1 2 3 4	Anthony J. Dain (Bar No. 98947) ajd@procopio.com Victor M. Felix (Bar No. 179622) vmf@procopio.com Megan E. McCarthy (Bar No. 220081) mmc@procopio.com	Joseph R. Re (No. 134479) joseph.re@knobbe.com Marko R. Zoretic (No. 233952) marko.zoretic@knobbe.com
5	PROCOPIO, CORY, HARGREAVES	KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON
6	& SAVITCH LLP	& BEAR, LLP 2040 Main Street
7	525 B Street, Suite 2200 San Diego, California 92101-4469	Fourteenth Floor Irvine, CA 92614
8	Telephone: 619.238.1900 Facsimile: 619.235.0398	Telephone: 949.760-0404 Facsimile: 949.760-9052
9	A 44 C	Attorneys for
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counterdefendant,	Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant AURA INTERACTIVE, INC. (d/b/a/
11	INTERPOLS NETWORK INCORPORATED	The Aura Group)
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	SOUTHERN	NDIVISION
15 16	SOUTHERN INTERPOLS NETWORK	1
	INTERPOLS NETWORK INCORPORATED, a California	Case No. CV 8:12-832-JVS(JPRx)
16	INTERPOLS NETWORK INCORPORATED, a California corporation,	1
16 17	INTERPOLS NETWORK INCORPORATED, a California	Case No. CV 8:12-832-JVS(JPRx) STIPULATED PROTECTIVE
16 17 18	INTERPOLS NETWORK INCORPORATED, a California corporation,	Case No. CV 8:12-832-JVS(JPRx) STIPULATED PROTECTIVE
16 17 18 19	INTERPOLS NETWORK INCORPORATED, a California corporation, Plaintiff/Counterdefendant, v.	Case No. CV 8:12-832-JVS(JPRx) STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER
16 17 18 19 20	INTERPOLS NETWORK INCORPORATED, a California corporation, Plaintiff/Counterdefendant,	Case No. CV 8:12-832-JVS(JPRx) STIPULATED PROTECTIVE
16 17 18 19 20 21	INTERPOLS NETWORK INCORPORATED, a California corporation, Plaintiff/Counterdefendant, v. AURA INTERACTIVE, INC., a California corporation, and THE AURA GROUP,	Case No. CV 8:12-832-JVS(JPRx) STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	INTERPOLS NETWORK INCORPORATED, a California corporation, Plaintiff/Counterdefendant, v. AURA INTERACTIVE, INC., a California corporation, and THE AURA	Case No. CV 8:12-832-JVS(JPRx) STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	INTERPOLS NETWORK INCORPORATED, a California corporation, Plaintiff/Counterdefendant, v. AURA INTERACTIVE, INC., a California corporation, and THE AURA GROUP,	Case No. CV 8:12-832-JVS(JPRx) STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	INTERPOLS NETWORK INCORPORATED, a California corporation, Plaintiff/Counterdefendant, v. AURA INTERACTIVE, INC., a California corporation, and THE AURA GROUP, Defendants/Counterclaimants.	Case No. CV 8:12-832-JVS(JPRx) STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER Magistrate Judge: Hon. Jean P. Rosenbluth
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	INTERPOLS NETWORK INCORPORATED, a California corporation, Plaintiff/Counterdefendant, v. AURA INTERACTIVE, INC., a California corporation, and THE AURA GROUP, Defendants/Counterclaimants.	Case No. CV 8:12-832-JVS(JPRx) STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER Magistrate Judge: Hon. Jean P. Rosenbluth
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	INTERPOLS NETWORK INCORPORATED, a California corporation, Plaintiff/Counterdefendant, v. AURA INTERACTIVE, INC., a California corporation, and THE AURA GROUP, Defendants/Counterclaimants. 1. PURPOSES AND LIMITATION Disclosure and discovery activity in	Case No. CV 8:12-832-JVS(JPRx) STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER Magistrate Judge: Hon. Jean P. Rosenbluth

public disclosure and from use for any purpose other than prosecuting this litigation may be warranted. Accordingly, the Parties hereby stipulate to and petition the court to enter the following Stipulated Protective Order. The Parties acknowledge that this Order does not confer blanket protections on all disclosures or responses to discovery and that the protection it affords from public disclosure and use extends only to the limited information or items that are entitled to confidential treatment under the applicable legal principles. The Parties further acknowledge, as set forth in Section 12.3, below, that this Stipulated Protective Order does not entitle them to file confidential information under seal; Civil Local Rule 79-5 sets forth the procedures that must be followed and the standards that will be applied when a party seeks permission from the court to file material under seal.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Challenging Party" shall mean a Party or Non-Party that challenges the designation of information or items under this Order.
- 2.2 "CONFIDENTIAL" Information or Items shall mean information (regardless of how it is generated, stored or maintained) or tangible things that qualify for protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c).
- 2.3 "Designating Party" shall mean a Party or Non-Party that designates information or items that it produces in disclosures or in responses to discovery as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY."
- 2.4 "Disclosure or Discovery Material" shall mean all items or information, regardless of the medium or manner in which it is generated, stored, or maintained (including, among other things, testimony, transcripts, and tangible things), that are produced or generated in disclosures or responses to discovery in this matter.
- 2.5 "Expert" shall mean a person with specialized knowledge or experience in a matter pertinent to the litigation who (1) has been retained by a Party or its counsel to serve as an expert witness or as a consultant in this action, (2) is not a past or current employee of a Party or of a Party's competitor, and (3) at the time of

2.10 "Party" shall mean any party to this action, including all of its officers, directors, employees, consultants, retained experts, and Outside Counsel of Record (and their support staffs).

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 2.11 "Producing Party" shall mean a Party or Non-Party that produces Disclosure or Discovery Material in this action.
- 2.12 "Professional Vendors" shall mean persons or entities that provide litigation support services (e.g., photocopying, videotaping, translating, preparing exhibits or demonstrations, and organizing, storing, or retrieving data in any form or medium) and their employees and subcontractors.
- 2.13 "Protected Material" shall mean any Disclosure or Discovery Material "HIGHLY that is designated as "CONFIDENTIAL," as CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY."
 - 2.14 "Receiving Party" shall mean a Party that receives Disclosure or

ONLY"

3. SCOPE

The protections conferred by this Stipulation and Order cover not only Protected Material (as defined above), but also (1) any information copied or extracted from Protected Material; (2) all copies, excerpts, summaries, or compilations of Protected Material; and (3) any deposition testimony, conversations, or presentations by Parties or their Counsel that might reveal Protected Material.

However, the protections conferred by this Stipulation and Order do not cover the following information: (a) any information that is in the public domain at the time of disclosure to a Receiving Party or becomes part of the public domain after its disclosure to a Receiving Party as a result of publication not involving a violation of this Order, including becoming part of the public record through trial or otherwise; and (b) any information known to the Receiving Party prior to the disclosure or obtained by the Receiving Party after the disclosure from a source who obtained the information lawfully and under no obligation of confidentiality to the Designating Party. Any use of Protected Material at trial or any Court hearing shall be governed by a separate agreement or order.

4. **DURATION**

5. Even after final disposition of this litigation, the confidentiality obligations imposed by this Order shall remain in effect until a Designating Party agrees otherwise in writing or a court order otherwise directs. Final disposition shall be deemed to be the later of (1) dismissal of all claims and defenses in this action, with or without prejudice; and (2) final judgment herein after the completion and exhaustion of all appeals, rehearings, remands, trials, or reviews of this action, including the time limits for filing any motions or applications for extension of time pursuant to applicable law.

6. **DESIGNATING PROTECTED MATERIAL**

6.1 Exercise of Restraint and Care in Designating Material for Protection.

- 6.2 Each Party or Non-Party that designates information or items for protection under this Order must take care to limit any such designation to specific material that qualifies under the appropriate standards. To the extent it is practical to do so, the Designating Party must designate for protection only those parts of material, documents, items, or oral or written communications that qualify so that other portions of the material, documents, items, or communications for which protection is not warranted are not swept unjustifiably within the ambit of this Order. Mass, indiscriminate, or routinized designations are prohibited. Designations that are shown to be clearly unjustified or that have been made for an improper purpose (e.g., to unnecessarily encumber or retard the case development process or to impose unnecessary expenses and burdens on other parties) expose the Designating Party to sanctions.
- 6.3 If it comes to a Designating Party's attention that information or items that it designated for protection do not qualify for protection at all or do not qualify for the level of protection initially asserted, that Designating Party must promptly notify all other parties that it is withdrawing the mistaken designation.
- 6.4 Except as otherwise provided in this Order (see, e.g., second paragraph of section 5.2(a) below), or as otherwise stipulated or ordered, Disclosure or Discovery Material that qualifies for protection under this Order must be clearly so designated before the material is disclosed or produced. Designation in conformity with this Order requires:
- (a) <u>for information in documentary form</u> (e.g., paper or electronic documents, but excluding transcripts of depositions or other pretrial or trial proceedings), that the Producing Party affix the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" to each page that contains protected material. If portions of an integrated, multi-page document, including a response to a discovery request, qualifies for protection, then the Producing Party shall affix the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY

10

1112

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

CONFIDENTIAL—ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" on the first page of the document and then on each page of the Document that qualifies for protection. If only a portion or portions of the material on a page qualifies for protection, the Producing Party also must clearly identify the protected portion(s) (e.g., by making appropriate markings in the margins) and must specify, for each portion, the level of protection being asserted.

- (b) A Party or Non-Party that makes original documents or materials available for inspection need not designate them for protection until after the inspecting Party has indicated which material it would like copied and produced. During the inspection and before the designation, all of the material made available for inspection shall be deemed "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY." After the inspecting Party has identified the documents it wants copied and produced, the Producing Party must determine which documents, or portions thereof, qualify for protection under this Order. Then, before producing the specified affix the Producing Party must the legend documents. appropriate ("CONFIDENTIAL," or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY") to each page that contains Protected Material. If only a portion or portions of the material on a page qualifies for protection, the Producing Party also must clearly identify the protected portion(s) (e.g., by making appropriate markings in the margins) and must specify, for each portion, the level of protection being asserted.
- (c) for testimony given in deposition, the Designating Party shall identify on the record, before the close of the deposition, all protected testimony and specify the level of protection being asserted. When it is impractical to identify separately each portion of testimony that is entitled to protection and it appears that substantial portions of the testimony may qualify for protection, the Designating Party may invoke on the record (before the deposition is concluded) a right to have up to twenty-one (21) days to identify the specific portions of the testimony as to which protection is sought and to specify the level of protection being asserted. Only those

portions of the testimony that are appropriately designated for protection within the twenty-one (21) days shall be covered by the provisions of this Stipulated Protective Order. Alternatively, a Designating Party may specify, at the deposition or up to twenty-one (21) days afterwards if that period is properly invoked, that the entire transcript shall be treated as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY."

(d) Parties shall give the other parties notice if they reasonably expect a deposition to include Protected Material so that the other parties can ensure that only authorized individuals who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A) are present at those proceedings. The use of a document as an exhibit at a deposition shall not in any way affect its designation as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY."

Transcripts containing Protected Material shall have an obvious legend on the title page that the transcript contains Protected Material, and the title page shall be followed by a list of all pages (including line numbers as appropriate) that have been designated as Protected Material and the level of protection being asserted by the Designating Party. The Designating Party shall inform the court reporter of these requirements. Any transcript that is prepared before the expiration of a 21-day period for designation shall be treated during that period as if it had been designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" in its entirety unless otherwise agreed. After the expiration of that period, the transcript shall be treated only as actually designated.

(e) for information produced in some form other than documentary and for any other tangible items, that the Producing Party affix in a prominent place on the exterior of the container or containers in which the information or item is stored the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY". If only a portion or portions of the information or item warrant protection,

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

the Producing Party, to the extent practicable, shall identify the protected portion(s) and specify the level of protection being asserted.

- 6.5 Inadvertent Failures to Designate.
- 6.6 If timely corrected, an inadvertent failure to designate qualified information or items does not, standing alone, waive the Designating Party's right to secure protection under this Order for such material. Upon timely correction of a designation, the Receiving Party must make reasonable efforts to assure that the material is treated in accordance with the provisions of this Order.

7. CHALLENGING CONFIDENTIALITY DESIGNATIONS

- 7.1 Timing of Challenges.
- 7.2 Any Party or Non-Party may challenge a designation of confidentiality at any time. Unless a prompt challenge to a Designating Party's confidentiality designation is necessary to avoid foreseeable, substantial unfairness, unnecessary economic burdens, or a significant disruption or delay of the litigation, a Party does not waive its right to challenge a confidentiality designation by electing not to mount a challenge promptly after the original designation is disclosed.
 - 7.3 Meet and Confer.
- 7.4 The Challenging Party shall initiate the dispute resolution process by providing written notice of each designation it is challenging and describing the basis for each challenge. To avoid ambiguity as to whether a challenge has been made, the written notice must recite that the challenge to confidentiality is being made in accordance with this specific paragraph of the Protective Order. The Parties shall attempt to resolve each challenge in good faith and must begin the process by conferring directly (in voice to voice dialogue; other forms of communication are not sufficient) within ten (10) days of the date of service of notice. In conferring, the Challenging Party must explain the basis for its belief that the confidentiality designation was not proper and must give the Designating Party an opportunity to review the designated material, to reconsider the circumstances, and, if no change in

4

3

5

6

process in a timely manner.

Judicial Intervention.

7.5

7.6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

challenge.

8.1 Basic Principles.

8.2 A Receiving Party may use Protected Material that is disclosed or produced by another Party or by a Non-Party in connection with this case only for prosecuting, defending, or attempting to settle this litigation. Such Protected Material

ACCESS TO AND USE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

designation is offered, to explain the basis for the chosen designation. The Parties

shall comply with the requirements set forth in Local Rule 37-1 before seeking

judicial intervention. A Challenging Party may proceed to the next stage of the

challenge process only if it has engaged in this meet and confer process first or

establishes that the Designating Party is unwilling to participate in the meet and confer

Rule 37-1. If the Parties cannot resolve a challenge without court intervention, the

Parties shall comply with Local Rule 37-2 and draft a joint stipulation pursuant to

Local Rule 37-2.1. The Challenging Party may file a motion challenging a

confidentiality designation at any time if there is good cause for doing so, including

a challenge to the designation of a deposition transcript or any portions thereof. Any

motion brought pursuant to this provision must be accompanied by a competent

declaration affirming that the movant has complied with the meet and confer

requirements imposed by Local Rule 37. The burden of persuasion in any such

challenge proceeding shall be on the Designating Party. Frivolous challenges and

those made for an improper purpose (e.g., to harass or impose unnecessary expenses

and burdens on other parties) may expose the Challenging Party to sanctions. All

Parties shall continue to afford the material in question the level of protection to which

it is entitled under the Producing Party's designation until the Court rules on the

Prior to seeking judicial intervention, the Parties shall comply with Local

may be disclosed only to the categories of persons and under the conditions described

in this Order. When the litigation has been terminated, a Receiving Party must

comply with the provisions of section 14 below (FINAL DISPOSITION). Protected

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

the Designating Party, a Party that seeks to disclose to an Expert (as defined in this Order) any information or item that has been designated of "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" pursuant to paragraph 7.3(b) first must make a written request to the Designating Party that (1) identifies the general categories of "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" information that the Receiving Party seeks permission to disclose to the Expert, (2) sets forth the full name of the Expert and the city and state of his or her primary residence, (3) attaches a copy of the Expert's current resume, (4) identifies the Expert's current employer(s), (5) identifies each person or entity from whom the Expert has received compensation or funding for work in his or her areas of expertise or to whom the expert has provided professional services, including in connection with a litigation, at any time during the preceding three (3) years, and (6) identifies (by name and number of the case, filing date, and location of court) any litigation in connection with which the Expert has offered expert testimony, including through a declaration, report, or testimony at a deposition or trial, during the preceding three (3) years. Such written request shall be accompanied by an executed "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A). Counsel shall provide the counsel for the opposing Party with a copy of other executed "Acknowledgment[s] and Agreement[s] to Be Bound" (Exhibit A) by persons authorized under paragraph 7.2(b) within seven

(b) A Party that makes a request and provides the information specified in the preceding respective paragraphs may disclose the subject Protected Material to the identified Expert unless, within fourteen (14) days of delivering the request, the Party receives a written objection from the Designating Party. Any such objection must set forth in detail the grounds on which it is based.

(7) days after the acknowledgement and agreement to be bound has been executed.

(c) A Party that receives a timely written objection must meet and confer with the Designating Party (through direct voice to voice dialogue) to try to resolve the matter by agreement within ten (10) days of the written objection. The

20

14

15

16

17

18

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

Parties shall meet and confer pursuant to Local Rule 37-1. If the Parties cannot resolve the objection without court intervention, the Parties shall comply with Local Rule 37-2 and draft a joint stipulation pursuant to Local Rule 37-2. Any such motion filed must describe the circumstances with specificity, set forth in detail the reasons why the disclosure to the Expert is reasonably necessary, assess the risk of harm that the disclosure would entail, and suggest any additional means that could be used to reduce that risk. In addition, any such motion must be accompanied by a competent declaration describing the Parties' efforts to resolve the matter by agreement (i.e., the extent and the content of the meet and confer discussions) and setting forth the reasons advanced by the Designating Party for its refusal to approve the disclosure. In any such proceeding, the Party opposing disclosure to the Expert shall bear the burden of proving that the risk of harm that the disclosure would entail (under the safeguards proposed) outweighs the Receiving Party's need to disclose the Protected Material to its Expert.

9. PROTECTED MATERIAL SUBPOENAED OR ORDERED PRODUCED IN OTHER LITIGATION

If a Party is served with a subpoena or a court order issued in other litigation that compels disclosure of any information or items designated in this action as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" that Party must:

- 9.1 promptly notify in writing the Designating Party. Such notification shall include a copy of the subpoena or Court order;
- promptly notify in writing the party who caused the subpoena or order 9.2 to issue in the other litigation that some or all of the material covered by the subpoena or order is subject to this Protective Order. Such notification shall include a copy of this Protective Order; and
- 9.3 cooperate with respect to all reasonable procedures sought to be pursued by the Designating Party whose Protected Material may be affected.

If the Designating Party timely seeks a protective order, the Party served with the subpoena or court order shall not produce any information designated in this action as "CONFIDENTIAL," or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" before a determination by the court from which the subpoena or order issued, unless the Party has obtained the Designating Party's permission. The Designating Party shall bear the burden and expense of seeking protection in that court of its confidential material — and nothing in these provisions should be construed as authorizing or encouraging a Receiving Party in this action to disobey a lawful directive from another court.

10. NON-PARTY'S PROTECTED MATERIAL SOUGHT TO BE PRODUCED IN THIS LITIGATION

- 10.1 The terms of this Order are applicable to information produced by a Non-Party in this action and designated as "CONFIDENTIAL," or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY". Such information produced by Non-Parties in connection with this litigation is protected by the remedies and relief provided by this Order. Nothing in these provisions should be construed as prohibiting a Non-Party from seeking additional protections.
- 10.2 In the event that a Party is required, by a valid discovery request, to produce a Non- Party's confidential information in its possession and the Party is subject to an agreement with the Non-Party not to produce the Non-Party's confidential information, then the Party shall:
- (a) promptly notify in writing the Requesting Party and the Non-Party that some or all of the information requested is subject to a confidentiality agreement with a Non-Party;
- (b) promptly provide the Non-Party with a copy of the Stipulated Protective Order in this litigation, the relevant discovery request(s), and a reasonably specific description of the information requested; and
 - (c) make the information requested available for inspection by the

Non-Party.

1

2

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

10.3 If the Non-Party fails to object or seek a protective order from this court 3 within fourteen (14) days of receiving the notice and accompanying information, the 4 Receiving Party may produce the Non-Party's confidential information responsive to the discovery request. If the Non-Party timely seeks a protective order, the Receiving 6 Party shall not produce any information in its possession or control that is subject to the confidentiality agreement with the Non-Party before a determination by the court. Absent a court order to the contrary, the Non-Party shall bear the burden and expense of seeking protection in this court of its Protected Material.

UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL 11.

If a Receiving Party learns that, by inadvertence or otherwise, it has disclosed Protected Material to any person or in any circumstance not authorized under this Stipulated Protective Order, the Receiving Party must immediately (a) notify in writing the Designating Party of the unauthorized disclosures, (b) use its best efforts to retrieve all unauthorized copies of the Protected Material, (c) inform the person or persons to whom unauthorized disclosures were made of all the terms of this Order, and (d) request such person or persons to execute the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" that is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

12. INADVERTENT PRODUCTION OF PRIVILEGED OR OTHERWISE PROTECTED MATERIAL

When a Producing Party gives notice to Receiving Parties that certain inadvertently produced material is subject to a claim of privilege or other protection, the obligations of the Receiving Parties are those set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(5)(B). This provision is not intended to modify whatever procedure may be established in an e-discovery order that provides for production without prior privilege review. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d) and (e), insofar as the Parties reach an agreement on the effect of disclosure of a communication or information covered by the attorney-client privilege or work product protection, the

MISCELLANEOUS 13.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 13.1 Right to Further Relief.
- 13.2 Nothing in this Order abridges the right of any person to seek its modification by the court in the future.
 - 13.3 Right to Assert Other Objections.
- 13.4 By stipulating to the entry of this Protective Order no Party waives any right it otherwise would have to object to disclosing or producing any information or item on any ground not addressed in this Stipulated Protective Order. Similarly, no Party waives any right to object on any ground to use in evidence of any of the material covered by this Protective Order.
 - 13.5 Filing Protected Material.
- 13.6 Without written permission from the Designating Party or a court order secured after appropriate notice to all interested persons, a Party may not file in the public record in this action any Protected Material. A Party that seeks to file under seal any Protected Material must comply with Civil Local Rule 79-5.
- 13.7 12.4 Nothing in this Protective Order shall bar or otherwise restrict counsel from rendering advice to his or her client with respect to this action and, in the course thereof, relying in a general way upon his or her examination of Protected Material produced or exchanged in this action; provided, however, that in rendering such advice and in otherwise communicating with his or her client, the attorney shall not disclose the contents of Protected Information produced by any other party or non-party.

14. FINAL DISPOSITION

Within sixty (60) days after the final disposition of this action, as defined in

1	Section 4, each Receiving Party must return all Protected Material to the Producin		
2	Party or destroy such material. As used in this subdivision, "all Protected Material"		
3	includes all copies, abstracts, compilations, summaries, and any other format		
4	reproducing or capturing any of the Protected Material. Whether the Protected		
5	Material is returned or destroyed, the Receiving Party must submit a written		
6	certification to the Producing Party (and, if not the same person or entity, to the		
7	Designating Party) by the 60-day deadline that (1) identifies (by category, where		
8	appropriate) all the Protected Material that was returned or destroyed and (2) affirm		
9	that the Receiving Party has not retained any copies, abstracts, compilations,		
10	summaries or any other format reproducing or capturing any of the Protected Materia		
11	Notwithstanding this provision, Counsel are entitled to retain an archival copy of a		
12	pleadings, motion papers, trial, deposition, and hearing transcripts, legal memoranda		
13	correspondence, deposition and trial exhibits, expert reports, attorney work product		
14	and consultant and expert work product, even if such materials contain Protected		
15	Material. Any such archival copies that contain or constitute Protected Material		
16	remain subject to this Protective Order as set forth in Section 4.		
17	SO ORDERED.		
18	Dated: November 14, 2012 for healthath		
19	Dated: November 14, 2012		
20	Hon. Jean P. Rosenbluth		
21	United States Magistrate Judge		

Respectfully submitted,	
Date: November 12, 2012	Date: November 12, 2012
By: <u>/s/Victor M. Felix</u>	By: /s/Marko R. Zoretic
Anthony J. Dain (Bar No. 98947)	Joseph R. Re (No. 134479)
ajd@procopio.com	joseph.re@knobbe.com Marko R. Zoretic (No. 233952)
vmf@procopio.com	marko.zoretic@knobbe.com
Megan E. McCarthy (Bar No. 220081) mmc@procopio.com	
PROCOPIO, CORY, HARGREAVES	KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON
& SAVITCH LLP	& BEAR, LLP 2040 Main Street
San Diego, California 92101-4469	Fourteenth Floor
Facsimile: 619.235.0398	Irvine, CA 92614 Telephone: 949.760-0404
	Facsimile: 949.760-9052
Attorneys for	Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant
	AŬRA INTERACTIVE, INC. (d/b/a/
INCORPORATED	The Aura Group)
1	8
	Date: November 12, 2012 By: /s/Victor M. Felix Anthony J. Dain (Bar No. 98947) ajd@procopio.com Victor M. Felix (Bar No. 179622) vmf@procopio.com Megan E. McCarthy (Bar No. 220081) mmc@procopio.com PROCOPIO, CORY, HARGREAVES & SAVITCH LLP 525 B Street, Suite 2200 San Diego, California 92101-4469 Telephone: 619.238.1900 Facsimile: 619.235.0398 Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counterdefendant, INTERPOLS NETWORK INCORPORATED