14	Huntington Beach and Stan Watanabe,
15	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED tha
16	final judgment in this action be entered as follows:
17	Defendants City of Huntington Beach and Stan Watanabe shall have
18	judgment entered in their favor on Plaintiff's claims for violations of Plaintiff's
19	Fourteenth Amendment rights under 42 U.S.C. §1983 and Plaintiff shall take
20	nothing; and,
21	Defendants City of Huntington Beach and Stan Watanabe shall recover costs
22	of suit as provided by law.
23	$A \cap A$
24	DATED: February 18, 2015
25	Honorable Cormac J. Carney
26	U.S. District Court Judge
27	
28	