1	
2	
3	CLERK, U.S DISTRICT COURT
4	
5	AUG 1 4 2012
6	CENTRAL DISTRACTOR CALIFORN A BY DEPUTY
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	AURORA LOAN SERVICES, LLC,) CASE NO. SACV 12-01261 UA (DUTYx)
12	
13	Plaintiff,) ORDER SUMMARILY REMANDING
	vs. (a) IMPROPERLY-REMOVED ACTION
14	∤

Defendants.

YOLANDA GARCIA, et al.,

The Court will remand this unlawful detainer action to state court summarily because Defendants removed it improperly.

On August 6, 2012, Defendant Yolanda Garcia, having been sued in what appears to be a routine unlawful detainer action in California state court, lodged a Notice Of Removal of that action to this Court and also presented an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The Court has denied the latter application under separate cover because the action was not properly removed. To prevent the action from remaining in jurisdictional limbo, the Court issues this Order to remand the action to state court.

27 | /// 28 | ///

Simply stated, Plaintiff could not have brought this action in federal court in the first place, in that Defendant does not competently allege facts supplying either diversity or federal-question jurisdiction, and therefore removal is improper. 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a); see Exxon Mobil Corp v. Allapattah Svcs., Inc., 545 U.S. 546, 563, 125 S. Ct. 2611, 162 L. Ed. 2d 502 (2005). Even if complete diversity of citizenship exists, the amount in controversy does not exceed the diversity-jurisdiction threshold of \$75,000. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441(b). On the contrary, the unlawful-detainer complaint recites that the amount in controversy does not exceed \$10,000.

Nor does Plaintiff's unlawful detainer action raise any federal legal question. *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1441(b).

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that (1) this matter be REMANDED to the Superior Court of California, Orange County, Central Justice Center, 700 Civic Center Drive West, Santa Ana, California, 92701, for lack of subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c); (2) that the Clerk send a certified copy of this Order to the state court; and (3) that the Clerk serve copies of this Order on the parties.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: August /0, 2012

AUDREY B. COLLINS CHIEF JUDGE