## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL**

CA CW 11 0	1000 DOC/DND	>	Date	March 10, 2012	
SA CV 11-0	1889-DOC(KNB)	X)	_	March 19, 2012	
Gas Engineering, LLC -v- Hangzhou Hangyang Cryogenic, etc.					
ne					
	David O. Carter, U.S. District Court Judge				
D. Beard for J. Barrera		Not Present		n/a	
Deputy Clerk		Court Reporter / Recorder	•	Tape No.	
Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:		Attorneys 1	Attorneys Present for Defendants:		
Not Present			Not Present		
	Gas Enginee  ne eard for J. Bar Deputy Clerk ttorneys Prese	Gas Engineering, LLC -v- Ha  David O. Carte eard for J. Barrera Deputy Clerk ttorneys Present for Plaintiffs:	David O. Carter, U.S. District Court Judge  eard for J. Barrera  Deputy Clerk  Court Reporter / Recorder  ttorneys Present for Plaintiffs:  Attorneys	SA CV 11-01889-DOC(RNBx)  Gas Engineering, LLC -v- Hangzhou Hangyang Cryogenic, etc.  David O. Carter, U.S. District Court Judge  Parad for J. Barrera  Deputy Clerk  Court Reporter / Recorder  Attorneys Present  Attorneys Present	

**Proceedings:** IN CHAMBERS - ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS CASE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION

This Order is issued pursuant to FRCP 4(m), which requires that plaintiff(s) serve the summons and complaint upon all defendants within 120 days after filing the complaint. The Court may dismiss the action prior to the 120 days, however, if plaintiff(s) has/have not diligently prosecuted the action.

It is the responsibility of plaintiff to respond promptly to all Orders and to prosecute the action diligently, including filing proofs of service and stipulations extending time to respond. If necessary, plaintiff(s) must also pursue Rule 55 remedies promptly upon default of any defendant. All stipulations affecting the progress of the case must be approved by the Court, Local Rule 7-1.

The file in this case lacks the papers that would show it is being timely prosecuted, as reflected below. Accordingly, the Court, on its own motion, hereby orders plaintiff(s) to show cause in writing no later than **March 31, 2012**, why this action should not be dismissed as to all remaining defendants for lack of prosecution.

As an alternative to a written response by plaintiff(s), the Court will accept one of the following, if it is filed on or before the above date, as evidence that the matter is being prosecuted diligently.

## • Proof of service of the Summons and Complaint as to defendant

No oral argument of this matter will be heard unless ordered by the Court. The Order will stand submitted upon the filing of a responsive pleading or motion on or before the date upon which a response by plaintiff(s) is due.

	:
Initials of Preparer	db for jb