1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DAVID L. VINCI,) CASE NO. SA CV 12-01289 GW (RZ)
12	Petitioner,)) ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND
13	VS.) RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED) STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
14) STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE
15	MATTHEW S. CATE,	
16	Respondent.	
17		.)
18	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the First Amended	
19	Petition, records on file, and the Second Report and Recommendation of United States	
20	Magistrate Judge ("SRR"). Further, the Court has engaged in a <u>de novo</u> review of those	
21	portions of the Second Report to which Petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the	
22	findings and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge, except that it strikes the second	
23	"not" from line 5 on page 10 of the SRR.	
24		
25	DATED: August 21, 2013	
26	9. Who	
27	George K. Wr	
28	I	GEORGE H. WU JNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE